

kgrantz@usbr.gov, at least five (5) days prior to the meeting. Any written comments received will be provided to the AMWG members.

Public Disclosure of Comments

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: January 24, 2017.

Grayford F. Payne,

Deputy Commissioner—Policy, Administration and Budget.

[FR Doc. 2017-02033 Filed 1-30-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4332-90-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-17-002]

Government in the Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: United States International Trade Commission.

TIME AND DATE: February 3, 2017 at 11:00 a.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agendas for future meetings: None
 2. Minutes
 3. Ratification List
 4. Vote in Inv. Nos. 701-TA-552-553 and 731-TA-1308 (Final)
(Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires from India and Sri Lanka).
The Commission is currently scheduled to complete and file its determinations and views of the Commission by February 23, 2017.
 5. Outstanding action jackets: None
- In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission:

Issued: January 27, 2017.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2017-02116 Filed 1-27-17; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-947]

Certain Light-Emitting Diode Products and Components Thereof Commission Determination To Grant a Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation on the Basis of a Settlement and License Agreement; Termination of the Investigation in Its Entirety

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to grant a joint motion to terminate the investigation on the basis of a settlement and license agreement filed by complainant Cree, Inc. of Durham, North Carolina (“Cree”) and respondents Feit Electric Company, Inc. of Pico Rivera, California and Feit Electric Company, Inc. of Xiamen, China (collectively, “Feit”). The investigation is terminated in its entirety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cathy Chen, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2392. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on February 18, 2015, based on a complaint filed by Cree. 80 FR 8685-86 (Feb. 18, 2015). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain light-emitting diode products and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,976,187; 8,766,298;

6,657,236; 7,312,474; 8,596,819; and 8,628,214. The complaint also alleged violations of section 337 with respect to two other patents that have since been terminated from the investigation. The complaint further alleged violations of section 337 based on false and misleadingly advertised light-emitting diode products and components thereof in violation of section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. 1125(a), and/or the federal common law of unfair competition. The notice of investigation named Feit; Unity Opto Technology Co., Ltd. of New Taipei City, Taiwan; and Unity Microelectronics, Inc. of Plano, Texas (collectively, “Unity”) as respondents. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was also a party to the investigation.

On July 29, 2016, the presiding administrative law judge issued a final initial determination (“ID”), finding a violation of section 337 by Respondents. On September 29, 2016, the Commission determined, upon the parties’ respective petitions, to review the ID in part, and requested briefing from the parties on the issues under review. On October 7, 2016, Respondents moved the Commission to reopen the record in this investigation in order to admit the results of verification testing for certain Feit accused products. On October 13, 2016, the parties submitted their respective briefs on the issues under review.

On December 16, 2016, Cree and Feit filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based on a settlement and license agreement. *See* Joint Motion to Terminate Investigation Based on Settlement and License Agreement (Dec. 16, 2016). Cree and Feit state in their joint motion to terminate that the “investigation should also be terminated as to [Unity], given that the Unity products-at-issue in this investigation are imported and/or made solely on behalf of Feit, and are thus covered by the Agreement.” *Id.* at 1. Unity did not oppose the motion. On December 20, 2016, the Commission Investigative Attorney filed a response in support of the joint motion to terminate. Also, on December 16, 2016, Cree and Feit filed an unopposed joint motion to stay the issuance of the final determination based on the joint motion to terminate. On December 19, 2016, the Commission extended the target date for completion of this investigation to January 26, 2017.

Having examined the record of this investigation, the Commission has determined to grant the joint motion to terminate the investigation. Cree and Feit’s joint motion to stay and Respondents’ motion to reopen the

record are moot. The investigation is terminated in its entirety.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR part 210.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 25, 2017.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2017-02002 Filed 1-30-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Hearings of the Judicial Conference Advisory Committee on the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure

AGENCY: Advisory Committee on the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Judicial Conference of the United States.

ACTION: Notice of cancellation of public hearing.

SUMMARY: The following public hearing on proposed amendments to the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure has been canceled: Criminal Rules Hearing on February 24, 2017 in Washington, DC. The announcement for this meeting was previously published in 81 FR 52713.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rebecca A. Womeldorf, Rules Committee Secretary, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, DC 20544, telephone (202) 502-1820.

Dated: January 26, 2017.

Rebecca A. Womeldorf,
Rules Committee Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017-02015 Filed 1-30-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2210-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—R Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on December 21, 2016, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), R Consortium, Inc. ("R Consortium") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its

membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Moore Foundation, Palo Alto, CA; and Datacamp, Cambridge, MA, have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and R Consortium intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On September 15, 2015, R Consortium filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on October 2, 2015 (80 FR 59815).

The last notification was filed with the Department on October 7, 2016. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on November 3, 2016 (81 FR 76629).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2017-02020 Filed 1-30-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on December 22, 2016, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, AJA Video Systems, Inc., Grass Valley, CA; dB Broadcast Limited, Witchford, Ely, UNITED KINGDOM; DELTACAST.TV, Ans, BELGIUM; and Streampunk Media, Aultbea, UNITED KINGDOM, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, Australian Broadcasting Corp., Sydney, AUSTRALIA; InSync

Technology, Ltd., Petersfield, UNITED KINGDOM; NBC Universal, New York, NY; NewTek, Inc., San Antonio, TX; Synco Services, Inc., New York, NY; Brooks Harris (individual member), New York, NY; and Christine MacNeill (individual member), Aultbea, Achnasheen, UNITED KINGDOM, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc. intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On March 28, 2000, Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 29, 2000 (65 FR 40127).

The last notification was filed with the Department on September 21, 2016. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on October 26, 2016 (81 FR 74480).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2017-02016 Filed 1-30-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States of America v. Duke Energy Corporation; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States of America v. Duke Energy Corporation*, Civil Action No. 1:17-cv-00116. On January 18, 2017, the United States filed a Complaint alleging that Duke Energy Corporation violated Section 7A of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18a, by acquiring the Osprey Energy Center from Calpine Corporation before filing the required notification form and observing the required waiting period. The proposed Final Judgment, filed at the same time as the Complaint, requires Duke Energy Corporation to pay a civil penalty of \$600,000.