

Investigators and their organization or corporations with approved assurance from the DHHS Office of Human Research Protections to access data or images from the FITBIR Informatics System for research purposes. The primary use of this information is to document, track, monitor, and evaluate the use of the FITBIR datasets, as well as to notify interested recipients of updates, corrections or other changes to the database.

*Frequency of Response:* Once per request.

*Affected Public:* Individuals.  
*Type of Respondents:* Researchers interested in obtaining access to study data and images from the FITBIR Informatics System for research purposes.

The annual reporting burden is as follows:

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* approximately 40.

*Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent:* Once per request.

*Average Burden Hours per Response:* 95/60.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours Requested:* 63.

There are two scenarios for completing the form. The first is where the Principal Investigator (PI) completes the entire FITBIR Informatics System Data Access Request form, and the second where the PI has the Research Assistant begins filling out the form and PI provides the final reviews and signs it. The estimated annual burden hours to complete the data request form are listed below.

#### ESTIMATED ANNUAL BURDEN HOURS

| Form                                                | Number of respondents | Frequency of response | Average time per response (in hours) | Annual hour burden |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| FITBIR Informatics System Data Access Request ..... | 40                    | 1                     | 95/60                                | 63                 |
| Total .....                                         | .....                 | .....                 | .....                                | 63                 |

Dated: February 13, 2013.

**Caroline Lewis,**

*Executive Officer, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health.*

[FR Doc. 2013-04130 Filed 2-21-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4140-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### National Institutes of Health

#### Submission for OMB review; Comment Request: Methodological Studies for the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health (PATH) Study

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of Section 3507(a)(1)(D) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA), the National Institutes of Health (NIH) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve the information collection listed below. This proposed information collection was previously published in

the **Federal Register** on November 26, 2012, Vol. 77, No. 227, p. 70451 and allowed 60-days for public comment. Two comments were received in support of this request. The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comment. The National Institutes of Health may not conduct or sponsor, and the respondent is not required to respond to, an information collection that has been extended, revised, or implemented on or after October 1, 1995, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

*Proposed Collection: Title:* Cognitive Testing of Instrumentation and Materials for Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health (PATH) Study.  
*Type of Information Collection Request:* New. *Need and Use of Information Collection:* The PATH study will establish a population-based framework for monitoring and assessing the behavioral and health impacts of regulatory provisions implemented as part of the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act (FSPTCA) by the Food and Drug Administration

(FDA). NIDA is requesting generic approval from OMB for methodological studies to improve the PATH study instrumentation and data collection procedures. These methodological studies will support ongoing assessment and refinement of the PATH study's design, and highlight ways to improve study implementation, data collection procedures, and techniques for retention and followup. Data collection methods to be used in these methodological studies include: in-person and telephone surveys; web and smartphone/mobile phone surveys; and focus group and individual in-depth qualitative interviews. Biospecimens may also be collected from adults.

*Frequency of Response:* Annual [As needed on an on-going and concurrent basis]. *Affected Public:* Individuals. *Type of Respondents:* Youth (ages 12–17) and Adults (ages 18+). *Annual Reporting Burden:* See Table 1. The annualized cost to respondents is estimated at: \$371,284. There are no capital, operating or maintenance costs.

TABLE 1—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN SUMMARY—METHODOLOGICAL STUDIES FOR THE PATH STUDY

| Data collection activity                                     | Type of respondent | Number of respondents | Responses per respondent | Hours per response | Annual hour burden |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| In-person and telephone surveys .....                        | Adults .....       | 5,000                 | 1                        | 90/60              | 7,500              |
|                                                              | Youth .....        | 3,500                 | 1                        | 90/60              | 5,250              |
| Web and smartphone/mobile phone surveys.                     | Adults .....       | 5,000                 | 1                        | 90/60              | 7,500              |
|                                                              | Youth .....        | 3,500                 | 1                        | 90/60              | 5,250              |
| Focus groups and individual in-depth qualitative interviews. | Adults .....       | 1,000                 | 1                        | 2                  | 2,000              |
|                                                              | Youth .....        | 1,000                 | 1                        | 2                  | 2,000              |
| Biospecimen collection .....                                 | Adults .....       | 1,000                 | 1                        | 15/60              | 250                |
|                                                              |                    |                       |                          |                    |                    |

TABLE 1—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN SUMMARY—METHODOLOGICAL STUDIES FOR THE PATH STUDY—Continued

| Data collection activity | Type of respondent | Number of respondents | Responses per respondent | Hours per response | Annual hour burden |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Total .....              | .....              | 20,000                | .....                    | .....              | 29,750             |

**Request for Comments:** Written comments and/or suggestions from the public and affected agencies are invited on one or more of the following points: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the function of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**Direct Comments to OMB:** Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the: Office of Management and Budget, Office of Regulatory Affairs, [OIRA\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov) or by fax to 202-395-6974, Attention: Desk Officer for NIH. To request more information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, contact: Kevin P. Conway, Ph.D., Deputy Director, Division of Epidemiology, Services, and Prevention Research, National Institute on Drug Abuse, 6001 Executive Blvd., Room 5185; Rockville, MD 20852, or call non-toll free number 301-443-8755 or email your request, including your address to: [PATHprojectofficer@mail.nih.gov](mailto:PATHprojectofficer@mail.nih.gov).

**Comments Due Date:** Comments regarding this information collection are best assured of having their full effect if received within 30-days of the date of this publication.

Dated: February 19, 2013.

**Glenda J. Conroy,**

*Executive Officer (OM Director), National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA).*

[FR Doc. 2013-04128 Filed 2-21-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4140-01-P**

## ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

### Draft Program Comment for Extending the Duration of Programmatic Agreements Based on the Department of Energy Prototype Programmatic Agreement for Its Weatherization Assistance Program, State Energy Program, and Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant

**AGENCY:** Advisory Council on Historic Preservation.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent to Issue Program Comments for Extending the Duration of Programmatic Agreements based on the Department of Energy (DOE) Prototype Programmatic Agreement for its Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP), State Energy Program (SEP), and Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant (EECBG).

**SUMMARY:** The Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) is considering issuing a Program Comment for the DOE that would continue its program of tailored compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for the Office of Weatherization and Intergovernmental Programs Weatherization Related Grant Programs: WAP, SEP, and EECBG. The ACHP seeks public input on the proposed Program Comment.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before 5:00 p.m. EST, March 1, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** Address all comments concerning this proposed Program Comment to Lee Webb, Office of Federal Agency Programs, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Suite 803, Washington, DC 20004. You may also submit comments via fax at (202) 606-8647 or via electronic mail at [lwebb@achp.gov](mailto:lwebb@achp.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lee Webb, (202) 606-8583, [lwebb@achp.gov](mailto:lwebb@achp.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act requires federal agencies to consider the effects of their undertakings on historic properties and to provide the ACHP a reasonable opportunity to comment with regard to such undertakings. The ACHP has issued the regulations that set forth the

process through which Federal agencies comply with these duties. Those regulations are codified under 36 CFR part 800 (Section 106 regulations).

Under Section 800.14(e) of those regulations, agencies can request the ACHP to provide a "Program Comment" on a particular category of undertakings in lieu of conducting individual reviews of each individual undertaking under such category, as set forth in 36 CFR 800.4 through 800.7. An agency can meet its Section 106 responsibilities with regard to the effects of particular aspects of those undertakings by taking into account ACHP's Program Comment and following the steps set forth in that comment.

### I. Background

The DOE's Office of Weatherization and Intergovernmental Programs (OWIP) provides financial assistance to state agency applicants for three weatherization related grant programs: WAP, SEP, and EECBG. DOE has determined that activities carried out by these funded programs constitute undertakings with the potential to affect historic properties. Therefore, DOE must comply with Section 106 and its implementing regulations for these undertakings.

The ACHP and DOE began a partnership in August 2009 to explore possible program alternatives to tailor the Section 106 process for these undertakings in anticipation of the dramatic increase in project funding as a result of American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. DOE, in consultation with the ACHP and the National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers, developed a prototype Programmatic Agreement (PA) to cover three weatherization related grant programs and to create efficiencies in the administration of these OWIP grants: WAP, SEP, and EECBG. The prototype PA identifies a category of routine undertakings with limited potential to affect historic properties and exempts them from further review. The ACHP's Chairman designated the prototype PA on February 8, 2010. Under the terms of the prototype PA, DOE, the SHPO, and the relevant state agency receiving OWIP grants can execute subsequent