Department intends to reject factual submissions if the submitting party does not comply with the applicable revised certification requirements.

Notification to Interested Parties

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. On January 22, 2008, the Department published Antidumping and *Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Documents Submission Procedures; APO Procedures,* 73 FR 3634 (January 22, 2008). Parties wishing to participate in these investigations should ensure that they meet the requirements of these procedures (*e.g.,* the filing of letters of appearance as discussed at 19 CFR 351.103(d)).

This notice is issued and published pursuant to sections 702 and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: March 30, 2015.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

Scope of the Investigations

The merchandise covered by these investigations is polyethylene terephthalate (PET) resin having an intrinsic viscosity of at least 0.70, but not more than 0.88, deciliters per gram. The scope includes blends of virgin PET resin and recycled PET resin containing predominantly virgin PET resin content, provided such blends meet the intrinsic viscosity requirements above. The scope includes all PET resin meeting the above specifications regardless of additives introduced in the manufacturing process.

Although the merchandise covered by these investigations is not defined by its end use, it is typically used in the production of plastic bottles, in packaging for beverage, food, and manufactured products, in containers for household and automotive products, and in industrial strapping, among other applications.

The merchandise subject to these investigations is properly classified under subheading 3907.60.00.30 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under investigation is dispositive.

[FR Doc. 2015–07835 Filed 4–3–15; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-843]

Certain Lined Paper Products From India: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 14, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the Preliminary *Results* of a changed circumstances review (CCR) of the antidumping duty order on certain lined paper products from India.¹ The Department preliminarily determined that Kokuyo Riddhi Paper Products Private Limited (Kokuyo) is the successor-in-interest to Riddhi Enterprises (Riddhi). We received comments from interested parties on the Preliminary Results. Based on our analysis of these comments, for the final results, the Department continues to find that Kokuyo is the successor-in-interest to Riddhi.

DATES: Effective Date: April 6, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cindy Robinson or Eric B. Greynolds, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3797 and (202) 482–6071, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 28, 2006, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on certain lined paper from India.²

On May 14, 2014, Kokuyo requested that the Department conduct a CCR to determine whether it is the successorin-interest to Riddhi, for purposes of determining antidumping duties due as a result of the *CLPP Order*. On July 14,

² See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Lined Paper Products from the People's Republic of China; Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Lined Paper Products from India, Indonesia and the People's Republic of China; and Notice of Countervailing Duty Orders: Certain Lined Paper Products from India and Indonesia, 71 FR 56949 (September 28, 2006) (CLPP Order). 2014, the Department published its *Preliminary Results*, in which it determined that Kokuyo is the successor-in-interest to Riddhi.³ The Department invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*.⁴ On August 11, 2014, Petitioners ⁵ submitted their post-preliminary comments.⁶ On August 29, 2014, Kokuyo submitted its rebuttal comments.⁷ On September 5, 2014, Petitioners submitted a case brief.⁸ On September 18, 2014, Kokuyo submitted a rebuttal brief.⁹

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the CLPP Order is certain lined paper products, typically school supplies (for purposes of this scope definition, the actual use of or labeling these products as school supplies or non-school supplies is not a defining characteristic) composed of or including paper that incorporates straight horizontal and/or vertical lines on ten or more paper sheets (there shall be no minimum page requirement for looseleaf filler paper). The products are currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 4811.90.9035, 4811.90.9080, 4820.30.0040, 4810.22.5044, 4811.90.9050, 4811.90.9090, 4820.10.2010, 4820.10.2020, 4820.10.2030, 4820.10.2040, 4820.10.2050, 4820.10.2060, and 4820.10.4000. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.10

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the postpreliminary and rebuttal comments, or in case and rebuttal briefs by parties to

⁵ Petitioners are the Association of American School Paper Suppliers (AASPS) and its individual members, which consists of the following companies: ACCO Brands USA LLC, Norcom Inc., and Top Flight, Inc. *See* Petitioners' letter dated June 5, 2014.

⁶ See Petitioners' August 11, 2014 comments (Post-Preliminary Comments).

⁷ See Kokuyo's August 29, 2014 rebuttal comments (Post-Preliminary Rebuttal).

⁸ See Petitioners' September 5, 2014 Case Brief.
⁹ See Kokuvo's September 18, 2014 Rebuttal Brief.

¹⁰ For a complete description of the scope of the *CLPP Order, see* the memorandum from Gary Taverman, Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Issue and Decision Memorandum for Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review: Certain Lined Paper Products from India" (Issues and Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with these final results.

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

http://enforcement.trade.gov/tlei/notices/factual_ info_final_rule_FAQ_07172013.pdf.

¹ See Certain Lined Paper Products From India: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review, 79 FR 40709 (July 14, 2014) (Preliminary Results), and the accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

³ See Preliminary Results, 79 FR 40709. ⁴ Id.

this changed circumstances review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised, and to which we have responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix.

The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically *via* Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("ACCESS").¹¹ ACCESS is available to registered users at *http:// access.trade.gov* and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at *http://*

enforcement.ita.doc.gov/frn/index.html. The signed and electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

For the Preliminary Results, the Department found that Kokuyo was the successor-in-interest to Riddhi based on evidence on the record. For these final results, the Department continues to find that the business transfer from Riddhi to Kokuyo resulted in no significant changes to management, production facilities, supplier relationships, and customers with respect to the production and sale of the subject merchandise. Thus, we determine that Kokuyo operates as essentially the same business entity as Riddhi with respect to the subject merchandise.

Accordingly, the Department determines that Kokuyo is the successor-in-interest to Riddhi for the purpose of determining antidumping duty liability.

Instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection

As a result of this determination, we find that Kokuyo should receive the cash deposit rate previously assigned to Riddhi in the most recently completed review of the antidumping duty order on certain lined paper products from India. Consequently, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to collect estimated antidumping duties for all shipments of subject merchandise exported by Kokuyo and entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of this notice in the **Federal Register** at the current cash deposit rate for Riddhi, which is *de minimis.*¹² This cash deposit requirement shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.306. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.216(e).

Dated: March 30, 2015.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of Interested Party Comments Comment 1: Time Periods Analyzed When Conducting a Successor-in-Interest CCR Analysis
- Comment 2: Whether Kokuyo's Management Structure Is Similar to That of Riddhi

Comment 3: Whether Kokuyo's Production Facilities Are Similar to Those of Riddhi

Comment 4: Whether Kokuyo's Customer Base Is the Same as Riddhi's

Comment 5: Whether Kokuyo's Supplier Base Is the Same as Riddhi's

V. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2015–07826 Filed 4–3–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Census Bureau

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and

Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

Agency: U.S. Census Bureau. Title: American Community Survey (ACS) Methods Panel Envelope Mandatory Messaging Test.

OMB Control Number: 0607–0936. Form Number(s): ACS–1, ACS–1 (Spanish), ACS CATI, ACS CAPI, ACS Internet.

Type of Request: Emergency review. *Number of Respondents:* 24,000. *Average Hours Per Response:* 40 minutes.

Burden Hours: 16,000. Needs and Uses:

The American Community Survey collects detailed socioeconomic data from about 3.5 million households in the United States and 36,000 in Puerto Rico each year. The ACS also collects detailed socioeconomic data from about 195,000 residents living in Group Quarter (GQ) facilities. An ongoing data collection effort with an annual sample of this magnitude requires that the ACS continue research, testing and evaluations aimed at improving data quality, achieving survey cost efficiencies, and improving ACS questionnaire content and related data collection materials. The ACS Methods Panel is a research program that is designed to address and respond to issues and survey needs. One of those issues relates to respondent concerns about the tone of the mandatory message on the ACS mail materials.

The outside of the envelopes that contain the instructions to respond online and the paper ACS questionnaire display the bold message, "YOUR RESPONSE IS REQUIRED BY LAW." The prominent references to the mandatory participation in the ACS are concerning to many respondents. The Census Bureau developed the current presentation of the mandatory nature of participation based on previous research that identified a significant positive impact of these messages in encouraging high self-response rates and reducing the need for follow-up by phone or personal visits by Census Bureau interviewers. In order to be responsive to respondent concern with the prominence of the mandatory message on the envelopes, we are conducting the Envelope Mandatory Messaging Test.

This test will measure the impact of removing the phrase "YOUR RESPONSE IS REQUIRED BY LAW" from the envelopes (Attachment C) used to mail the initial package (second mailing) as well as the replacement package (fourth mailing). This phrase

¹¹On November 24, 2014, Enforcement and Compliance changed the name of Enforcement and Compliance's AD and CVD Centralized Electronic Service System ("IA ACCESS") to AD and CVD Centralized Electronic Service System ('ACCESS''). The Web site location was changed from *http:// iaaccess.trade.gov* to *http://access.trade.gov*. The Final Rule changing the references to the Regulations can be found at 79 FR 69046 (November 20, 2014).

¹² See Certain Lined Paper Products From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2010–2011, 78 FR 22232 (April 15, 2013).