LIST OF PETITIONS RECEIVED BY EDA FOR CERTIFICATION ELIGIBILITY TO APPLY FOR TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE [3/14/2017 through 3/23/2017]

Firm name	Firm address	Date accepted for investigation	Product(s)
Giering Metal Finishing, Inc	2655 State Street, Hamden, CT 06517.	3/16/2017	The firm is a metal finishing job shop that special- izes in the application of organic coatings, with processes such as: Electrocoating, powder coat- ing, compliant paint coating, conversion coating, silk screening, masking, and packaging.
Coastal Woodworking, Inc	16 Sand Hill Road, Post Office Box 137, Nobleboro, ME 04555.	3/17/2017	
Dechert Dynamics Corporation	713 West Main Street, Palmyra, PA 17078.	3/21/2017	The firm offers machining services, such as milling and turning, utilizing CNC technology.
Consolidated Storage Companies, Inc. d/b/a Equipto, Inc.	225 Main Street, Tatamy, PA 18085.	3/21/2017	The firm manufactures industrial grade storage sys- tems, of steel, such as shelving, cabinetry, and the like.

Any party having a substantial interest in these proceedings may request a public hearing on the matter. A written request for a hearing must be submitted to the Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms Division, Room 71030, Economic Development Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230, no later than ten (10) calendar days following publication of this notice.

Please follow the requirements set forth in EDA's regulations at 13 CFR 315.9 for procedures to request a public hearing. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance official number and title for the program under which these petitions are submitted is 11.313, Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms.

#### Miriam Kearse,

*Lead Program Analyst.* [FR Doc. 2017–06165 Filed 3–28–17; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–WH–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

#### [A-552-814]

Utility Scale Wind Towers From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With the Final Determination of Less Than Fair Value Investigation and Notice of Amended Final Determination of Investigation

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On March 16, 2017, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT or Court) issued its final judgment, affirming the Department of Commerce's (the Department) final results of redetermination concerning the less-than-fair-value investigation (LTFV) of utility scale wind towers from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (Vietnam). The Department is notifying the public that the Court's final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the Department's final determination in the LTFV investigation on utility scale wind towers from Vietnam, and is amending the final determination with respect to CS Wind Vietnam Co., Ltd. and CS Wind Corporation (collectively, CS Wind Group).

# DATES: Effective March 26, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Trisha Tran, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4852.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Background

On February 15, 2013, the Department published its amended final determination and antidumping duty order in this proceeding.<sup>1</sup> The CS Wind Group appealed the *Wind Towers Final Determination* to the CIT, and on March 27, 2014, the CIT remanded the *Wind Towers Final Determination* to the Department.<sup>2</sup> On July 29, 2014, the Department filed its results of redetermination pursuant to remand in accordance with the CIT's order.<sup>3</sup> On November 3, 2014, the CIT affirmed, in part, and remanded in part, the Department's *Final First Redetermination*, which resulted in a weighted-average dumping margin of 17.07 percent for the CS Wind Group.<sup>4</sup> In the *Final Second Redetermination*, the Department revised its calculation of certain surrogate financial ratios.<sup>5</sup> The Court affirmed the Department's second remand in its entirety on May 11, 2015, which resulted in a weighted-average dumping margin of 17.02 percent for the CS Wind Group.<sup>6</sup>

The CS Wind Group challenged the CIT's affirmance of the Department's *Final Second Redetermination.* On August 12, 2016, the CAFC directed the CIT to remand the matter to the Department, and in so doing: (1) reversed the CIT's affirmance of the Department's use of packing weights rather than the factors of production (FOP) weights in its calculation of surrogate value; and, (2) vacated and remanded the CIT's overhead determination with respect to jobwork charges, erection expenses, and civil expenses.<sup>7</sup> The Department issued its

<sup>5</sup> See Final Redetermination Pursuant to Court Order, "CS Wind Vietnam Co., Ltd. and CS Wind Corporation v. United States, Consol. Court No. 13– 00102, Slip Op. 14–128, (November 3, 2014)," dated January 21, 2015 (Final Second Redetermination); see also http://enforcement.trade.gov/remands/ index.html.

<sup>6</sup> See CS Wind Vietnam Co., Ltd. and CS Wind Corporation v. United States, Consol. Court No. 13– 00102, Slip Op. 15–45 (CIT May 11, 2015).

<sup>7</sup> See CS Wind Vietnam Co., Ltd., and CS Wind Corporation v. United States and Wind Tower Coalition, 832 F. 3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Utility Scale Wind Towers from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, 77 FR 75984 (December 26, 2012), as amended by Utility Scale Wind Towers from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order, 78 FR 11150 (February 15, 2013) (Wind Towers Amended Final Determination).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See CS Wind Vietnam Co., Ltd. and CS Wind Corporation v. United States, 971 F. Supp. 2d 1271 (CIT 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Order, CS Wind Vietnam Co., Ltd. and CS

Wind Corporation v. United States, Consol. Court No. 13–00102, Slip Op. 14–33, dated July 29, 2014 (Final First Redetermination); see also http:// enforcement.trade.gov/remands/index.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See CS Wind Vietnam Co., Ltd. and CS Wind Corporation v. United States, Consol. Court No. 13– 00102, Slip Op. 14–128 (CIT November 3, 2014).

Final Third Redetermination<sup>8</sup> on December 9, 2016. On March 16, 2017, the Court affirmed the Department's Final Third Redetermination in its entirety.<sup>9</sup>

## **Timken Notice**

In its decision in *Timken*,<sup>10</sup> as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*,<sup>11</sup> the CAFC held that, pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department must publish a notice of a court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Department determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's March 16, 2017, judgment affirming the *Final Third Redetermination* constitutes a final decision of that court that is not in harmony with the *Wind Towers Final Determination*. This notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*.

#### **Amended Final Determination**

Because there is now a final court decision with respect to this litigation, the Department is amending the *Wind Towers Final Determination* with respect to the CS Wind Group's dumping margin and cash deposit rate. The revised dumping margin and cash deposit rate for this exporter/producer combination is 0.00 percent.<sup>12</sup>

Producer	Exporter	Estimated weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
CS Wind Group	CS Wind Group	0.00

## Partial Exclusion From the Antidumping Duty Order and Partial Discontinuation of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

Pursuant to sections 735(c)(2) of the Act, "the investigation shall be terminated upon publication of that negative determination" and the Department shall "terminate the suspension of liquidation" and "release any bond or other security, and refund any cash deposit." *See* Sections 735(c)(2)(A) and (B) of the Act. As a result of this amended final determination, in which the Department calculated a weighted-average dumping margin of 0.00 percent for CS Wind Group, the Department is hereby excluding merchandise from the following producer/exporter chain from the antidumping duty order:

Producer: CS Wind Group.

*Exporter:* CS Wind Group.

Accordingly, the Department will direct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to release any bonds or other security and refund cash deposits. This exclusion does not apply to merchandise produced by CS Wind Group and exported by any other company. Therefore, resellers of merchandise produced, or produced and exported by CS Wind Group, are not entitled to the exclusion. Similarly, the exclusion does not apply to merchandise produced by any other company and exported by CS Wind Group.

We note, however, that pursuant to Timken, the suspension of liquidation must continue during the pendency of the appeals process. Thus, at this time

we will instruct CBP to continue the suspension of liquidation at a cash deposit rate of 0.00 percent for entries produced and exported by CS Wind Group until otherwise instructed and to release any bond or other security that CS Wind Group made pursuant to the Final Third Redetermination. If the CIT's ruling is not appealed, or if appealed and upheld, the Department will instruct CBP to terminate the suspension of liquidation and to liquidate entries produced and exported by CS Wind Group without regard to antidumping duties. As a result of the exclusion, the Department will not initiate any new administrative reviews of the antidumping duty order with respect to merchandise produced and exported by CS Wind Group. The review will continue with regard to merchandise produced by CS Wind Group and exported by another company or produced by any other company and exported by CS Wind Group.

Finally, we note that, at this time, the Department remains enjoined by Court order from liquidating entries produced and/or exported by CS Wind Group during the period February 13, 2013, through January 31, 2014. These entries will remain enjoined pursuant to the terms of the injunction during the pendency of any appeals process.

## **Notification to Interested Parties**

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(e)(1), 751(a)(1), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 24, 2017.

#### Gary Taverman,

Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2017–06254 Filed 3–28–17; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-570-009]

## Calcium Hypochlorite From the People's Republic of China: Final Decision To Rescind the Countervailing Duty New Shipper Review of Haixing Jingmei Chemical Products Sales Co., Ltd.

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On January 3, 2017, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published its Preliminary intent to rescind the new shipper review (NSR) of the countervailing duty order on calcium hypochlorite from the People's Republic of China (PRC). The period of review is May 27, 2014, through December 31, 2015. As discussed below, we announced our preliminary intent to rescind this review because the Department requested but did not receive from Haixing Jingmei Chemical Products Sales Co., Ltd. (Jingmei) and its customers' information requested by the Department to determine whether, and conclude that, the sale under review is bona fide.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Order, *CS Wind Vietnam Co., Ltd. and CS Wind Corporation* v. *United States*, Consol. Court No. 13–00102, dated October 4, 2016 (*Third Final Redetermination*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See CS Wind Vietnam Co., Ltd. and CS Wind Corporatio v. United States, Consol. Court No. 13– 00102, Slip Op. 17–26 (CIT March 16, 2017); see also http://enforcement.trade.gov/remands/ index.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Timken Co. v. United States, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (Diamond Sawblades).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See Final Third Redetermination.