

## FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act Notice

February 6, 2015.

**TIME AND DATE:** 1:00 p.m., Thursday, February 19, 2015.

**PLACE:** The Richard V. Backley Hearing Room, Room 511N, 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20004 (enter from F Street entrance).

**STATUS:** Open.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** The Commission will consider and act upon the following in open session: *Big Ridge, Inc. v. Secretary of Labor*, Docket Nos. LAKE 2011–699–R, et al.; and *Jim Walter Resources, Inc. v. Secretary of Labor*, Docket Nos. SE 2011–477–R, et al. (Issues include whether the Administrative Law Judges erred in upholding certain orders issued pursuant to sections 103(j) and 103(k) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977.)

Any person attending this meeting who requires special accessibility features and/or auxiliary aids, such as sign language interpreters, must inform the Commission in advance of those needs. Subject to 29 CFR 2706.150(a)(3) and § 2706.160(d).

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFO:**

Emogene Johnson (202) 434–9935/(202) 708–9300 for TDD Relay/1–800–877–8339 for toll free.

**Sarah L. Stewart,**

*Deputy General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2015–02871 Filed 2–6–15; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 6735–01–P**

## FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act Notice

February 6, 2015.

**TIME AND DATE:** 10:00 a.m., Thursday, February 19, 2015.

**PLACE:** The Richard V. Backley Hearing Room, Room 511N, 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20004 (enter from F Street entrance).

**STATUS:** Open.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** The Commission will hear oral argument in the matter *Big Ridge, Inc. v. Secretary of Labor*, Docket Nos. LAKE 2011–699–R, et al. (Issues include whether the Administrative Law Judge erred in upholding certain orders issued pursuant to sections 103(j) and 103(k) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977.)

Any person attending this oral argument who requires special

accessibility features and/or auxiliary aids, such as sign language interpreters, must inform the Commission in advance of those needs. Subject to 29 CFR 2706.150(a)(3) and § 2706.160(d).

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFO:**

Emogene Johnson (202) 434–9935/(202) 708–9300 for TDD Relay/1–800–877–8339 for toll free.

**Sarah L. Stewart,**

*Deputy General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2015–02869 Filed 2–6–15; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 6735–01–P**

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Savings and Loan Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Home Owners' Loan Act (12 U.S.C. 1461 *et seq.*) (HOLA), Regulation LL (12 CFR part 238), and Regulation MM (12 CFR part 239), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a savings and loan holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a savings association and nonbanking companies owned by the savings and loan holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The application also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the HOLA (12 U.S.C. 1467a(e)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 10(c)(4)(B) of the HOLA (12 U.S.C. 1467a(c)(4)(B)). Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than March 6, 2015.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia (William Lang, Senior Vice President) 100 North 6th Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19105–1521:

1. *Kearny MHC, and Kearny Financial Corp.*, to merge with Kearny Financial Corp., (a newly formed holding

company), and thereby directly acquire Kearny Federal Savings Bank, both in Kearny, New Jersey.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, February 4, 2015.

**Michael J. Lewandowski,**

*Associate Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. 2015–02597 Filed 2–9–15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6210–01–P**

## FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission (FTC or Commission).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The information collection requirements described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA). The FTC seeks public comments on its proposal to extend for three years the current PRA clearance for information collection requirements contained in the Pay-Per-Call Rule (Rule). That clearance expires on May 31, 2015.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before April 13, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested parties may file a comment online or on paper by following the instructions in the Request for Comments part of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below. Write “Pay-Per-Call Rule: FTC File No. R611016” on your comment, and file your comment online at <https://ftcpublish.commentworks.com/ftc/ppcrulepra>, by following the instructions on the web-based form. If you prefer to file your comment on paper, mail or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Suite CC–5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW., 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20024.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for copies of the collection of information and supporting documentation should be addressed to Daniel O. Hanks, Attorney, Division of Marketing Practices, Bureau of Consumer Protection, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Mail Drop CC–8528, Washington, DC 20580, (202) 326–2472.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Proposed Information Collection Activities**

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), 44 U.S.C. 3501–3520, federal agencies must get OMB approval for each collection of information they conduct, sponsor, or require. “Collection of information” means agency requests or requirements to submit reports, keep records, or provide information to a third party. 44 U.S.C. 3502(3); 5 CFR 1320.3(c). As required by section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA, the FTC is providing this opportunity for public comment before requesting that OMB extend the existing PRA clearance for the information collection requirements associated with the Commission’s Pay-Per-Call Rule, 16 CFR part 308 (OMB Control Number 3084–0102). The FTC is again seeking a three-year clearance for the Rule as was done in 2012.<sup>1</sup>

The FTC invites comments on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond. All

<sup>1</sup> On October 30, 1998, the Commission published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (“NPRM”), 63 FR 58524, to amend its Pay-Per-Call Rule, 16 CFR part 308. The Rule, which implements Titles II and III of the Telephone Disclosure and Dispute Resolution Act (“TDDRA”), 15 U.S.C. 5711–14, 5721–24, requires the disclosure of cost and other information regarding pay-per-call services and establishes dispute resolution procedures for telephone-billed purchases (*i.e.*, charges for pay-per-call services or other charges appearing on a telephone bill other than telecommunications charges). As was explained in the NPRM, the Rule contains certain reporting and disclosure requirements that are subject to OMB review under the PRA, 44 U.S.C. 3501–3521. Accordingly, the FTC submitted the Rule, with proposed amendments, to OMB (*see* 64 FR 70031, Dec. 15, 1999) for its approval, which was granted until December 31, 2002 (OMB control number 3084–0102). Thereafter, the FTC obtained renewed clearance from OMB covering both the existing Rule and the proposed changes up through April 30, 2009.

Since April 2009, the FTC has obtained two clearances, each of which covered only the existing rule without the proposed changes to the Rule. The proposed changes have not been adopted, and any final decision about them is too uncertain to merit inclusion in this request for clearance renewal. The Commission will seek PRA clearance separately for any proposed rule amendments if that becomes necessary at a future date.

comments must be received on or before April 13, 2015.

**Burden Estimates****Brief Description of the Need for and Proposed Use of the Information**

The existing reporting and disclosure requirements are mandated by the TDDRA to help prevent unfair and deceptive acts and practices in the advertising and operation of pay-per-call services and in the collection of charges for telephone-billed purchases. The information obtained by the Commission pursuant to the reporting requirement is used for law enforcement purposes. The disclosure requirements ensure that consumers are told about the costs of using a pay-per-call service, that they will not be liable for unauthorized non-toll charges on their telephone bills, and how to deal with disputes about telephone-billed purchases.

**Likely Respondents and Their Estimated Number**

Respondents are telecommunications common carriers (subject to the reporting requirement only, unless acting as a billing entity), information providers (vendors) offering one or more pay-per-call services or programs, and billing entities. Staff estimates that there are 6 common carriers,<sup>2</sup> approximately 6,700 vendors,<sup>3</sup> and approximately

<sup>2</sup> This estimate is based on the North American Numbering Plan Association Report, “900–NXX Codes,” found at <http://www.nanpa.com/enas/form900MasterReport.do>, which reports carriers that have been assigned blocks of 900 numbers for service. In calculating the estimate, Canadian entities and two carriers that have formally withdrawn from carrying 900 number service were excluded. *See* Federal Communications Commission, “Section 63.71 Application of Sprint Communications Company L.P. for Authority to Discontinue Domestic Telecommunications Services,” Order, WC Docket No. 08–116, DA 08–2557 (Wireline Competition Bureau Nov. 24, 2008); Federal Communications Commission, “Comments Invited on Application of MCI Communications Services, Inc. d/b/a Verizon Business Services to Discontinue Domestic Telecommunications Services,” WC Docket No. 13–139, DA 13–1256 (Wireline Competition Bureau May 30, 2013). The formal withdrawal of two national carriers from the provision of 900 number transport service suggests that other carriers on the NANPA list may also no longer be providing service on the blocks of 900 numbers assigned to them. This estimate may therefore overstate the number of carriers currently subject to rule requirements.

<sup>3</sup> The number of vendors is difficult to estimate as there is no ready source of such statistics. FTC staff has reduced a 2012 estimate of the number of vendors (13,800) by approximately 51 percent, reflecting a corresponding decrease in the allocation of 900 numbers, as reported annually by the North American Numbering Plan Administration (NANPA). In 2010, it was 123; in 2013, it fell to 60. The withdrawal of at least some carriers from the provision of 900 number transport service and the low call volumes and client bases for these services reported by those carriers, discussed in notes 2 and 12, provide reason to believe that this estimate of the number of current vendors may be overstated.

1,700 possible billing entities.<sup>4</sup> The FTC seeks public comment or data on these estimates and those stated below.

*Estimated annual reporting and disclosure burden:* 1,165,428 hours; \$50,178,450 in associated labor costs.<sup>5</sup>

The burden hour estimate for each reporting and disclosure requirement has been multiplied by a “blended” wage rate (expressed in dollars per hour), based on the particular skill mix needed to carry out that requirement, to determine its total annual cost. The blended rate calculations are based on the following skill categories and average wage rates and/or labor costs: \$115/hour for professional (attorney) services; \$17/hour for skilled clerical workers; \$39/hour for computer programmers; and \$56/hour for management time. These figures are averages, based on the most currently available Bureau of Labor Statistics (“BLS”) cost figures posted online.<sup>6</sup> FTC staff calculated labor costs by applying appropriate hourly cost figures to the burden hours discussed further below.

(1) *Reporting burden* (applies to common carriers):

The Rule provides that common carriers must make available to the Commission, upon written request, any records and financial information maintained by such carrier relating to the arrangements between the carrier and any vendor or service bureau (other than for the provision of local exchange service). *See* 16 CFR 308.6. Staff believes that the resulting burden on this segment of the industry will be minimal, since OMB’s definition of “burden” for PRA purposes excludes

<sup>4</sup> The Federal Communications Commission report on telephone statistics indicated that at the end of 2013 there were 1,723 local telephone companies (local exchange carriers). *See* Local Telephone Competition: Status as of December 31, 2013 (released 10/14) (tables 4 and 5), available at [https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs\\_public/attachmatch/DOC-329975A1.pdf](https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-329975A1.pdf).

<sup>5</sup> Non-labor (*e.g.*, capital/other start-up) costs are generally subsumed in activities otherwise undertaken in the ordinary course of business (*e.g.*, business records from which only existing information must be reported to the Commission, pay-per-call advertisements or audiotext to which cost or other disclosures are added, etc.). To the extent that entities incur operating or maintenance expenses, or purchase outside services to satisfy the Rule’s requirements, staff believe those expenses are also included in (or, if contracted out, would be comparable to) the annual burden hour and cost estimates provided below (where such costs are labor-related), or are otherwise included in the ordinary cost of doing business (regarding non-labor costs).

<sup>6</sup> <http://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/ocwage.pdf> (Occupational Employment and Wages—May 2013, U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Table 1). Notwithstanding the referenced BLS data, estimated attorney costs are based on what staff believes may more closely reflect hourly attorney costs associated with Commission information collection activities under the Rule.

any business effort that would be expended regardless of a regulatory requirement. 5 CFR 1320.3(b)(2). Because this reporting requirement permits staff to seek information limited to that which is already maintained by the carriers, the only burden would be the time an entity expends to compile and provide the information to the Commission. Because the Commission has seldom needed to rely on this requirement, staff estimates the annual time for reporting at 3 hours per entity.

In obtaining OMB clearance for this reporting requirement in 2012, staff estimated a total reporting burden of 21 hours, with an annual cost of \$1,600. Staff is now decreasing the total burden estimate to 18 hours, based on an average estimate of 3 hours expended by 6 common carriers. Using a \$51/hour blended wage rate (assuming for all labor calculations herein, \$39/hour for computer programmers, \$115/hour for attorneys, \$17/hour for skilled clerical workers, and \$56/hour for managers),<sup>7</sup> the FTC now estimates an annual cost of \$920.

(2) *Disclosure burden:*

(a) *Advertising* (applies to vendors). FTC staff estimates that the annual burden on the industry for the Rule's advertising disclosure requirements is 24,120 hours. The estimate reflects the burden on approximately 6,700 vendors who must make cost disclosures for all pay-per-call services and additional disclosures if the advertisement is (a) directed to individuals under 18 or (b) for certain pay-per-call services.<sup>8</sup> Because of continued industry changes and the fact that the Commission has seldom needed to rely on this requirement, staff is retaining the estimated percentage of advertising both directed to individuals under 18 and relating to certain other pay-per-call services to 20 percent of overall pay-per-call services. FTC staff estimates that each disclosure mandated by the Rule requires approximately one hour of compliance time. The total estimated annual cost of these burden hours is

\$1,061,280 applying a blended wage rate of \$44/hour.<sup>9</sup>

(b) *The Rule's preamble disclosure* (applies to every pay-per-call service). To comply with the Act, the Pay-Per-Call Rule also requires that every pay-per-call service be preceded by a free preamble and that four different disclosures be made in each preamble. Additionally, preambles to sweepstakes pay-per-call services and services that offer information on federal programs must provide additional disclosures. Each preamble need only be prepared one time, unless the cost or other information is changed. There is no additional burden on the vendor to make the disclosures for each telephone call, because the preambles are taped and play automatically when a caller dials the pay-per-call number.

As noted above (see footnote 3), staff now believes that the industry has had at least a 51 percent reduction in size since 2012 (when there were an estimated 42,195 pay-per-call services). Accordingly, staff now estimates that there are no more than 20,580 advertised pay-per-call services.

As with advertising disclosures, preambles for certain pay-per-call services require additional preamble disclosures. Consistent with the estimates of advertised pay-per-call services discussed above, staff estimates that an additional 20 percent of all such pay-per-call services (4,120) relating to certain types of pay-per-call services would require such additional disclosures. Staff estimates that it would require no more than one hour to draft each type of disclosure because the disclosures applicable to the preamble closely approximate in content and volume the advertising disclosures discussed above. Accordingly, staff estimates a total of 24,700 burden hours (20,580 + 4,120) to comply with these requirements. At one hour each, cumulative labor cost associated with these disclosures is \$1,086,800, using a blended wage rate of \$44/hour (*i.e.*, similar to the blended rate used for advertising disclosures).

(c) *Telephone-billed charges in billing statements* (applies to vendors; applies to common carriers if acting as billing entity). Section 308.5(j) of the Rule, 16 CFR 308.5(j), requires that vendors ensure that certain disclosures appear on each billing statement that contains a charge for a call to a pay-per-call service. Because these disclosures appear on telephone bills already generated by the local telephone

companies, and because the carriers are already subject to nearly identical requirements pursuant to the FCC's rules, FTC staff estimated that the burden to comply would be minimal. At most, the burden on the vendor would be limited to spot checking telephone bills to ensure that the charges are displayed in the manner required by the Rule.

As it had in the 2012 PRA submission, FTC staff estimates that only 10 percent of vendors would monitor billing statements in this manner and that it would take 12 hours per year to conduct such checks. Using the total estimated number of vendors (6,700), this results in a total of 8,040 burden hours. The total annual cost would be at most \$361,800, using a blended rate of \$45/hour.<sup>10</sup>

(d) *Dispute resolution procedures in billing statements* (applies to billing entities). This disclosure requirement is set forth in 16 CFR 308.7(c). The blended rate used for these disclosures is \$43/hour.<sup>11</sup> FTC staff previously estimated that the billing entities would spend approximately 5 hours each to review, revise, and provide the disclosures on an annual basis. The estimated hour burden for the annual notice component of this requirement is 8,500 burden hours (based on 1,700 possible billing entities each requiring 5 hours), or a total cost of \$365,500.

(e) *Further disclosures related to consumers reporting a billing error* (applies to billing entities). As in the 2012 PRA submission for this Rule, FTC staff estimates that the incremental disclosure obligations related to consumers reporting a billing error under section 308.7(d) requires, on average, about one hour per each billing error. Previously, staff projected that approximately 5 percent of an estimated 45,101,950 calls made to pay-per-call services each year involves such a billing error. The staff is now reducing its prior estimate of the number of those calls by approximately 51 percent<sup>12</sup> (to

<sup>7</sup> This blended wage rate is based upon an estimate of 30 percent for computer programming, 20 percent for attorney services, 30 percent for skilled clerical workers, and 20 percent for managerial time.

<sup>8</sup> Based on an assumed three advertisements per vendor, or a total of 20,100 ads (for 6,700 vendors, as explained in note 3), plus an estimated total 20 percent of which would require such additional disclosures, or 4,020 advertisements. Staff estimates that it would require no more than one hour to draft each type of disclosure. Accordingly, at an estimated one hour each, vendors would require cumulatively 24,120 burden hours to comply with these requirements.

<sup>9</sup> The blended rate is based upon 20 percent for attorney services, 60 percent for skilled clerical workers, and 20 percent for management time.

<sup>10</sup> The blended rate is 15 percent for attorney services, 40 percent for skilled clerical workers, 25 percent for computer programming, and 20 percent for management time.

<sup>11</sup> The blended rate is 40 percent for computer programming, 10 percent for attorney services, 30 percent for skilled clerical workers, and 20 percent for management time.

<sup>12</sup> This reduction is based on the decrease in the allocation of 900 numbers discussed in note 3. Even this reduced number may substantially overstate the current volume of calls made to pay-per-call services. For example, one national carrier that withdrew from carrying 900-number services reported that its call volume had dropped by more than 80% and that it had only two remaining customers for such services. See Federal Communications Commission, "Comments Invited on Application of MCI Communications Services,

22,001,000 calls) to reflect recent changes in the amount of pay-per-call services and their billing. Assuming the same apportionment (5 percent) of overall calls to pay-per-call services, this amounts to 1,100,050 hours, cumulatively. Applying the \$43/hour blended wage rate, the estimated annual cost is \$47,302,150.

#### Request for Comments

You can file a comment online or on paper. Write "Pay-Per-Call Rule: FTC File No. R611016" on your comment. Your comment—including your name and your state—will be placed on the public record of this proceeding, including, to the extent practicable, on the public Commission Web site, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/publiccomments.shtm>. As a matter of discretion, the Commission tries to remove individuals' home contact information from comments before placing them on the Commission Web site. Because your comment will be made public, you are solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive personal information, such as a Social Security number, date of birth, driver's license number or other state identification number or foreign country equivalent, passport number, financial account number, or credit or debit card number. You are also solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive health information, such as medical records or other individually identifiable health information. In addition, do not include any "[t]rade secret or any commercial or financial information which is . . . privileged or confidential," as discussed in Section 6(f) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and FTC Rule 4.10(a)(2), 16 CFR 4.10(a)(2). In particular, do not include competitively sensitive information such as costs, sales statistics,

inventories, formulas, patterns, devices, manufacturing processes, or customer names.

If you want the Commission to give your comment confidential treatment, you must file it in paper form, with a request for confidential treatment, and you must follow the procedure explained in FTC Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c). Your comment will be kept confidential only if the FTC General Counsel, in his or her sole discretion, grants your request in accordance with the law and the public interest. Postal mail addressed to the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security screening. As a result, the Commission encourages you to submit your comments online. To make sure that the Commission considers your online comment, you must file it at <https://ftcpublic.commentworks.com/ftc/ppcrulepra> by following the instructions on the web-based form. If this Notice appears at <http://www.regulations.gov>, you also may file a comment through that Web site.

If you file your comment on paper, write "Pay-Per-Call Rule: FTC File No. R611016" on your comment and on the envelope, and mail it to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Suite CC-5610, (Annex J), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW., 5th Floor, Suite 5610, (Annex J), Washington, DC 20024. If possible, please submit your paper comment to the Commission by courier or overnight service.

The FTC Act and other laws that the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate. The Commission will

consider all timely and responsive public comments that it receives on or before April 13, 2015. You can find more information, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, in the Commission's privacy policy, at <http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/privacy.htm>.

**David C. Shonka,**

*Principal Deputy General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2015-02703 Filed 2-9-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6750-01-P**

#### FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

##### Granting of Request for Early Termination of The Waiting Period Under The Premerger Notification Rules

Section 7A of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18a, as added by Title IT of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, requires persons contemplating certain mergers or acquisitions to give the Federal Trade Commission and the Assistant Attorney General advance notice and to wait designated periods before consummation of such plans. Section 7A(b)(2) of the Act permits the agencies, in individual cases, to terminate this waiting period prior to its expiration and requires that notice of this action be published in the **Federal Register**.

The following transactions were granted early termination on the dates indicated of the waiting period provided by law and the premerger notification rules. The listing for each transaction includes the transaction number and the parties to the transaction. The grants were made by the Federal Trade Commission and the Assistant Attorney General for the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice. Neither agency intends to take any action with respect to these proposed acquisitions during the applicable waiting period.

#### EARLY TERMINATIONS GRANTED JANUARY 1, 2015 THRU JANUARY 30, 2015

01/02/2015		
20150352 .....	G	Gregory B. Maffei: Liberty Interactive Corporation: Gregory B. Maffei.
20150388 .....	G	Genstar Capital Partners VI, L.P.: Thoma Bravo Fund X. L.P. Genstar Capital Partners VI, L.P.
01/05/2015		
20150391 .....	G	BCE Inc.; GLENTEL Inc.: BCE Inc.
20150397 .....	G	EHL 2012 Marital Trust Two; Glenn and Shannon Dellimore; EFIL 2012 Marital Trust Two.
20150401 .....	G	Thomas H. Lee Parallel (Cayman) Fund VII. L.P.; GTCR Fund IX/A, L.P. Thomas H Lee Parallel (Cayman) Fund VII, L.P.
01/06/2015		
20150399 .....	G	Partners Group Precision Investment Limited; Dynacast International Inc.; Partners Group Precision Investment Limited.

Inc. d/b/a Verizon Business Services to Discontinue Domestic Telecommunications Services," WC

Docket No. 13-139, DA 13-1256 (Wireline Competition Bureau May 30, 2013). Proceeding

conservatively, however, staff has reduced the prior call volume estimate by only 51%.