Model FEI Quanta 600 FEG. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used for characterization of organic matrix composites. The objective of this research is to develop advanced aerospace composite materials designed for sustained service temperatures between 450F and 600F. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: March 25, 2008.

Docket Number: 08–011. Applicant: University of Minnesota Institute of Technology Characterization Facility, 12 Shepherd Labs, 100 Union Street SE, Minneapolis, MN 55455. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Tecnai G2 F30 Twin. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Netherlands. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used for tomographic 3D imaging of cells, cell organelles and molecular complexes, as well as high–resolution imaging at liquid nitrogen temperatures. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: March 27, 2008.

Docket Number: 08–012. Applicant: Alfred E. Mann Foundation for Scientific Research, 25134 Rye Canyon Loop, Suite 200, Santa Clarita, CA 91355. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model FEI Inspect S. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to examine grain size and phase transformation boundaries of ceramics, metallurgical reactions in braze and weld joints and critical dimension measurements and materials research in microelectronic components. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: March 31, 2008.

Docket Number: 08–013. Applicant: National Institutes of Health, 18 Library Drive, MSC 5430, Bethesda, MD 20892. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Tecnai G2 20 Twin. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Netherlands. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to study various areas of molecular cell biology, including the mechanisms of intracellular protein trafficking, the biogenesis and dynamics of intracellular organelles, the developmental control of the cell cycle, iron metabolism in humans and the genetic response to environmental stress. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: April 7, 2008.

Dated: April 16, 2008.

#### Faye Robinson,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. E8–8569 Filed 4–18–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE: 3510–DS–S

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-427-801, A-428-801, A-475-801, A-588-804, A-412-801

Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 21, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Yang Jin Chun or Richard Rimlinger, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5760 or (202) 482– 4477, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

At the request of interested parties, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on ball bearings and parts thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom for the period May 1, 2006, through April 30, 2007. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Request for Revocation in Part and Deferral of Administrative Review, 72 FR 35690 (June 29, 2007). On November 16, 2007, we rescinded in part the administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on ball bearings and parts thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom. See Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, 72 FR 64577 (November 16, 2007). On January 16, 2008, we extended the due date for the completion of the preliminary results of reviews by 75 days. See Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, 73 FR 2887 (January 16, 2008). The preliminary results of the reviews still underway are currently due no later than April 15, 2008.

# Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. If it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month.

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of these reviews within the current time limit because of the number of respondents covered by these reviews and complex issues involving, *inter alia*, several respondents' recent changes in corporate structure. Therefore, we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of these reviews by 15 days until April 30, 2008.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

Dated: April 15, 2008.

Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. E8–8571 Filed 4–18–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

(A-549-813)

## Canned Pineapple Fruit from Thailand: Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review of the Antidumping Duty Order and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On March 7, 2008, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of initiation and preliminary results of a changed circumstances review and intent to revoke the antidumping duty order on canned pineapple fruit (CPF) from Thailand. See Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review, and Intent to Revoke Order, 73 FR 12377 (March 7, 2008) (Initiation and Preliminary Results). We received no comments from interested parties. Thus, we

determine that changed circumstances exist to warrant revocation of the order. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** (October 31, 2007)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Douglas Kirby or Myrna Lobo, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3782 or (202) 482– 2371, respectively.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

The Department of Commerce (the Department) published the antidumping duty order on CPF from Thailand on July 18, 1995. See Notice of Antidumping Duty Order and Amended Final Determination: Canned Pineapple Fruit from Thailand, 60 FR 36775 (July 18, 1995) (Antidumping Duty Order). On January 23, 2008, the Department received a request for a changed circumstances review from the Thai Food Processors' Association (TFPA). The TFPA requested that the Department revoke the antidumping duty order because Maui Pineapple Company Ltd. (petitioner) ceased production of CPF on October 31, 2007. On January 25, 2008, we received a letter from petitioner indicating that it had no objection to the changed circumstances review and the revocation of the antidumping duty order. On March 7, 2008, the Department published a notice of initiation and preliminary results of a changed circumstances review and its intent to revoke the antidumping duty order on canned pineapple fruit from Thailand, effective October 31, 2007. See Initiation and Preliminary Results.

#### Scope of the Order

The product covered by this order is CPF, defined as pineapple processed and/or prepared into various product forms, including rings, pieces, chunks, tidbits, and crushed pineapple, that is packed and cooked in metal cans with either pineapple juice or sugar syrup added. CPF is currently classifiable under subheadings 2008.20.0010 and 2008.20.0090 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). HTSUS 2008.20.0010 covers CPF packed in a sugar-based syrup; HTSUS 2008.20.0090 covers CPF packed without added sugar (i.e., juice-packed). Although these HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written description of the scope is dispositive. There have been no scope rulings for the subject order.

#### Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review and Revocation of Order

Pursuant to sections 751(d)(1) and 782(h)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department may revoke an antidumping duty order based on a review under section 751(b) of the Act (*i.e.*, a changed circumstances review). Section 751(b)(1) of the Act requires a changed circumstances review to be conducted upon receipt of a request which shows changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review.

In the instant review, based on the information provided by the TFPA and the lack of interest on the part of the domestic industry, the Department found preliminarily that, effective October 31, 2007, the sole domestic producer of the subject merchandise. Maui Pineapple Company (Maui), was no longer producing canned pineapple fruit in the United States. See Initiation and Preliminary Results. We did not receive any comments regarding our preliminary results. Therefore, the Department is revoking the order on canned pineapple fruit from Thailand, effective October 31, 2007.

#### **Effective Date of Revocation**

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(3)(A) and 751(c)(6)(A)(iii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i), the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to terminate the suspension of liquidation of the merchandise subject to this order entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after October 31, 2007. Entries of subject merchandise prior to the effective date of revocation will continue to be subject to suspension of liquidation and antidumping duty deposit requirements. The Department will complete any pending administrative reviews of this order and will conduct an administrative review of subject merchandise entered prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review. This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.306. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections

751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216.

Dated: April 14, 2008.

#### David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. E8–8574 Filed 4–18–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

A-570-912

## Certain New Pneumatic Off–The-Road Tires from the People's Republic of China: Affirmative Preliminary Determination of Critical Circumstances

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 21, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laurel LaCivita or Charles Riggle, AD/ CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4243 or (202) 482– 0650, respectively.

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION OF CRITICAL **CIRCUMSTANCES** Based on allegations contained in Petitioners'<sup>1</sup> March 11, 2008, amendment to the June 18, 2007, petition, we preliminarily find, pursuant to section 733(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), and section 351.206 of the Department of Commerce ("the Department") regulations, that critical circumstances do not exist with regard to imports of certain new pneumatic off-the-road tires ("OTR tires") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") for the following entities: Guizhou Tyre Co., Ltd. ("GTC"), Guizhou Tyre I/E Corp. ("GTCIE"), Tire Engineering & Distribution Inc. ("TED"), and their affiliates (collectively "Guizhou Tyre"), Hebei Starbright Tire Co., Ltd. ("Starbright"), Tianjin United Tire and Rubber International Co., Ltd. ("TUTRIC"), Xuzhou Xugong Tyre Co., Ltd. ("Xugong") and the separate-rate companies<sup>2</sup> However, we find that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Titan Tire Corporation, a subsidiary of Titan International, Inc. ("Titan"), and the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union, AFL-CIO-CLC ("USW") (collectively, "Petitioners").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Aeolus Tyre Co., Ltd. (''Aeolus''), Double Coin Holdings Ltd. (formerly known as Shanghai Tyre & Rubber Co., Ltd.) (''Double Coin''), Double