

Rules and Regulations

Federal Register

Vol. 69, No. 74

Friday, April 16, 2004

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each week.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2003-NE-44-AD; Amendment 39-13569; AD 2004-07-25]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Hartzell Propeller Inc. Models HC-B5MP-3C/M10876K Propellers

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The FAA is superseding an existing airworthiness directive (AD) for Hartzell Propeller Inc. Model HC-B5MP-3C/M10876K propellers, installed on Short Brothers Model SD3-60 airplanes. That AD currently requires initial and repetitive removal, disassembly, inspection, and rework if necessary of Hartzell Propeller Inc. Model HC-B5MP-3C/M10876K propellers until blades are replaced with new design blades, no later than March 31, 1988. This AD requires installation of new design blades before further flight, on Hartzell Propeller Inc. Models HC-B5MP-3C/M10876K propellers. This AD superseding is prompted by a review of all currently effective ADs, which found that AD 87-16-02 was not published in the **Federal Register** to make it effective to all operators, as opposed to just the operators who received actual notice of the original AD. We are issuing this AD to prevent propeller blade separation near the hub, which could result in engine separation from the airplane.

DATES: This AD becomes effective May 21, 2004.

ADDRESSES: You may examine the AD docket, by appointment, at the FAA, New England Region, Office of the

Regional Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Melissa Bradley, Aerospace Engineer, Chicago Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, IL 60018; telephone: (847) 294-8110; fax: (847) 294-7834.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposed to amend 14 CFR part 39 with a proposed airworthiness directive (AD). The proposed AD applies to Hartzell Propeller Inc. Model HC-B5MP-3C/M10876K propellers. We published the proposed AD in the **Federal Register** on October 16, 2003 (68 FR 59555). That action proposed to require installation of new design blades before further flight, on Hartzell Propeller Inc. Models HC-B5MP-3C/M10876K propellers.

Comments

We provided the public the opportunity to participate in the development of this AD. We received no comments on the proposal or on the determination of the cost to the public.

Conclusion

We have carefully reviewed the available data and determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting the AD as proposed.

Changes to 14 CFR Part 39—Effect on the AD

On July 10, 2002, we issued a new version of 14 CFR part 39 (67 FR 47998, July 22, 2002), which governs the FAA's AD system. That regulation now includes material that relates to altered products, special flight permits, and alternative methods of compliance. The material previously was included in each individual AD. Since the material is included in 14 CFR part 39, we will not include it in future AD actions.

Regulatory Findings

We have determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this AD:

(1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;

(2) Is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and

(3) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a summary of the costs to comply with this AD and placed it in the AD Docket. You may get a copy of this summary by sending a request to us at the address listed under **ADDRESSES**. Include "AD Docket No. 2003-NE-44-AD" in your request.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding a new airworthiness directive, Amendment 39-13569, to read as follows:

2004-07-25 Hartzell Propeller Inc.:

Amendment 39-13569. Docket No. 2003-NE-44-AD. Supersedes Priority Letter AD 87-16-02.

Effective Date

(a) This AD becomes effective May 21, 2004.

Affected ADs

(b) This AD supersedes Priority Letter AD 87-16-02.

Applicability

(c) This AD applies to Hartzell Propeller Inc. Model HC-B5MP-3C/M10876K propellers. These propellers are installed on, but not limited to, Short Brothers Model SD3-60 airplanes.

Unsafe Condition

(d) This AD is prompted by a review of all currently effective ADs, which found that AD 87-16-02 was not published in the **Federal Register** to make it effective to all operators, as opposed to just the operators who received actual notice of the original AD. We are issuing this AD to prevent propeller blade

separation near the hub, which could result in engine separation from the airplane.

Compliance

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified unless the actions have already been done.

Required Actions

(f) Before further flight, replace propeller blades Model M10876K with blades Model M10876ASK.

(g) After the effective date of this AD, do not install propeller blades Model M10876K on any airplane.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(h) The Manager, Chicago Aircraft Certification Office, has the authority to approve alternative methods of compliance for this AD if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

Material Incorporated by Reference

(i) None.

Related Information

(j) None.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on March 30, 2004.

Francis A. Favara,

Acting Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
[FR Doc. 04-8585 Filed 4-15-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

32 CFR Part 719

RIN 0703-AA75

Regulations Supplementing the Manual for Courts-Martial

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DOD.
ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Navy is amending its regulations concerning the closure of pre-trial hearings from the public to reflect recent changes to Chapter I of the Manual of the Judge Advocate General (JAGMAN).

DATES: Effective April 16, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: LCDR Jason Baltimore, Personnel Law Branch, Administrative Law Division (Code 13), Office of the Judge Advocate General, 1322 Patterson Avenue SE., Suite 3000, Washington Navy Yard, DC 20374-5066, (703) 604-8208.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the authority cited below, the Department of the Navy amends 32 CFR part 719. This amendment provides notice that the Judge Advocate General of the Navy has made administrative

corrections to the Courts-Martial regulations found in Chapter I of the JAGMAN. It has been determined that invitation of public comment on this amendment would be impractical and unnecessary, and is therefore not required under the public rule-making provisions of 32 CFR parts 336 and 701. However, interested persons are invited to comment in writing on this amendment. All written comments received will be considered in making subsequent amendments or revisions of 32 CFR part 719, or the instructions on which they are based. It has been determined that this final rule is not a major rule within the criteria specified in Executive Order 12866, as amended by Executive Order 13258, and does not have substantial impact on the public. This submission is a statement of policy and as such can be effective upon publication in the **Federal Register**.

Matters of Regulatory Procedure

Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review

This rule does not meet the definition of "significant regulatory action" for purposes of Executive Order 12866, as amended by Executive Order 13258.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

This rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for purposes of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. Chapter 6).

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not impose collection of information requirements for purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, 5 CFR part 1320).

List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 719

Trial Matters.

■ For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 32 CFR Part 719 is amended to read as follows:

■ 1. Section 719.115 is amended by revising paragraph (b)(2) to read as follows:

§ 719.115 Release of information pertaining to accused persons; spectators at judicial sessions.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(2) At pretrial investigations. Consistent with Rules for Courts-Martial 405(h)(3), Manual for Courts-Martial, the Convening Authority or investigating officer may direct that all or part of an Article 32 investigation under 10 U.S.C. 832 be held in closed session and that all persons not connected with the hearing be excluded

therefrom. The decision to exclude spectators may be based on the need to protect classified information, to prevent disclosure of matters that will be inadmissible in evidence at a subsequent trial by Courts-Martial and are of such a nature as to interfere with a fair trial by an impartial tribunal, or consistent with appellate case law, for a reason deemed appropriate by the commander ordering the investigation or the investigating officer. The reasons for closing an Article 32 investigation, and any objections thereto, shall be memorialized and included as an attachment to the report of investigation. Ordinarily, the proceedings of a pretrial investigation should be open to spectators. In cases dealing with classified information, the investigating officer will ensure that any part of a pretrial investigation (e.g., rights advisement) that does not involve classified information will remain open to spectators.

* * * * *

Dated: April 5, 2004.

J.T. Baltimore,

Lieutenant Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Alternate Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 04-8628 Filed 4-15-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

32 CFR Part 725

RIN 0703-AA74

Release of Official Information for Litigation Purposes and Testimony by Department of the Navy Personnel

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DoD.
ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Navy is amending its regulations concerning requests from members of the public for official Department of the Navy information in connection with litigation to reflect recent changes to Chapter VI of the Manual of the Judge Advocate General (JAGMAN).

DATES: Effective April 16, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: LCDR Jason Baltimore, Personnel Law Branch, Administrative Law Division (Code 13), Office of the Judge Advocate General, 1322 Patterson Avenue SE., Suite 3000, Washington Navy Yard, DC 20374-5066, (703) 604-8208.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the authority cited below, the Department of the Navy amends 32 CFR