

comments of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, regarding proposed alterations to Pawtucket Dam on the Merrimack River in Lowell, MA.

SUMMARY: The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) has terminated consultation under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act regarding a proposal by the Lowell Hydroelectric Project (Lowell Hydro) to modify the Pawtucket Dam on the Merrimack River in Lowell, Massachusetts. The Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) will issue advisory comments to FERC regarding this project on February 22, 2013. The ACHP will hold a public meeting in Lowell on February 5, 2013 to receive stakeholder and public views regarding the effects of the project on historic properties.

DATES: February 5, 2013, from 7:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. (E.S.T.).

ADDRESSES: Special Events Center, Lowell National Historical Park, Boott Mills, Second Floor, 115 John Street, Lowell, MA 01852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John T. Eddins, Program Analyst/Archaeologist, Office of Federal Agency Programs, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Suite 803, Washington, DC 20004; Telephone: 202-606-8553; or Email: pdcomments@achp.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: FERC is in the final stages of review of a proposal by the Lowell Hydroelectric Project to modify the Pawtucket Dam on the Merrimack River in the city of Lowell, Middlesex County, Massachusetts. In 2010, Boott Hydropower, Inc. and the Eldred L. Field Hydroelectric Facility Trust (Boott), co-licensees for the Lowell Hydro Project, filed a non-capacity amendment for its license with FERC, proposing modifications to the dam that would address concerns expressed by local residents about flooding associated with Pawtucket dam operations and also more efficiently maintain an operating pool for the hydroelectric facility.

As part of that review process, FERC must comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and its implementing regulations (Section 106), "Protection of Historic Properties" at 36 CFR part 800, which require that federal agencies take into account the effects of undertakings they carry out, financially assist, or license on historic properties. When, as is the case here, an undertaking may adversely affect a historic property but certain parties cannot reach an agreement to resolve

such effects, the ACHP issues formal comments to the head of the relevant agency. The public meeting that is the subject of this notice will provide an opportunity for FERC, all consulting parties, and the public to provide their views to the ACHP on this undertaking. The ACHP will consider such views as it develops and finalizes its formal comments.

The Pawtucket Dam is a nationally significant historic engineering resource listed in the National Register of Historic Places (National Register) and as a National Historic Landmark (NHL) within the Lowell Locks and Canals Historic District (LLCHD). The LLCHD is nationally significant as representative of America's first great industrial city and today encompasses the most historically significant extant aggregation of early 19th-century industrial structures and artifacts in the United States. The Pawtucket Dam is also included as a nationally significant structure in the Lowell Historic Preservation District (LHPD) and the National Park Service's List of Classified Structures (LCS) for Lowell National Historic Park (LNHP), both listed on the National Register. Stakeholders and members of the public have expressed concerns about the effects of the project on Pawtucket Dam itself, the LLCHD, LHPD, and LNHP.

On January 8, 2013, FERC determined that agreement on how to resolve adverse effects to these historic properties could not be reached among consulting parties, and requested that the ACHP provide comments in order to conclude the Section 106 review process. A panel of ACHP members will issue comments to the head of the agency within 45 days of the request (i.e., on or before February 22, 2013). Once the head of FERC considers the ACHP comments and responds to them in accordance with the Section 106 regulations and Section 110(l) of the National Historic Preservation Act, FERC will have concluded the Section 106 process and may make a final decision on the project. The head of FERC must provide a summary of the decision that contains a rationale for the decision and evidence of consideration of the ACHP's comments, providing a copy of the summary to the ACHP and all consulting parties, and notifying the public.

Priority for speaking at the public meeting will be given to FERC, the Massachusetts State Historic Preservation Officer, the National Park Service, and Boott, and then to those who notify the ACHP of their desire to speak in advance of the meeting via email to pdcomments@achp.gov or fax

to 202-606-5072. Those interested in speaking should provide the name and the organization the speaker officially represents (if any). Speakers may be given prescribed time limits. Requests to speak will also be taken at the meeting. People who have not preregistered will be allowed to speak as time permits.

The ACHP also welcomes written comments from any party. Written comments may be sent via mail (addressed to the attention of John T. Eddins, Program Analyst/Archaeologist, Office of Federal Agency Programs, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Suite 803, Washington, DC 20004), fax (at 202-606-8672), or via email (addressed to pdcomments@achp.gov). All written comments received by the ACHP on or before 5 p.m. February 15, 2013, will be part of the public record and reviewed by the ACHP prior to the transmittal of its formal comments.

Authority: 36 CFR 800.7.

Dated: January 29, 2013.

Reid Nelson,

Acting Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 2013-02210 Filed 1-31-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-K6-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

[Docket No. DHS-2013-0001]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for Review; Information Collection Extension Request for the Support Anti-Terrorism by Fostering Effective Technologies (SAFETY) Act Program

AGENCY: Science and Technology Directorate, DHS.

ACTION: 30-day Notice and request for comment.

SUMMARY: The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) is soliciting public comment on the following forms: (1) Registration as a Seller of an Anti-Terrorism Technology (DHS Form 10010); (2) Request for a Pre-Application Consultation (DHS Form 10009); (3) Notice of License of Qualified Anti-Terrorism Technology (DHS Form 10003); (4) Notice of Modification of Qualified Anti-Terrorism Technology (DHS Form 10002); (5) Application for Transfer of SAFETY Act Designation and Certification (DHS Form 10001); (6) Application for Renewal Of SAFETY Act Protections of a Qualified Anti-Terrorism Technology (DHS Form 10057); (7) Application for SAFETY Act

Developmental Testing and Evaluation Designation (DHS Form 10006); (8) Application for SAFETY Act Designation (DHS Form 10008); (9) Application for SAFETY Act Certification (DHS Form 10007); (10) SAFETY Act Block Designation Application (DHS Form 10005); and (11) SAFETY Act Block Certification Application (DHS Form 10004).

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until March 4, 2013.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number DHS-2013-0001, by one of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <http://www.regulations.gov>. Please follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- **Email:** douglas.m.smith@hq.dhs.gov. Please include docket number DHS-2013-0001 in the subject line of the message.

- **Mail:** Science and Technology Directorate, ATTN: SAFETY Act, 245 Murray Lane SW., Mail Stop 0202, Washington, DC 20528.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: douglas.m.smith@hq.dhs.gov (202) 254-5604 (Not a toll free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: DHS S&T provides a secure Web site, accessible through www.SAFETYAct.gov, through which the public can learn about the program, submit applications for SAFETY Act protections, submit questions to the Office of SAFETY Act Implementation (OSAI), and provide feedback. The data collection forms have standardized the collection of information that is both necessary and essential for the DHS OSAI.

The SAFETY Act program promotes the development and use of anti-terrorism technologies that will enhance the protection of the nation and provides risk management and litigation management protections for sellers of Qualified Anti-Terrorism Technology (QATT) and others in the supply and distribution chain. The Department of Homeland Security Science & Technology Directorate (DHS S&T) currently has approval to collect information for the implementation of the SAFETY Act program until March 31, 2013. With this notice, DHS S&T seeks approval to renew this information collection for continued use after this date. The SAFETY Act program requires the collection of this information in order to evaluate and qualify Anti-Terrorism Technologies, based on the economic and technical criteria contained in the Regulations Implementing the Support Anti-Terrorism by Fostering Effective Technologies Act (the Final Rule), for

protection in accordance with the Act, and therefore encourage the development and deployment of new and innovative anti-terrorism products and services. The Support Anti-Terrorism by Fostering Effective Technologies (SAFETY) Act (6 U.S.C. 441) was enacted as part of the Homeland Security Act of 2002, Public Law 107-296 establishing this requirement. This notice and request for comments is required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

DHS S&T currently has approval to collect information utilizing the Registration of a Seller as an Anti-Terrorism Technology (DHS Form 10010), Request for a Pre-Application Consultation (DHS Form 10009), Notice of License of Qualified Anti-Terrorism Technology (DHS Form 10003), Notice of Modification of Qualified Anti-Terrorism Technology (DHS Form 10002), Application for Transfer of SAFETY Act Designation and Certification (DHS Form 10001), Application for Renewal Of SAFETY Act Protections of a Qualified Anti-Terrorism Technology (DHS Form 10057), Application for SAFETY Act Developmental Testing and Evaluation Designation (DHS Form 10006), Application for SAFETY Act Designation (DHS Form 10008), Application for SAFETY Act Certification (DHS Form 10007), SAFETY Act Block Designation Application (DHS Form 10005), SAFETY Act Block Certification Application (DHS Form 10004) until 31 March 2013 with OMB approval number 1640-0001.

The Department is committed to improving its information collection and urges all interested parties to suggest how these materials can further reduce burden while seeking necessary information under the Act.

DHS is particularly interested in comments that:

- (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- (3) Suggest ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- (4) Suggest ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate

automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Overview of Information Collection

- (1) *Type of Information Collection:* Existing information collection.

- (2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* SAFETY Act Program.

- (3) *Agency Form Number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Homeland Security sponsoring the collection:* DHS Science & Technology Directorate, DHS Forms 10001, 10002, 10003, 10004, 10005, 10006, 10007, 10008, 10009, 10010, and 10057.

- (4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Business entities, Associations, and State, Local and Tribal Government entities. Applications are reviewed for benefits, technology/program evaluations, and regulatory compliance.

- (5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:*

- a. *Estimate of the total number of respondents:* 950.

- b. *An estimate of the time for an average respondent to respond:* 18.2 burden hours.

- (6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* 17,300 burden hours.

Gregg Piermarini,

Deputy Chief Information Officer for Science and Technology.

[FR Doc. 2013-02125 Filed 1-31-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-9F-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management Agency

[Docket ID FEMA-2012-0003: Internal Agency Docket No. FEMA-B-1272]

Proposed Flood Hazard Determinations

Correction

In notice document 2012-27366, appearing on pages 67016-67018 in the issue of Thursday, November 8, 2012, make the following corrections:

1. On page 67016, in the Table titled "Osage County, Oklahoma, and Incorporated Areas," the entry for the "Maps Available for Inspection Online at:" Link is corrected to read as follows: <http://riskmap6.com/Community.aspx?cid=229&sid=4>