and cranberry products which were held by them on such date as the committee may designate.

(c) Receipts. Each handler shall, upon request of the committee, file promptly with the committee a certified report as to each quantity of cranberries acquired during such period as may be specified, and the place of production.

(d) Handling reports. Each handler shall, upon request of the committee, file promptly with the committee a certified report as to the quantity of cranberries handled during any designated period or periods.

(e) Withheld and excess cranberries. Each handler shall, upon request of the committee, file promptly with the committee a certified report showing, for such period as the committee may specify, the total quantity of cranberries withheld from handling or held in excess, in accordance with §§ 929.49 and 929.54, the portion of such withheld or excess cranberries on hand, and the quantity and manner of disposition of any such withheld or excess cranberries disposed of.

(f) Other reports. Upon the request of the committee, with the approval of the Secretary, each handler shall furnish to the committee such other information with respect to the cranberries and cranberry products acquired and disposed of by such person as may be necessary to enable the committee to exercise its powers and perform its duties under this part.

(g) The committee may establish, with the approval of the Secretary, rules and regulations for the implementation and operation of this section.

■ 17. Revise § 929.64 to read as follows:

§ 929.64 Verification of reports and records.

The committee, through its duly authorized agents, during reasonable business hours, shall have access to any handler's premises where applicable records are maintained for the purpose of assuring compliance and checking and verifying records and reports filed by such handler.

Dated: February 8, 2005.

Kenneth C. Clayton

Acting Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 05-2878 Filed 2-14-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Part 930

[Docket No. FV04-930-2 FR]

Tart Cherries Grown in the States of Michigan, et al.: Final Free and Restricted Percentages for the 2004-2005 Crop Year

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule establishes final free and restricted percentages for the 2004-2005 crop year. The percentages are 72 percent free and 28 percent restricted and would establish the proportion of tart cherries from the 2004 crop which may be handled in commercial outlets. The percentages are intended to stabilize supplies and prices, and strengthen market conditions. The percentages were recommended by the Cherry Industry Administrative Board, the body that locally administers the marketing order. The marketing order regulates the handling of tart cherries grown in the States of Michigan, New York, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wisconsin.

DATES: Effective Date: February 16, 2005. This final rule applies to all 2004-2005 crop year restricted cherries until they are properly disposed of in accordance with marketing order requirements.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patricia A. Petrella or Kenneth G. Johnson, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, Suite 6C02, Unit 155, 4700 River Road, Riverdale, MD 20737; Telephone: (301) 734-5243 or Fax: (301) 734-5275; or George Kelhart, Technical Advisor, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., STOP 0237, Washington, DC 20250-0237; Telephone: (202) 720-2491 or Fax: (202) 720-8938.

Small businesses may request information on complying with this regulation, or obtain a guide on complying with fruit, vegetable, and specialty crop marketing agreements and orders by contacting Jay Guerber, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, 1400 Independence Avenue SW., STOP 0237, Washington, DC 20250-0237; Telephone: (202) 720-2491, Fax: (202) 720-8938, or e-mail: Jay.Guerber@usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This final rule is issued under Marketing Agreement and Order No. 930 (7 CFR part 930), regulating the handling of tart cherries produced in the States of Michigan, New York, Pennsylvania, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wisconsin, hereinafter referred to as the "order." The order is effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), hereinafter referred to as the "Act."

The Department of Agriculture (USDA) is issuing this rule in conformance with Executive Order 12866.

This final rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. Under the marketing order provisions now in effect, final free and restricted percentages may be established for tart cherries handled by handlers during the crop year. This rule will establish final free and restricted percentages for tart cherries for the 2004–2005 crop year, beginning July 1, 2004, through June 30, 2005.

This rule will not preempt any State or local laws, regulations, or policies, unless they present an irreconcilable conflict with this rule.

The Act provides that administrative proceedings must be exhausted before parties may file suit in court. Under section 608c(15)(A) of the Act, any handler subject to an order may file with the Secretary a petition stating that the order, any provision of the order, or any obligation imposed in connection with the order is not in accordance with law and request a modification of the order or to be exempt therefrom. Such handler is afforded the opportunity for a hearing on the petition. After the hearing, the USDA would rule on the petition.

The Act provides that the district court of the United States in any district in which the handler is an inhabitant, or has his or her principal place of business, has jurisdiction in equity to review the USDA's ruling on the petition, provided an action is filed not later than 20 days after the date of the entry of the ruling.

The order prescribes procedures for computing an optimum supply and preliminary and final percentages that establish the amount of tart cherries that can be marketed throughout the season. Handlers handling tart cherries produced in the regulated districts are subject to these regulations. Tart cherries in the free percentage category may be shipped immediately to any market, while restricted percentage tart cherries must be held by handlers in a primary or secondary reserve, or be diverted in accordance with § 930.59 of

the order and § 930.159 of the regulations, or used for exempt purposes (and obtaining diversion credit) under § 930.62 of the order and §930.162 of the regulations. The regulated districts for this season are: District one-Northern Michigan; District two—Central Michigan; District three—Southwest Michigan; District four-New York; District seven-Utah; District eight—Washington, and District nine—Wisconsin. Tart cherries produced in Districts five and six (Oregon and Pennsylvania, respectively) will not be regulated for the 2004–2005 season.

The order prescribes under § 930.52 that those districts to be regulated shall be those districts in which the average annual production of cherries over the prior three years has exceeded six million pounds. A district not meeting the six million-pound requirement shall not be regulated in such crop year. Because this requirement was not met in the Districts of Oregon and Pennsylvania, the tart cherries produced in those districts and handled by handlers will not be subject to volume regulation during the 2004–2005 crop year.

Demand for tart cherries at the farm level is derived from the demand for tart cherry products at retail. Demand for tart cherries and tart cherry products tend to be relatively stable from year to year. The supply of tart cherries, by contrast, varies greatly from crop year to crop year. The magnitude of annual fluctuations in tart cherry supplies is one of the most pronounced for any agricultural commodity in the United States. In addition, since tart cherries are processed into cans or frozen, they can be stored and carried over from crop year to crop year. This creates substantial coordination and marketing problems. The supply and demand for tart cherries is rarely balanced. The primary purpose of setting free and restricted percentages is to balance

supply with demand and reduce large surpluses that may occur.

Section 930.50(a) of the order prescribes procedures for computing an optimum supply for each crop year. The Board must meet on or about July 1 of each crop year, to review sales data, inventory data, current crop forecasts and market conditions. The optimum supply volume shall be calculated as 100 percent of the average sales of the prior three years (taking into account sales of exempt and restricted percentage cherries qualifying for diversion credit) to which is added a desirable carryout inventory not to exceed 20 million pounds or such other amount as may be established with the approval of USDA. The optimum supply represents the desirable volume of tart cherries that should be available for sale in the coming crop year.

The order also provides that on or about July 1 of each crop year, the Board is required to establish preliminary free and restricted percentages. These percentages are computed by deducting the actual carryin inventory from the optimum supply figure (adjusted to raw product equivalent-the actual weight of cherries handled to process into cherry products) and subtracting that figure (referred to as the current crop year requirement) from the current year's USDA crop forecast or by an average of such other crop estimates the Board votes to use. If the resulting number is positive, this represents the estimated over-production, which would be the restricted percentage tonnage. The restricted percentage tonnage is then divided by the sum of the crop forecast(s) for the regulated districts to obtain a preliminary restricted percentage, rounded to the nearest whole number, for the regulated districts. If subtracting the current crop year requirement, from the current crop forecast, results in a negative number, the Board is required to establish a preliminary free tonnage percentage of

100 percent with a preliminary restricted percentage of zero. The Board is required to announce the preliminary percentages in accordance with paragraph (h) of § 930.50.

The Board met on June 24, 2004, and computed, for the 2004–2005 crop year, an optimum supply volume of 177 million pounds. The Board recommended that the desirable carryout figure be zero pounds. Desirable carryout is the amount of fruit required to be carried into the succeeding crop year and is set by the Board after considering market circumstances and needs. This figure can range from zero to a maximum of 20 million pounds. The Board calculated preliminary free and restricted percentages as follows: The USDA estimate of the crop for the entire production area was 215 million pounds; a 24 million pound carryin (based on Board estimates) was subtracted from the optimum supply of 177 million pounds which resulted in 2004–2005 tonnage requirements (adjusted optimum supply) of 153 million pounds. The carryin figure reflects the amount of cherries that handlers actually had in inventory at the beginning of the crop year. Subtracting the adjusted optimum supply of 153 million pounds from the 215 million pound USDA crop estimate (for the entire production area) results in a surplus of 62 million pounds of tart cherries. The surplus was then divided by the production in the regulated districts (207 million pounds) and this resulted in a restricted percentage of 30 percent for the 2004–2005 crop year. The free percentage was 70 percent (100 percent minus 30 percent). The Board established these percentages and announced them to the industry as required by the order.

The table below summarizes the preliminary percentage computations made by the Board at its June meeting for the 2004–2005 year:

	Millions of pounds
Optimum Supply Formula:	
(1) Average sales of the prior three crop years	177
(2) Plus desirable carryout	0
(3) Optimum supply calculated by the Board at the June meeting	177
Preliminary Percentages:	
(4) USDA crop estimate	215
(5) Carryin held by handlers as of July 1, 2004	24
(6) Adjusted optimum supply for current crop year (Item 3 minus Item 5)	153
(7) Surplus (restricted tonnage) (Item 4 minus Item 6)	62
(8) USDA crop estimate for regulated districts	207

	Percentages	
	Free	Restricted
(9) Preliminary percentages (Item 7 divided by Item 8 × 100 equals restricted percentage; 100 minus restricted percentage equals free percentage)	70	30

Between July 1 and September 15 of each crop year, the Board may modify the preliminary free and restricted percentages by announcing interim free and restricted percentages to adjust to the actual pack occurring in the industry. No interim adjustments were made.

USDA establishes final free and restricted percentages through the informal rulemaking process. These percentages make available the tart cherries necessary to achieve the optimum supply figure calculated by the Board. The difference between 100 percent and any final restricted percentage designated by USDA is the final free percentage. The Board met on September 10, 2004, to recommend final free and restricted percentages.

The actual production reported by the Board for the entire production area was 209 million pounds, which is a 6 million pound decrease from the USDA crop estimate of 215 million pounds.

A 25 million pound carryin (based on handler reports) was subtracted from the Board's optimum supply of 177 million pounds, yielding an adjusted optimum supply for the current crop year of 152 million pounds. The adjusted optimum supply of 152 million pounds was subtracted from the actual production of 209 million pounds, which resulted in a 57 million pound surplus. The total surplus of 57 million pounds was then divided by the 202 million-pound volume of tart cherries produced in the regulated districts. This results in a 28 percent restricted percentage and a corresponding 72 percent free percentage for the regulated districts.

The final percentages are based on the Board's reported production figures and the following supply and demand information available in September for the 2004–2005 crop year:

		Millions of pounds
Optimum Supply Formula:		
(1) Average sales of the prior three years		177
(2) Plus desirable carryout		0
(2) Plus desirable carryout		177
Final Percentages:		
(4) Board reported production		209
(5) Carryin held by handlers as of July 1, 2004.		25
(6) Adjusted optimum supply (Item 3 minus Item 5)		152
(7) Surplus (restricted tonnage) (Item 4 minus Item 6)		57
(8) Production in regulated districts		202
	Percentages	
	Free	Restricted

 (9) Final Percentages (Item 7 divided by Item 8 × 100 equals restricted percentage; 100 minus restricted percentage)
 72

 28

The Department's "Guidelines for Fruit, Vegetable, and Specialty Crop Marketing Orders" specify that 110 percent of recent years' sales should be made available to primary markets each season before recommendations for volume regulation are approved. This goal would be met by the establishment of final percentages which release 100 percent of the optimum supply volume and the additional release of tart cherries provided under § 930.50(g). A release of tonnage, equal to 10 percent of the average sales of the prior three vears sales, is made available to handlers each season.

The Board recommended that this release be made available to handlers the first week of December and the first week of May. Handlers can decide how much of the 10 percent release they would like to receive on the December and May release dates. Once released, such cherries are available for free use and can be shipped to any market the handler desires.

Approximately 18 million pounds will be made available to handlers this season in accordance with Department Guidelines. These cherries would be made available to every handler and released in proportion to the handler's percentage of the total regulated crop handled. If a handler does not take his/ her proportionate amount, such amount remains in the inventory reserve.

The Regulatory Flexibility Act and Effects on Small Businesses

Pursuant to requirements set forth in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), the Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) has considered the economic impact of this action on small entities. Accordingly, AMS has prepared this final regulatory flexibility analysis.

The purpose of the RFA is to fit regulatory actions to the scale of

business subject to such actions in order that small businesses will not be unduly or disproportionately burdened. Marketing orders issued pursuant to the Act, and rules issued thereunder, are unique in that they are brought about through group action of essentially small entities acting on their own behalf. Thus, both statutes have small entity orientation and compatibility.

There are approximately 40 handlers of tart cherries who are subject to regulation under the tart cherry marketing order and approximately 900 producers of tart cherries in the regulated area. Small agricultural service firms, which includes handlers, have been defined by the Small Business Administration (13 CFR 121.201) as those having annual receipts of less than \$5,000,000, and small agricultural producers are defined as those having annual receipts of less than \$750,000. A majority of the producers and handlers are considered small entities under SBA's standards.

The principal demand for tart cherries is in the form of processed products. Tart cherries are dried, frozen, canned, juiced, and pureed. During the period 1998/99 through 2003/04, approximately 92 percent of the U.S. tart cherry crop, or 252.8 million pounds, was processed annually. Of the 252.8 million pounds of tart cherries processed, 59 percent was frozen, 29 percent was canned, and 12 percent was utilized for juice and other products.

Based on National Agricultural Statistics Service data, acreage in the United States devoted to tart cherry production has been trending downward. Bearing acreage has declined from a high of 50,050 acres in 1987/88 to 37,000 acres in 2003/04. This represents a 26 percent decrease in total bearing acres. Michigan leads the nation in tart cherry acreage with 73 percent of the total and produces about 75 percent of the U.S. tart cherry crop each year.

The 2004/05 crop is moderate in size at 209 million pounds. The largest crop occurred in 1995 with production in the regulated districts reaching a record 395.6 million pounds. The price per pound received by tart cherry growers ranged from a low of 7.3 cents in 1987 to a high of 46.4 cents in 1991. The problems of wide supply and price fluctuations in the tart cherry industry are national in scope and impact. Growers testified during the order promulgation process that the prices they received often did not come close to covering the costs of production.

The industry demonstrated a need for an order during the promulgation process of the marketing order because large variations in annual tart cherry supplies tend to lead to fluctuations in prices and disorderly marketing. As a result of these fluctuations in supply and price, growers realize less income. The industry chose a volume control marketing order to even out these wide variations in supply and improve returns to growers. During the promulgation process, proponents testified that small growers and processors would have the most to gain from implementation of a marketing order because many such growers and handlers had been going out of business due to low tart cherry prices. They also testified that, since an order would help increase grower returns, this should increase the buffer between business success and failure because small growers and handlers tend to be less capitalized than larger growers and handlers.

Aggregate demand for tart cherries and tart cherry products tends to be

relatively stable from year-to-year. Similarly, prices at the retail level show minimal variation. Consumer prices in grocery stores, and particularly in food service markets, largely do not reflect fluctuations in cherry supplies. Retail demand is assumed to be highly inelastic which indicates that price reductions do not result in large increases in the quantity demanded. Most tart cherries are sold to food service outlets and to consumers as pie filling; frozen cherries are sold as an ingredient to manufacturers of pies and cherry desserts. Juice and dried cherries are expanding market outlets for tart cherries.

Demand for tart cherries at the farm level is derived from the demand for tart cherry products at retail. In general, the farm-level demand for a commodity consists of the demand at retail or food service outlets minus per-unit processing and distribution costs incurred in transforming the raw farm commodity into a product available to consumers. These costs comprise what is known as the "marketing margin."

The supply of tart cherries, by contrast, varies greatly. The magnitude of annual fluctuations in tart cherry supplies is one of the most pronounced for any agricultural commodity in the United States. In addition, since tart cherries are processed either into cans or frozen, they can be stored and carried over from year-to-year. This creates substantial coordination and marketing problems. The supply and demand for tart cherries is rarely in equilibrium. As a result, grower prices fluctuate widely, reflecting the large swings in annual supplies.

In an effort to stabilize prices, the tart cherry industry uses the volume control mechanisms under the authority of the Federal marketing order. This authority allows the industry to set free and restricted percentages. These percentages are only applied to states or districts with a 3-year average of production greater than six million pounds, and to states or districts in which the production is 50 percent or more of the previous 5-year processed production average.

The primary purpose of setting restricted percentages is an attempt to bring supply and demand into balance. If the primary market is over-supplied with cherries, grower prices decline substantially.

The tart cherry sector uses an industry-wide storage program as a supplemental coordinating mechanism under the Federal marketing order. The primary purpose of the storage program is to warehouse supplies in large crop years in order to supplement supplies in short crop years. The storage approach is feasible because the increase in price—when moving from a large crop to a short crop year—more than offsets the costs for storage, interest, and handling of the stored cherries.

The price that growers' receive for their crop is largely determined by the total production volume and carryin inventories. The Federal marketing order permits the industry to exercise supply control provisions, which allow for the establishment of free and restricted percentages for the primary market, and a storage program. The establishment of restricted percentages impacts the production to be marketed in the primary market, while the storage program has an impact on the volume of unsold inventories.

The volume control mechanism used by the cherry industry results in decreased shipments to primary markets. Without volume control the primary markets (domestic) would likely be over-supplied, resulting in lower grower prices.

To assess the impact that volume control has on the prices growers receive for their product, an econometric model has been developed. The econometric model provides a way to see what impacts volume control may have on grower prices. The three districts in Michigan, along with the districts in Utah, New York, Washington, and Wisconsin are the restricted areas for this crop year and their combined total production is 202 million pounds. A 28 percent restriction means 145 million pounds is available to be shipped to primary markets from these five states. Production levels of 3.9 million pounds for Oregon, and 2.8 million pounds for Pennsylvania (the unregulated areas in 2004–2005), result in an additional 6.7 million pounds available for primary market shipments.

In addition, USDA requires a 10 percent release from reserves as a market growth factor. This will result in an additional 18 million pounds being available for the primary market. The 145 million pounds from Michigan, New York, Utah, Washington, and Wisconsin, the approximately 7 million pounds from the other producing states, the 18 million pound release, and the 25 million pound carryin inventory gives a total of 195 million pounds being available for the primary markets.

The econometric model is used to estimate the difference between grower prices with and without restrictions. With volume controls, grower prices are estimated to be approximately \$0.08 higher than without volume controls.

The use of volume controls is estimated to have a positive impact on

growers' total revenues. With restriction, revenues are estimated to be \$10.7 million higher than without restrictions. The without restrictions scenario assumes that all tart cherries produced would be delivered to processors for payments. This scenario is likely since the total available supply in this crop year is very similar to last year's when there was a full release of the reserve pool, and handlers appear to be encouraging growers to deliver their entire crop this year. Although carryout inventories are 25 million pounds, only 1 million pounds is in the reserve while 24 million pounds are held in free inventories held by packers.

It is concluded that the 28 percent volume control would not unduly burden producers and handlers, particularly smaller growers and handlers. The 28 percent restriction would be applied in Michigan, New York, Utah, Washington, and Wisconsin. The growers and handlers in the other two states covered under the marketing order will benefit from the market stability anticipated to result from this restriction.

Recent grower prices have been as high as \$0.44 per pound in the 2002– 2003 crop year. At current production and yield levels, the cost of production is reported to be \$0.43 per pound. Thus, the estimated \$0.43 per pound received by growers under the regulation scenario just covers the cost of production. Under the no regulation scenario, estimated grower prices would not cover the total cost of production. Lower yields and production result in higher costs of production. Overhead or fixed costs are spread over lower levels of production which results in higher costs of production per acre. Even in years when no production is harvested, growers face fixed costs of production and additional costs associated with maintaining the orchard for future years of production. The use of volume controls is believed to have little or no effect on consumer prices and will not result in fewer retail sales or sales to food service outlets.

Without the use of volume controls, the industry could be expected to start to build large amounts of unwanted inventories. These inventories would have a depressing effect on grower prices. The econometric model shows for every 1 million-pound increase in carryin inventories, a decrease in grower prices of \$0.0033 per pound occurs. The use of volume controls allows the industry to supply the primary markets while avoiding the disastrous results of over-supplying these markets. In addition, through volume control, the industry has an additional supply of cherries that can be used to develop secondary markets such as exports and the development of new products. The use of reserve cherries in the production shortened 2002–2003 crop year proved to be very useful and beneficial to growers and packers.

In discussing the possibility of marketing percentages for the 2004-2005 crop year, the Board considered the following factors contained in the marketing policy: (1) The estimated total production of cherries; (2) the estimated size of the crop to be handled; (3) the expected general quality of such cherry production; (4) the expected carryover as of July 1 of canned and frozen cherries and other cherry products; (5) the expected demand conditions for cherries in different market segments; (6) supplies of competing commodities; (7) an analysis of economic factors having a bearing on the marketing of cherries; (8) the estimated tonnage held by handlers in primary or secondary inventory reserves; and (9) any estimated release of primary or secondary inventory reserve cherries during the crop year.

The Board's review of the factors resulted in the computation and announcement in September 2004 of the free and restricted percentages established by this rule (72 percent free and 28 percent restricted).

One alternative to this action would be not to have volume regulation this season. Board members stated that no volume regulation would be detrimental to the tart cherry industry due to the size of the 2004–2005 crop. Returns to growers would not cover their costs of production for this season which might cause some to go out of business.

As mentioned earlier, the Department's "Guidelines for Fruit, Vegetable, and Specialty Crop Marketing Orders" specify that 110 percent of recent years' sales should be made available to primary markets each season before recommendations for volume regulation are approved. The quantity available under this rule is 110 percent of the quantity shipped in the prior three years.

The free and restricted percentages established by this rule release the optimum supply and apply uniformly to all regulated handlers in the industry, regardless of size. There are no known additional costs incurred by small handlers that are not incurred by large handlers. The stabilizing effects of the percentages impact all handlers positively by helping them maintain and expand markets, despite seasonal supply fluctuations. Likewise, price stability positively impacts all producers by allowing them to better anticipate the revenues their tart cherries will generate.

USDA has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this regulation.

While the benefits resulting from this rulemaking are difficult to quantify, the stabilizing effects of the volume regulations impact both small and large handlers positively by helping them maintain markets even though tart cherry supplies fluctuate widely from season to season.

In compliance with Office of Management and Budget (OMB) regulations (5 CFR part 1320) which implement the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13), the information collection and recordkeeping requirements under the tart cherry marketing order have been previously approved by OMB and assigned OMB Number 0581–0177.

Reporting and recordkeeping burdens are necessary for compliance purposes and for developing statistical data for maintenance of the program. The forms require information which is readily available from handler records and which can be provided without data processing equipment or trained statistical staff. As with other, similar marketing order programs, reports and forms are periodically studied to reduce or eliminate duplicate information collection burdens by industry and public sector agencies. This rule will not change those requirements.

A proposed rule concerning this action was published in the **Federal Register** on December 10, 2004, (69 FR 71744). Copies of the rule were mailed or sent via facsimile to all Board members and handlers. Finally, the rule was made available through the Internet by the Office of the Federal Register and USDA. A 30-day comment period ending January 10, 2005, was provided to allow interested persons to respond to the proposal.

One comment was received during the comment period in response to the proposal. The commenter stated that the percentages were too restrictive. The commenter was of the view that the percentages were outdated, restricted trade, and should be removed. The commenter also believed that the Board should be terminated.

The marketing order program including this rule is authorized under the authority of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937. The Board recommended the percentages based on its review of sales data, inventory data, current crop forecasts, and market conditions. It calculated an optimum supply which represents the desirable volume of tart cherries needed to meet primary market needs. Further, the Board, at a later date, can recommend a release of the reserve to provide more tart cherries to satisfy market needs as may be necessary.

Accordingly, no changes will be made to the rule as proposed, based on the comment received.

A small business guide on complying with fruit, vegetable, and specialty crop marketing agreements and orders may be viewed at: http://www.ams.usda.gov/ fv/moab/html. Any questions about the compliance guide should be sent to Jay Guerber at the previously mentioned address in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

After consideration of all relevant matter presented, including the information and recommendation submitted by the Board, and other available information, it is hereby found that this rule, as hereinafter set forth, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

It is further found that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this rule until 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register** (5 U.S.C. 553) because handlers are already shipping cherries from the 2004–2005 crop. Further, handlers are aware of this rule, which was recommended at a public meeting. Also, a thirty-day comment period was provided for in the proposed rule, and the comment received has been addressed herein.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 930

Marketing agreements, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Tart cherries.

■ For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 930 is amended as follows:

PART 930—TART CHERRIES GROWN IN THE STATES OF MICHIGAN, NEW YORK, PENNSYLVANIA, OREGON, UTAH, WASHINGTON, AND WISCONSIN

■ 1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 930 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601-674.

■ 2. Section 930.254 is added to read as follows:

Note: This section will not appear in the annual Code of Federal Regulations.

§ 930.254 Final free and restricted percentages for the 2004–2005 crop year.

The final percentages for tart cherries handled by handlers during the crop year beginning on July 1, 2004, which shall be free and restricted, respectively, are designated as follows: Free percentage, 72 percent and restricted percentage, 28 percent.

Dated: February 8, 2005.

Kenneth C. Clayton,

Acting Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 05–2879 Filed 2–14–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Housing Service

7 CFR Part 1944

Housing Application Packaging Grants

AGENCY: Rural Housing Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Agency is revising its internal Housing Application Packaging Grants regulation in order to correct an erroneous reference to the debarment and suspension regulation. This action is necessary since the existing regulation does not accurately reflect the current information. The intended effect is to remove the incorrect reference to the regulation.

DATES: *Effective Date:* This rule is effective February 15, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas P. Dickson, Program Analyst, Program Support Staff, Rural Development, Room 6900 South Building, Stop 0761, 1400 Independence Ave., SW., Washington, DC 20250–1570. Telephone: (202) 690– 4492, FAX: (202) 690–4335, e-mail: thomas.dickson@usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Classification

This action is not subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12866 since it involves only internal Agency management. This action is not published for prior notice and comment under the Administrative Procedure Act since it involves only internal Agency management and publication for comment is unnecessary and contrary to the public interest.

Program Affected

The program affected is listed in catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance under 10.442—Housing Application Packaging Grants.

Intergovernmental Consultation

Programs with Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance the number 10.442 are not subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12372.

Civil Justice Reform

This final rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. In accordance with this rule: (1) Unless otherwise specifically provided, all State and local laws and regulations that are in conflict with this rule will be preempted; (2) no retroactive effect will be given to this rule except as specifically prescribed in the rule; and (3) administrative Division (7 CFR part 11) must be exhausted before litigation against the Department is instituted.

Paperwork Reduction Act

The information collection requirements contained in this rule have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the provisions of 44 U.S.C. chapter 35 and were assigned OMB control number 0575–0157 in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. No person is required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. This rule does not impose any new information collection requirements from those approved by OMB.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Administrator of the Rural Housing Service has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). New provisions included in this rule will not impact a substantial number of small entities to a greater extent than large entities. Therefore, a regulatory flexibility analysis was not performed.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA), Public Law 104-4, establishes requirements for Federal agencies to assess the effects of their regulatory actions on State, local, and tribal governments and the private sector. Under section 202 of the UMRA, the Agencies generally must prepare a written statement, including a cost benefit analysis, for proposed and final rules with "Federal mandates" that may result in expenditures to State, local, or tribal governments, in the aggregate, or to the private sector, of \$100 million or more in any one year. When such a statement is needed for a rule, section 205 of the UMRA generally requires the agencies to identify and consider a reasonable number of regulatory alternatives and adopt the least costly, more cost-effective, or least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule. This rule contains no