

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, Therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize FTZ 118 under the alternative site framework is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28, to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project, and to a five-year ASF sunset provision for magnet sites that would terminate authority for Site 1 if not activated by January 31, 2017.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 31st day of January 2012.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

ATTEST:

**Andrew McGilvray,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2012-2786 Filed 2-6-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1814]

#### Reorganization of Foreign-Trade Zone 124 Under Alternative Site Framework; Gramercy, Louisiana

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Board adopted the alternative site framework (ASF) (74 FR 1170-1173, 01/12/09; correction 74 FR 3987, 01/22/09; 75 FR 71069-71070, 11/22/10) as an option for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones;

Whereas, the Port of South Louisiana, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 124, submitted an application to the Board (FTZ Docket 44-2011, filed 6/24/2011) for authority to reorganize under the ASF with a service area of St. Charles, St. John the Baptist, St. James, La Fourche and St. Mary Parishes in Louisiana, within and adjacent to the Gramercy Customs and Border Protection port of entry, FTZ 124's existing Site 1 would be removed from the zone project and Sites 2, 3 and 4 would be categorized as magnet sites;

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (76 FR 38356-38357, 6/30/2011) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize FTZ 124 under the alternative site framework is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28, to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project, and to a five-year ASF sunset provision for magnet sites that would terminate authority for Sites 3 and 4 if not activated by January 31, 2017.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 31st day of January 2012.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

**Andrew McGilvray,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2012-2776 Filed 2-6-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1813]

#### Reorganization of Foreign-Trade Zone 275 Under Alternative Site Framework; Lansing, MI

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Board adopted the alternative site framework (ASF) (74 FR 1170, 01/12/09; correction 74 FR 3987, 01/22/09; 75 FR 71069-71070, 11/22/10) as an option for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones;

Whereas, the Capital Region Airport Authority, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 275, submitted an application to the Board (FTZ Docket 58-2011, filed 9/27/2011) for authority to reorganize under the ASF with a service area of Clinton, Eaton, Gratiot, Ingham, Isabella (portion), Jackson, Livingston and Shiawassee Counties, Michigan, adjacent to the user fee airport

designated by U.S. Customs and Border Protection at the Capital Regional International Airport, Lansing, and FTZ 275's existing Site 1 would be categorized as a magnet site;

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (76 FR 61075, 10/3/2011) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize FTZ 275 under the alternative site framework is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28, and to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 31st day of January 2012.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

ATTEST:

**Andrew McGilvray,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2012-2773 Filed 2-6-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1809]

#### Termination of Foreign-Trade Subzone 176A Dundee, IL

Pursuant to the authority granted in the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the Foreign-Trade Zones Board Regulations (15 CFR Part 400), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board has adopted the following order:

Whereas, on April 1, 1992, the Foreign-Trade Zones Board issued a grant of authority to the Greater Rockford Airport Authority (grantee of FTZ 176), authorizing the establishment of Foreign-Trade Subzone 176A at the Milk Specialties Company facility in Dundee, Illinois (Board Order 570, 57 FR 12292; 4-9-1992);

Whereas, the grantee has advised that zone procedures are no longer needed at the facility and requested voluntary

termination of Subzone 176A (FTZ Docket 2–2012);

Whereas, the request has been reviewed by the FTZ Staff and U.S. Customs and Border Protection officials, and approval has been recommended;

Now, therefore, the Foreign-Trade Zones Board terminates the subzone status of Subzone 176A, effective this date.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 31 day of January 2012.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

**Andrew McGilvray,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2012–2794 Filed 2–6–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–570–908]

#### Second Administrative Review of Sodium Hexametaphosphate From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Preliminary Results

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the “Department”) is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the administrative review of sodium hexametaphosphate (“sodium hex”) from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”). The review covers the period March 1, 2010, through February 28, 2011.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* February 7, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul Walker, AD/CVD Operations, Office IX, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone (202) 482–0413.

#### Background

On April 27, 2011, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on sodium hex from the PRC.<sup>1</sup> On November 29, 2011 the Department extended the deadline for the preliminary results of this review to January 30, 2012.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 76 FR 23545 (April 27, 2011).

<sup>2</sup> See *Second Administrative Review of Sodium Hexametaphosphate from the People's Republic of*

#### Statutory Time Limits

In antidumping duty administrative reviews, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the “Act”), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month.

#### Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this administrative review within the original time limit because the Department requires additional time to analyze questionnaire responses and to evaluate surrogate value submissions.

Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of the administrative review by 30 days. The preliminary results will now be due no later than March 15, 2012. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: January 25, 2012.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2012–2750 Filed 2–6–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–570–929]

#### Small Diameter Graphite Electrodes from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* February 7, 2012.

*China: Extension of Preliminary Results*, 76 FR 73599 (November 29, 2011).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dmitry Vladimirov, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0665.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On March 31, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on small diameter graphite electrodes from the People's Republic of China (PRC) for the period February 1, 2010, through January 31, 2011. See *Initiation of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Requests for Revocation in Part, and Deferral of Administrative Review*, 76 FR 17825 (March 31, 2011) (*Initiation Notice*). We initiated an administrative review of 160 companies.<sup>1</sup> On November 1, 2011, we extended the time period for issuing the preliminary results of this review by 95 days until February 3, 2012. See *Small Diameter Graphite Electrodes from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 76 FR 67411 (November 1, 2011).

#### Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to complete the preliminary results within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and the final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. If it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary results to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month.

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the extended time limit because we require additional time to analyze the comments submitted by SGL Carbon LLC and Superior Graphite, Co., the domestic interested parties in this review, in connection with the forthcoming preliminary results.

<sup>1</sup> In the *Initiation Notice*, we listed names by which certain companies are also known, or were formerly known, as reflected in the February 25, 2011, request for an administrative review submitted by the petitioners, SGL Carbon LLC and Superior Graphite, Co.