# APPENDIX—Continued [TAA Petitions instituted between 1/7/08 and 1/11/08]

TA–W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institu- tion	Date of peti- tion
62647	Honeywell (Union)	Greenville, OH	01/09/08	01/08/08
62648	Trio Manufacturing Company (Comp)	Forsyth, GA	01/09/08	01/08/08
62649	A&R Machine Company, Inc. (Comp)	East Sparta, OH	01/09/08	12/14/07
62650	Crane Vitreous China Plant (Comp)	Hondo, TX	01/09/08	12/13/07
62651	Alcoa (State)	Frederick, MD	01/09/08	01/08/08
62652	The Quill Company, Inc. (Comp)	Cranston, RI	01/09/08	01/07/08
62653	RF Micro Devices (State)	Broomfield, CO	01/09/08	01/07/08
62654	Leggett and Platt/Design Fabricators (Comp)	Thornton, CO	01/09/08	01/04/08
62655	Warp Processing Inc. (Wkrs)	Exeter, PA	01/10/08	01/09/08
62656	Saint Gobain Abrasives (Comp)	Littleton, NH	01/10/08	01/09/08
62657	Plum Creek Evergreen Sawmill and Reman (Comp)	Kalispell, MT	01/10/08	01/09/08
62658	Milwaukee Electric Tool Corporation (Comp)	Jackson, MS	01/10/08	01/09/08
62659	Richloom Home Fashions (Wkrs)	Clinton, SC	01/10/08	01/07/08
62660	Interface Inc. (Wkrs)	Elkin, NC	01/10/08	01/04/08
62661	Agilent Technologies (Comp)	Loveland, CO	01/11/08	01/10/08
62662	Pentair Electronic Packaging (Comp)	Des Plaines, IL	01/11/08	01/09/08
62663	C and D Technologies (Rep)	Conyers, GA	01/11/08	01/09/08
62664	Catawba Valley Finishing, LLC (Wkrs)	Newton, NC	01/11/08	01/10/08
62665	Chemcraft Systems, LLC (Comp)	Cullman, AL	01/11/08	01/10/08
62666	Wentworth Corporation (Comp)	Madison, NC	01/11/08	01/10/08
62667	Gold Toe Moretz, LLC (Comp)	Burlington, NC	01/11/08	01/09/08
62668	Conrad Forest Products (Comp)	North Bend, OR	01/11/08	01/10/08

[FR Doc. E8–1281 Filed 1–24–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

# DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

## Employment and Training Administration

#### [TA-W-62,525]

# Magna Donnelly Engineered Glass, Holland, MI; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on December 3, 2007 in response to a worker petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Magna Donnelly Engineered Glass, Holland, Michigan.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 17th day of January 2008.

# **Richard Church**,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E8–1287 Filed 1–24–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

# Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-62,271]

# Ravenswood Specialty Services, Inc., Ravenswood, WV; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated November 29, 2007, the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union (the Union) requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of Ravenswood Specialty Services, Inc., Ravenswood, West Virginia (subject firm) to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA). The negative determination was issued on October 18, 2007. The Department's Notice of determination was published in the Federal Register on October 31, 2007 (72 FR 61686). Workers produce nylon polymer and Minlon, and are not separately identifiable by related article.

The petition was denied because the subject firm did not shift production to a foreign country, the subject firm did not import nylon polymer or Minlon, and the subject firm's major declining customer did not import nylon polymer or Minlon during the relevant period. In the request for reconsideration, the Union stated that "the workers' separations are due to foreign imports and a shift of production to a foreign country. We are in the process of gathering further information to help support this position and will forward it to your office as soon as possible."

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c), administrative reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The Union did not supply facts not previously considered; nor provide additional documentation indicating that there was either (1) a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered or (2) a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justifying reconsideration of the initial determination.

After careful review of the request for reconsideration, the Department determines that 29 CFR 90.18(c) has not been met.

## Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that

there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC this 16th day of January 2008.

## Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E8–1284 Filed 1–24–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

## Employment and Training Administration

#### [TA-W-62,043]

Synergis Technologies Group Corporation, Dielink International Development; Including On-Site Leased Workers from Forge Industrial Staffing, All Performance Staffing and Aerotek Grand Rapids, Michigan; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on September 17, 2007, applicable to workers of Synergis Technologies Group Corporation, including on-site leased workers from Forge Industrial Staffing, and All Performance Staffing, Grand Rapids, Michigan. The notice was published in the Federal Register on October 3, 2007 (72 FR 56385).

At the request of petitioners, a company official and a state agency representative, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers were engaged in the production of metal stamping dies.

New information provided by the company shows that the worker group includes those employees of Synergis Technologies Group Corporation divisions known as Dielink International and Dievelopment. These two divisions are located at different street addresses in Grand Rapids, but are engaged in employment related to the production of metal stamping dies. Furthermore, the Unemployment Insurance (UI) wage account for these divisions is reported under Synergis Technologies Group Corporation. The company official also confirms that the worker group includes on-site leased workers from Aerotech. The Department has determined that the Aerotech workers were sufficiently under the control of Synergis Technologies Group Corporations.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include workers of Dielink International, Dievelopment, and workers from Aerotek working onsite at the Grand Rapids, Michigan locations of the subject firm.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers employed at Synergis Technologies Group Corporation, Grand Rapids, Michigan who were adversely-impacted by a shift in production of metal stamping dies to China.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–62,043 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Synergis Technologies Group Corporation, Dietech International and Dievelopment, Grand Rapids, Michigan, including on-site leased workers from Forge Industrial Staffing, All Performance Staffing and Aerotek, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after August 24, 2006, through September 17, 2009, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC, this 14th day of January 2008.

## Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E8–1283 Filed 1–24–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

# DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

## Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-62,616]

# Weyerhaeuser Longview Lumber, Longview, WA; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on December 31, 2007 in response to a petition filed by the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers-Woodworkers, Local W–536 on behalf of workers at Weyerhaeuser Longview Lumber, Longview, Washington.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated. Signed at Washington, DC, this 17th day of January, 2008.

# Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E8–1280 Filed 1–24–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

## Mine Safety and Health Administration

#### **Petitions for Modification**

**AGENCY:** Mine Safety and Health Administration, Labor. **ACTION:** Notice of petitions for modification of existing mandatory safety standards.

**SUMMARY:** Section 101(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 and 30 CFR Part 44 govern the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for modification. This notice is a summary of petitions for modification filed by the parties listed below to modify the application of existing mandatory safety standards published in Title 30 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

**DATES:** All comments on the petitions must be received by the Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances on or before February 25, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit your comments, identified by "docket number" on the subject line, by any of the following methods:

1. Electronic mail: Standards-Petitions@dol.gov.

2. Facsimile: 1–202–693–9441.

3. *Regular Mail:* MSHA, Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances, 1100 Wilson Boulevard, Room 2349, Arlington, Virginia 22209, Attention: Patricia W. Silvey, Director, Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances.

4. *Hand-Delivery or Courier:* MSHA, Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances, 1100 Wilson Boulevard, Room 2349, Arlington, Virginia 22209, Attention: Patricia W. Silvey, Director, Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances.

We will consider only comments postmarked by the U.S. Postal Service or proof of delivery from another delivery service such as UPS or Federal Express on or before the deadline for comments. Individuals who submit comments by hand-delivery are required to check in at the receptionist desk on the 21st floor.

Individuals may inspect copies of the petitions and comments during normal business hours at the address listed above.