

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under Section 11 of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act of 1988 (IGRA) Pub. L. 100–497, 25 U.S.C. § 2710, the Secretary of the Interior shall publish in the **Federal Register** notice of approved Tribal-State compacts for the purpose of engaging in Class III gaming activities on Indian lands. The Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs, Department of the Interior, through her delegated authority, has approved the Tribal-State Compact between the Navajo Nation, a federally recognized Indian Tribe, and the State of New Mexico. This Compact is identical in substance to the 2001 New Mexico Compacts that were approved by the New Mexico Legislature by joint resolution on March 12, 2001. The Nation shall pay to the State an amount equal to 8 percent of the Net Win in return for which the State agrees that the Nation has the exclusive right within the State to conduct all types of Class III gaming, with the sole exception of the use of Gaming Machines permitted for racetracks and for veterans and fraternal organizations.

Dated: January 2, 2004.

**Aurene M. Martin,**

*Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 04–1023 Filed 1–15–04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–4N–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Indian Affairs

#### Indian Gaming

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of approved Class III Gaming Compact.

**SUMMARY:** Under Section 11 of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act of 1988 (IGRA) Pub. L. 100–497, 25 U.S.C. § 2710, the Secretary of the Interior shall publish in the **Federal Register**, notice of the approved Tribal-State compacts for the purpose of engaging in Class III gaming activities on Indian lands. The Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs, Department of the Interior, through her delegated authority, has approved the Tribal-State Compact between the Torres-Martinez Desert Cahuilla Indians, a federally recognized Indian Tribe, and the State of California. The Compact contemplates two gaming facilities, one in Imperial County and one in Riverside County. The Imperial County site would be a 350-machine Gaming Facility. The Compact requires a 5 percent payment of net win from the

operation of gaming devices to the State for the exclusive right to operate Class III gaming devices in the State of California, and, as part of the Tribe's commitment to mitigate any significant, adverse impacts resulting from casino development, the Tribe and the State, through Imperial and Riverside County, have agreed to conclude one or more written agreements. All such agreements shall be concluded prior to the commencement of the Project, and shall provide for the identification and implementation of feasible mitigation measures and feasible project alternatives concerning problem and pathological gambling and significant environmental effects.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 16, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

George T. Skibine, Director, Office of Indian Gaming Management, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Washington, DC 20240, (202) 219–4066.

Dated: January 7, 2004.

**Aurene M. Martin,**

*Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 04–1024 Filed 1–15–04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–4N–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[CA–330–03–1610–00]

#### Notice of Availability of a Draft Resource Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the King Range National Conservation Area

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management (BLM).

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and under authority of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, and the King Range Act of 1970, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has prepared a Draft Resource Management Plan (RMP)/Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the King Range National Conservation Area (NCA). The planning area, which consists of the King Range NCA and adjoining BLM public lands, encompasses approximately 62,000 acres in Humboldt and Mendocino Counties, California. The Draft RMP/Draft EIS provides direction and guidance for the management of public lands and resources within the Planning Area as well as monitoring and evaluation requirements.

**DATES:** Written comments on the Draft RMP/Draft EIS will be accepted for 90 days following the Environmental Protection Agency's publication of the Notice of Availability for this Draft RMP/Draft EIS in the **Federal Register**. Future public meetings and any other public involvement activities will be announced at least 15 days in advance through public notices, media news releases, the project Web site at <http://www.ca.blm.gov/arcata/>, and/or mailings.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be sent to Bob Wick, Bureau of Land Management, Planning and Environmental Coordinator, Arcata Field Office, 1695 Heindon Rd, Arcata, CA 95521; Fax (707) 825–2301 or email ([caweb330@ca.blm.gov](mailto:caweb330@ca.blm.gov)).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The King Range Act of 1970 (Pub.L. 91–476) established the King Range National Conservation Area. The Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (Pub.L. 94–579) expanded the area to its present size of approximately 62,000 acres. The King Range Act requires development of “a comprehensive, balanced, and coordinated plan of land use, development, and management of the Area.” The act also states “that the plan will be reviewed and reevaluated periodically.” The original plan was completed in 1974, and the present planning effort is the first comprehensive update.

Five scoping meetings were held to solicit input for draft plan formulation. Three of these meetings were held in the communities surrounding the King Range. The other two meetings were held in Eureka and San Francisco. Public input during the scoping process identified 7 issue areas for analysis in the RMP/EIS. The Draft RMP/Draft EIS examines four alternatives that respond to these issues. The issues include: Recreation and Visitor Use, Education/ Interpretation, Resource Conservation and Management, Fire Management, Transportation/Access, and Community Involvement. Alternative A is the No Action (current management) Alternative. Alternatives B, C and D present a range of management scenarios with varying amounts of natural resource restoration/use and differing levels of recreation use and facilities. The Preferred Alternative is a combination of components from Alternatives B, C and D.

Please note that comments, including names and street addresses of respondents, are available for public review and/or release under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). Individual respondents may request

confidentiality. Respondents who wish to withhold name and/or street address from public review or from disclosure under FOIA, must state this prominently at the beginning of the written comment. Such requests will be honored to the extent allowed by law. All submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials or organizations or businesses, will be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

Copies of the Draft RMP/Draft EIS have been sent to affected Federal, Tribal, State and local Government agencies, and to interested publics and are available at the Arcata Field Office. The Draft RMP/Draft EIS and other associated documents may be viewed and downloaded in PDF format at the project Web site at <http://www.ca.blm.gov/arcata/>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For further information and/or to have your name added to our mailing list, contact Bob Wick, Planning and Environmental Coordinator (707) 825-2321 at the Arcata Field Office.

Dated: August 22, 2003.

**Dan Averill,**

*Acting Arcata Field Manager.*

[FR Doc. 04-2 Filed 1-15-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-40-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[ID-930-04-1610-DS]

#### Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Impact Statement and Draft Land Use Plan Amendments; Northern Rockies Lynx Amendments

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) (acting as cooperating agency to the lead agency, the USDA Forest Service, Northern Region) has prepared a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on a proposal to amend land use plans to incorporate management direction for the Canada lynx within the northern Rocky Mountain area.

**DATES:** The 90-day public comment period begins when the Environmental Protection Agency publishes a notice of the filing of the Draft EIS in the **Federal Register**. Information regarding public meetings on the Draft EIS is posted on the Internet at <http://www.fs.fed.us/r1/>

[planning/lynx.html](http://www.fs.fed.us/r1/planning/lynx.html) and sent to people who commented during scoping or asked to be on the mailing list.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments on the Draft EIS/plan amendments to Northern Rockies Lynx Amendment, Attn: Jon Haber, Project Manager, USDA Forest Service, Northern Region Headquarters, PO BOX 7669, Missoula, MT 59807. Send e-mail comments to [comments-northern-regional-office@fs.fed.us](mailto:comments-northern-regional-office@fs.fed.us) (Please specify Northern Rockies Lynx Amendment on the subject line.)

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Haber (406) 329-3399 or Joan Dickerson, (406) 329-3314. Information regarding lynx and the planning process can also be found at <http://www.fs.fed.us/r1/planning/lynx.html>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The nine BLM Field Offices and their associated plans included in this plan amendment process are shown below.

#### Bureau of Land Management Offices and Associated Land Use Plans

##### Idaho

Upper Columbia-Salmon/Clearwater District

Salmon Field Office—Lemhi Resource Management Plan (RMP),

Challis Field Office—Challis RMP,

Coeur d'Alene Field Office—Emerald Empire Management Framework Plan (MFP),

Cottonwood Field Office—Chief Joseph MFP

Upper Snake River District

Idaho Falls Field Office—Medicine Lodge RMP,

Pocatello Field Office—Pocatello RMP\*,

Shoshone Field Office—Sun Valley MFP

Lower Snake River District

Four Rivers Field Office—Cascade RMP

##### Utah

Salt Lake Field Office—Randolph MFP\*

\*Only the linkage area direction would apply

Dated: October 10, 2002.

**Michael A. Ferguson,**

*Acting Idaho State Director, BLM.*

[FR Doc. 04-1 Filed 1-15-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-GG-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-493]

### In the Matter of Certain Zero-Mercury-Added Alkaline Batteries, Parts Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation With Respect to One Respondent on the Basis of a Consent Order; Issuance of Consent Order

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting the joint motion of complainants Energizer Holdings, Inc. and Eveready Battery Co., Inc., and respondent Monster Cable Products, Inc. to terminate the above-captioned investigation with respect to that respondent on the basis of a consent order.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael K. Haldenstein, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-3041. Copies of the ALJ's ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on May 27, 2003, based on a complaint filed by Energizer Holdings, Inc. and Eveready Battery Co., Inc., both of St. Louis, MO, 68 FR 32771 (2003). The complaint as amended alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain zero-mercury-added alkaline batteries, parts thereof,