

*Description of the No Action Alternative:* Under the No Action Alternative, DLA would not upgrade the Main Gate ACP at Defense Distribution Depot, San Joaquin. The ACP enhancements would not be provided, and operational conditions would not be improved. Gate 2 and the Employee Gate would remain open. Compliance with DoD UFCs 4-010-01 and 4-022-01 would not be met. Critical logistic and security operations at Defense Distribution Depot, San Joaquin would be vulnerable to disruption and potentially long-term denial of service, which could have an immediate impact on the command and control of these operations. DLA police would continue to use inadequate facilities to inspect incoming light vehicles. The No Action Alternative would not meet the purpose of and need for the Proposed Action.

*Potential Environmental Impacts:* No significant effects on environmental resources would be expected from the Proposed Action. Insignificant adverse effects on noise, air quality, geological resources, water resources, biological resources, health and safety, infrastructure and transportation, and hazardous materials and wastes would be expected. Insignificant beneficial effects on geological resources, water resources, health and safety, infrastructure and transportation, and hazardous materials and wastes would be expected. No impacts on land use or environmental justice would be expected. Details of the environmental consequences are discussed in the EA, which is hereby incorporated by reference.

*Determination:* DLA has determined that implementation of the Proposed Action will not have a significant effect on the human environment. Human environment was interpreted comprehensively to include the natural and physical environment and the relationship of people with that environment. Specifically, no highly uncertain or controversial impacts, unique or unknown risks, or cumulatively significant effects were identified. Implementation of the Proposed Action will not violate any federal, state, or local laws. Based on the results of the analyses performed during preparation of the EA and consideration of comments received during the public comment period, Mr. Gordon B. Hackett III, Director, DLA Installation Management, concludes that implementation of the Proposed Action at Defense Distribution Depot, San Joaquin does not constitute a major federal action that would significantly affect the quality of the human environment within the context of

NEPA. Therefore, an environmental impact statement for the Proposed Action is not required.

Dated: February 20, 2019.

**Shelly E. Finke,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 2019-03208 Filed 2-25-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### Charter Renewal of Department of Defense Federal Advisory Committees

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense.

**ACTION:** Renewal of federal advisory committee.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Defense is publishing this notice to announce that it is renewing the charter for the National Security Education Board ("the Board").

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jim Freeman, Advisory Committee Management Officer for the Department of Defense, 703-692-5952.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Board's charter is being renewed pursuant to 50 U.S.C. 1903(a) and in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) of 1972 (5 U.S.C., App) and 41 CFR 102-3.50(a). The Board's charter and contact information for the Board's Designated Federal Officer (DFO) can be found at <https://www.facadatabase.gov/FACA/apex/FACAPublicAgencyNavigation>.

The Board, pursuant to 50 U.S.C. 1903(d) and, shall perform the following functions: a. Develop criteria for awarding scholarships, fellowships, and grants under 50 U.S.C. Ch. 37, including an order of priority in such awards that favors individuals expressing an interest in national security issues or pursuing a career in national security positions. b. Provide for wide dissemination of information regarding the activities assisted under 50 U.S.C. Ch. 37. c. Establish qualifications for students desiring scholarships or fellowships, and institutions of higher education desiring grants, under 50 U.S.C. Ch. 37, including, in the case of students desiring a scholarship or fellowship, a requirement that the student have a demonstrated commitment to the study of the discipline for which the scholarship or fellowship is to be awarded. d. After taking into account the annual analyses of trends in language, international, area, and counter-proliferations studies under 50 U.S.C. 1906(b)(1), make

recommendations to the Secretary of Defense regarding: i. Which countries are not emphasized in other U.S. study abroad programs, such as countries in which few U.S. students are studying and countries which are of importance to the national security interests of the United States, and are, therefore, critical countries for the purpose of 50 U.S.C. 1902(a)(1)(A); ii. which areas within the disciplines described in 50 U.S.C. 1902(a)(1)(B) relating to the national security interests of the United States are areas of study in which U.S. students are deficient in learning and are, therefore, critical areas within those disciplines for the purposes of that section; iii. which areas within the disciplines described in 50 U.S.C. 1902(a)(1)(C) are areas in which U.S. students, educators, and Government employees are deficient in learning and in which insubstantial numbers of U.S. institutions of higher education provide training and are, therefore, critical areas within those disciplines for the purpose of that section; iv. how students desiring scholarships or fellowships can be encouraged to work for an agency or office of the Federal Government involved in national security affairs or national security policy upon completion of their education; and v. which foreign languages are critical to the national security interests of the United States for purposes of 50 U.S.C. 1902(a)(1)(D) (relating to grants for the National Flagship Language Initiative) and 50 U.S.C. 1902(a)(1)(E) (relating to the scholarship program for advanced English language studies by heritage community citizens). e. Encourage applications for fellowships under 50 U.S.C. Ch. 37 from graduate students having an educational background in any academic discipline, particularly in the areas of science or technology. f. Provide the Secretary of Defense biennially with a list of scholarship recipients and fellowship recipients, including an assessment of their foreign area and language skills, who are available to work in a national security position. g. Not later than 30 days after a scholarship or fellowship recipient completes the study or education for which assistance was provided under the Program, provide the Secretary of Defense with a report fully describing the foreign area and language skills obtained by the recipient as a result of the assistance. h. Review the administration of the Program required under 50 U.S.C. Ch. 37. i. To the extent provided by the Secretary of Defense, oversee and coordinate the activities of the national Language Service Corps ("the Corps") under 50 U.S.C. 1913,

including: i. Assessing on a periodic basis whether the Corps is addressing the needs identified by the head of departments and agencies of the Federal Government for personnel with skills in various foreign languages; ii. Recommending plans for the Corps to address foreign language shortfalls and requirements of the departments and agencies of the Federal Government; iii. Recommending effective ways to increase public awareness of the need for foreign languages skills and career paths in the Federal Government that use those skills; and, iv. Overseeing the Corps efforts to work with Executive agencies and State and local governments to respond to interagency plans and agreements to address overall foreign language shortfalls and to utilize personnel to address the various types of crises that warrant foreign language skills.

The Secretary of Defense, pursuant to 50 U.S.C. 1906, shall submit to the President and to the Congressional intelligence committees an annual report of the conduct of the Program required by 50 U.S.C. Ch. 37. During preparation of this annual report, the Secretary of Defense shall consult with the members of the Board, who shall each submit to the Secretary an assessment of their hiring needs in the areas of language and area studies and projection of the deficiencies in such areas. The Secretary shall include all assessments in the annual report.

Pursuant to 50 U.S.C. 1903(b), the Board shall be composed of the following 15 individuals or the representative of such individuals: i. The Secretary of Defense, who shall serve as the Chair of the Board. ii. The Secretary of Education. iii. The Secretary of State. iv. The Secretary of Commerce. v. The Secretary of Homeland Security. vi. The Secretary of Energy. vii. The Director of National Intelligence. viii. The Chair of the National Endowment for the Humanities. ix. Six individuals appointed by the President, who shall be experts in the fields of international, language, area, and counter-proliferation studies education and who shall be experts in the fields of international, language, area, and counter-proliferation studies education who may not be officers or employees of the Federal Government.

Consistent with 50 U.S.C. 1903, the Secretary of Defense designates the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (USD(P&R)) as the Chair of the Board. If the USD(P&R) is unavailable to chair a specific session of the Board, then the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Readiness shall

perform the function of the Chair of the Board while the USD(P&R) is unavailable. The authority to chair the Board may not be further delegated.

Pursuant to 50 U.S.C. 1903(c), individuals appointed by the President shall receive no compensation for service on the Board. All members shall receive reimbursement of official Board-related travel and per diem.

The public or interested organizations may submit written statements to the Board membership about the Board's mission and functions. Written statements may be submitted at any time or in response to the stated agenda of planned meeting of the Board. All written statements shall be submitted to the DFO for the Board, and this individual will ensure that the written statements are provided to the membership for their consideration.

Dated: February 13, 2019.

**Shelly Finke,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register, Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 2019-03227 Filed 2-25-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### Defense Policy Board; Notice of Federal Advisory Committee Meeting

**AGENCY:** Under Secretary of Defense for Policy, Defense Policy Board, Department of Defense.

**ACTION:** Notice of federal advisory committee meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Defense (DoD) is publishing this notice to announce that the following Federal Advisory Committee meeting of the Defense Policy Board (DPB) will take place.

**DATES:**

Day 1—Closed to the public  
Wednesday, March 13, 2019 from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Day 2—Closed to the public Thursday,  
March 14, 2019 from 8:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The closed meeting will be held at The Pentagon, 2000 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Marcus Bonds, (703) 571-0854 (Voice), 703-697-8606 (Facsimile), marcus.bonds.civ@mail.mil (Email). Mailing address is 2000 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-2000.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This meeting is being held under the provisions of the Federal Advisory

Committee Act (FACA) (5 U.S.C., App.), the Government in the Sunshine Act ("the Sunshine Act") (5 U.S.C. 552b), and the General Services Administration's Federal Advisory Committee Management; Final Rule ("the FACA Final Rule"). (41 CFR 101-6 and 102-3).

**Purpose of the Meeting:** To obtain, review, and evaluate classified information related to the DPB's mission to advise on (a) issues central to strategic DoD planning; (b) policy implications of U.S. force structure and force modernization and on DoD's ability to execute U.S. defense strategy; (c) U.S. regional defense policies; and (d) other research and analysis of topics raised by the Secretary of Defense, the Deputy Secretary of Defense, or the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy.

**Agenda:** On March 13th and 14th, the DPB will have Secret level or higher discussions on national security issues regarding 5G Technology. On March 13th, Topics and speakers include (1) 5G Telecommunications from a National Security Perspective, Kristen Baldwin (Department of Defense) and Jeffrey Baum (Department of Defense); (2) Intelligence Community Threat, Raymond Gabany (National Security Agency); (3) Spectrum, Standards, Supply Chain, and Technology Leadership, Honorable Dana Deasy (Department of Defense) and Earl Comstock (Department of Commerce); (4) Warfighter Perspectives, General Paul Nakasone (Department of Defense) and Lt General Bradford Shwedo (Department of Defense) (5); 5G Industry Panel, Nate Tibbets (Qualcomm), Andre Fuetsch (AT&T) and Chandra McMahon (Verizon); Government to Industry Partnering, Mr. David Redl (Department of Commerce) and Ajit Pai (Federal Communications Commission). On March 14th the DPB will deliberate and report out to the John Rood, Under Secretary of Defense for Policy.

**Meeting Accessibility:** Pursuant to the Sunshine Act, the FACA, and the FACA Final Rule (41 CFR 101-6), the DoD has determined that this meeting shall be closed to the public. The Under Secretary of Defense (Policy), in consultation with the DoD FACA Attorney, has determined in writing that this meeting be closed to the public because the discussions fall under the purview of Section 552b(c)(1) of the Sunshine Act and are so inextricably intertwined with unclassified material that they cannot reasonably be segregated into separate discussions without disclosing secret or higher classified material.

**Committee's Designated Federal Officer or Point of Contact:** Marcus