

components as necessary to conform to the requirements of FMVSS No. 301.

Standard No. 401 *Interior Trunk Release*: Installation of U.S.-model interior trunk release components.

The petitioner states that the bumpers and bumper support structure are identical to that of the U.S. certified model. However, the bumper reinforcements and brackets must be inspected to ensure that the correct components were installed prior to importation. If not, they must be replaced with U.S.-model components to comply with 49 CFR Part 581.

The petitioner additionally states that a vehicle identification plate must be affixed to the vehicles near the left windshield post to meet the requirements of 49 CFR Part 565.

Because the subject petition covers nonconforming vehicles that have been manufactured on or after September 1, 2006, compliance with the advanced air bag requirements of FMVSS No. 208 is of significant concern to the agency. NHTSA is therefore particularly interested in comments regarding the ability of a Registered Importer to readily alter the subject vehicles to fully meet the driver and front outboard passenger frontal crash protection and child passenger protection requirements of FMVSS No. 208. The following is a partial listing of the components that may be affected:

- a. Driver's frontal air bag module
- b. Passenger frontal air bag module
- c. Passenger frontal air bag cover
- d. Knee air bags
- e. Knee bolsters
- f. Passenger outboard frontal seat belt system
- g. Driver and front outboard seat assemblies including seat tracks and internal seat components
- h. Steering wheel components, including the clock spring assembly, the steering column, and all connecting components
- i. Instrument panel
- j. Instrument panel support structure (i.e. cross beam)
- k. Occupant sensing and classification systems, including sensors and processors
- l. Restraint control modules
- m. Passenger air bag status indicator light system, including related display components and wiring
- n. Wiring harnesses between the restraint control module, occupant classification system and restraint system components
- o. Control system computer software and firmware

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date

indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above addresses both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), (a)(1)(B), and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.7; delegation of authority at 49 CFR 1.95 and 501.8.

Dated: March 10, 2014.

**Coleman Sachs,**

*Acting Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2014-06165 Filed 3-20-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-59-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2013-0108; Notice 1]

#### Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming 2011 Ferrari 599 GTO Passenger Cars Are Eligible for Importation

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Receipt of petition.

**SUMMARY:** This document announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that nonconforming 2011 Ferrari 599 GTO passenger cars that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (FMVSS), are eligible for importation into the United States because they are substantially similar to vehicles that were originally manufactured for sale in the United States and that were certified by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards (the U.S.-certified version of the 2011 Ferrari 599 GTO) and they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

**DATES:** The closing date for comments on the petition is April 21, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should refer to the docket and notice numbers above and be submitted by any of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- **Mail:** Docket Management Facility: U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., West Building

Ground Floor, Room W12-140, Washington, DC 20590-0001.

- **Hand Delivery or Courier:** West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

- **Fax:** 202-493-2251.

**Instructions:** Comments must be written in the English language, and be no greater than 15 pages in length, although there is no limit to the length of necessary attachments to the comments. If comments are submitted in hard copy form, please ensure that two copies are provided. If you wish to receive confirmation that your comments were received, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard with the comments. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided. Please see the Privacy Act heading below.

**Privacy Act:** Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477-78).

**How to Read Comments submitted to the Docket:** You may read the comments received by Docket Management at the address and times given above. You may also view the documents from the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for accessing the dockets. The docket ID number and title of this notice are shown at the heading of this document notice. Please note that even after the comment closing date, we will continue to file relevant information in the Docket as it becomes available. Further, some people may submit late comments. Accordingly, we recommend that you periodically search the Docket for new material.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** George Stevens, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202-366-5308).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable FMVSS shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured

for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable FMVSS.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the **Federal Register** of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the **Federal Register**.

J.K. Technologies, LLC. of Baltimore, Maryland (Registered Importer 90-006) has petitioned NHTSA to decide whether nonconforming 2011 Ferrari 599 GTO passenger cars are eligible for importation into the United States. The vehicles which J.K. Technologies believes are substantially similar are 2011 Ferrari 599 GTO passenger cars that were manufactured for sale in the United States and certified by their manufacturer as conforming to all applicable FMVSS.

The petitioner claims that it compared non-U.S. certified 2011 Ferrari 599 GTO passenger cars to their U.S.-certified counterparts, and found the vehicles to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with most FMVSS.

J.K. Technologies submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that non-U.S. certified 2011 Ferrari 599 GTO passenger cars, as originally manufactured, conform to many FMVSS in the same manner as their U.S. certified counterparts, or are capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards.

Specifically, the petitioner claims that non-U.S. certified 2011 Ferrari 599 GTO passenger cars are identical to their U.S. certified counterparts with respect to compliance with Standard Nos. 102 *Transmission Shift Lever Sequence, Starter Interlock, and Transmission Braking Effect*, 103 *Windshield Defrosting and Defogging Systems*, 104 *Windshield Wiping and Washing Systems*, 106 *Brake Hoses*, 113 *Hood Latch System*, 116 *Motor Vehicle Brake Fluids*, 124 *Accelerator Control Systems*, 126 *Electronic Stability Control Systems*, 135 *Light Vehicle Brake Systems*, 138 *Tire Pressure Monitoring Systems*, 139 *New Pneumatic Radial Tires for Light Vehicles*, 201 *Occupant*

*Protection in Interior Impact*, 202 *Head Restraints*, 204 *Steering Control Rearward Displacement*, 205 *Glazing Materials*, 206 *Door Locks and Door Retention Components*, 210 *Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages*, 212 *Windshield Mounting*, 214 *Side Impact Protection*, 216 *Roof Crush Resistance*, 219 *Windshield Zone Intrusion*, 225 *Child Restraint Anchorage Systems*, and 302 *Flammability of Interior Materials*.

The petitioner also contends that the vehicles are capable of being readily altered to meet the following standards, in the manner indicated:

Standard No. 101 *Controls and Displays*: Replacement of the instrument cluster with a U.S.-model component and reprogramming of the vehicle computer.

Standard No. 108 *Lamps, Reflective Devices and Associated Equipment*: Replacement of the headlamps, side marker lamps, and tail lamps with U.S.-model components and reprogramming the vehicle computer to activate necessary systems.

Standard No. 110 *Tire Selection and Rims for Motor Vehicles with a GVWR of 4,536 kilograms (10,000 pounds) or Less*: Installation of a tire information placard.

Standard No. 111 *Rearview Mirrors*: Replacement of the passenger side rearview mirror with a U.S.-model component or inscription of the required warning statement on the face of that mirror.

Standard No. 114 *Theft Protection and Rollaway Prevention*: Reprogramming the vehicle computer to activate the key warning system.

Standard No. 118 *Power-Operated Window, Partition, and Roof Panel Systems*: Reprogramming of the vehicle computer.

Standard No. 207 *Seating Systems*: Replacement of non-conforming seating systems with parts complying with advanced airbag regulations from U.S.-model of the vehicle.

Standard No. 208 *Occupant Crash Protection*: Inspection to confirm that belts, airbags, sensors, control units, wiring harnesses, knee bolsters, and braces bear U.S.-model part numbers. Non-U.S.-model parts will be replaced with U.S.-model components to render the vehicle identical to the U.S.-model in regards to the standard. Reprogram the vehicle computer to activate the seat belt warning system.

Standard No. 209 *Seat Belt Assemblies*: Inspection of seatbelts and replacement of non-conforming belts with U.S.-model components.

Standard No. 301 *Fuel System Integrity*: Inspection of all vehicles and replacement of any non U.S.-model fuel

system components with U.S.-model components as necessary to conform to the requirements of FMVSS No. 301.

Standard No. 401 *Interior Trunk Release*: Installation of U.S.-model interior trunk release components.

The petitioner states that the bumpers and bumper support structure are identical to that of the U.S. certified model. However, the bumper reinforcements and brackets must be inspected to ensure that the correct components were installed prior to importation. If not, they must be replaced with U.S.-model components to comply with 49 CFR part 581.

The petitioner additionally states that a vehicle identification plate must be affixed to the vehicles near the left windshield post to meet the requirements of 49 CFR part 565.

Because the subject petition covers nonconforming vehicles that have been manufactured on or after September 1, 2006, compliance with the advanced air bag requirements of FMVSS No. 208 is of significant concern to the agency.

NHTSA is therefore particularly interested in comments regarding the ability of a Registered Importer to readily alter the subject vehicles to fully meet the driver and front outboard passenger frontal crash protection and child passenger protection requirements of FMVSS No. 208. The following is a partial listing of the components that may be affected:

- a. Driver's frontal air bag module
- b. Passenger frontal air bag module
- c. Passenger frontal air bag cover
- d. Knee air bags
- e. Knee bolsters
- f. Passenger outboard frontal seat belt system
- g. Driver and front outboard seat assemblies including seat tracks and internal seat components
- h. Steering wheel components, including the clock spring assembly, the steering column, and all connecting components
- i. Instrument panel
- j. Instrument panel support structure (i.e. cross beam)
- k. Occupant sensing and classification systems, including sensors and processors
- l. Restraint control modules
- m. Passenger air bag status indicator light system, including related display components and wiring
- n. Wiring harnesses between the restraint control module, occupant classification system and restraint system components
- o. Control system computer software and firmware

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date

indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above addresses both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), (a)(1)(B), and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.7; delegation of authority at 49 CFR 1.95 and 501.8.

Dated: March 10, 2014.

**Coleman Sachs,**

*Acting Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2014-06168 Filed 3-20-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-59-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation

#### Advisory Board; Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463; 5 U.S.C. App. I), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Advisory Board of the Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation (SLSDC), to be held from 8 a.m. to 9:45 a.m. (EDT) on Thursday, April 24, 2014 at the SLSDC's Administration Building, 180 Andrews Street, Massena, New York 13662. The agenda for this meeting will be as follows: Opening Remarks; Consideration of Minutes of Past Meeting; Quarterly Report; Old and New Business; Closing Discussion; Adjournment.

Attendance at the meeting is open to the interested public but limited to the space available. With the approval of the Administrator, members of the public may present oral statements at the meeting. Persons wishing further information should contact, not later than Friday, April 18, 2014, Anita K. Blackman, Senior Advisor to the Administrator, Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590; 202-366-0091.

Any member of the public may present a written statement to the Advisory Board at any time.

Issued at Washington, DC, on March 17, 2014.

**Carrie Lavigne,**  
*Chief Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2014-06151 Filed 3-20-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-61-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Surface Transportation Board

[Docket No. FD 35798]

#### RMW Ventures, LLC—Corporate Family Transaction—Big Four Terminal Railroad, LLC, and Wabash Central Railway, LLC

RMW Ventures, LLC (RMW) filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(3) for a corporate family transaction within the family of business entities owned by Spencer N. Wendelin.

According to RMW, Mr. Wendelin currently owns RMW and the stock of both Big Four Terminal Railroad, LLC (BFTR) and Wabash Central Railway, LLC (WCR), Class III rail carriers.

Applicant seeks authorization for RMW to acquire the stock of BFTR and WCR. According to RMW, the purpose of this transaction is to allow Mr. Wendelin to retain indirect control of BFTR and WCR through RMW.<sup>1</sup>

Applicant anticipates consummating the proposed transaction after the effective date of the exemption (30 days after the exemption was filed).<sup>2</sup>

This is a transaction within a corporate family of the type exempted from prior review and approval under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(3). RMW states that the transaction will not result in adverse changes in service levels, significant operational changes, or a change in the competitive balance with carriers outside the corporate family.

Under 49 U.S.C. 10502(g), the Board may not use its exemption authority to relieve a rail carrier of its statutory obligation to protect the interests of its employees. Section 11326(c), however, does not provide for labor protection for transactions under 11324 and 11325 that involve only Class III rail carriers. Accordingly, the Board may not impose labor protective conditions here, because the transaction involves only Class III rail carriers.

If the notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not

<sup>1</sup> This transaction is related to a concurrently filed verified notice of exemption in *Spencer N. Wendelin—Continuance in Control—RMW Ventures, LLC, Big Four Terminal Railroad, LLC, and Wabash Central Railway, LLC*, Docket No. FD 35801, wherein Mr. Wendelin seeks continuance in control authority for RMW, BFTR, and WCR.

<sup>2</sup> Applicant filed an amended notice of exemption on March 5, 2014. The proposed transaction may be consummated on April 4, 2014, the same day the notice of exemption in Docket No. FD 35801 becomes effective.

automatically stay the effectiveness of the exemption. Petitions for stay must be filed no later than March 28, 2014 (at least seven days before the exemption becomes effective).

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to Docket No. FD 35798, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20423-0001. In addition, one copy of each pleading must be served on counsel for RMW, Richard R. Wilson, 518 N. Center Street, Ste. 100, Ebensburg, PA 15931.

Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at “[WWW.STB.DOT.GOV](http://WWW.STB.DOT.GOV).”

Decided: March 17, 2014.

By the Board, Rachel D. Campbell,  
Director, Office of Proceedings.

**Raina S. White,**  
*Clearance Clerk.*

[FR Doc. 2014-06210 Filed 3-20-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4915-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Surface Transportation Board

[Docket No. FD 35801]

#### Spencer N. Wendelin—Continuance in Control—RMW Ventures, LLC, Big Four Terminal Railroad, LLC, and Wabash Central Railway, LLC

Spencer N. Wendelin (Applicant), a noncarrier individual, has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(2) to continue in control of RMW Ventures, LLC (RMW) and Big Four Terminal Railroad, LLC (BFTR), a Class III rail carrier.

Applicant states that he owns and controls both RMW and BFTR. Applicant also states that he owns and controls Wabash Central Railway, LLC (WCR), a Class III rail carrier. In 2010, BFTR filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1150.31 to operate 5.2+/- miles of rail line owned by RMW located between milepost 0.0 in Connorsville, Ind., and milepost 5.2+/- in Beesons, Ind., in Fayette and Wayne Counties, Ind. *Big Four Terminal R.R.—Operation Exemption—RMW Ventures*, FD 35454 (STB served Dec. 30, 2010). According to Applicant, BFTR was incorporated to operate this 5.2-mile line of railroad in 2010, at which time Applicant also owned and controlled RMW. Applicant states that, during the incorporation of BFTR, he inadvertently did not seek continuance in control authority involving BFTR. Applicant filed the verified notice of exemption with the Board to correct that oversight on February 12, 2014.