2459), Executive Order 12047 of March 27, 1978, the Foreign Affairs Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998 (112 Stat. 2681, et seq.; 22 U.S.C. 6501 note, et seq.), Delegation of Authority No. 234 of October 1, 1999, and Delegation of Authority No. 236 of October 19, 1999, as amended, and Delegation of Authority No. 257 of April 15, 2003 [68 FR 19875], I hereby determine that the objects to be included in the exhibition "Ruhlmann: Genius of Art Deco," imported from abroad for temporary exhibition within the United States, are of cultural significance. The objects are imported pursuant to a loan agreement with the foreign owners. I also determine that the exhibition or display of the exhibit objects at The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, NY from on or about June 7, 2004 to on or about September 5, 2004, and at possible additional venues yet to be determined, is in the national interest. Public Notice of these Determinations is ordered to be published in the Federal Register.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information, including a list of the exhibit objects, contact Carol B. Epstein, Attorney-Adviser, Office of the Legal Adviser, Department of State, (telephone: 202/619–6981). The address is Department of State, SA–44, 301 4th Street, SW., Room 700, Washington, DC 20547–0001.

Dated: April 1, 2004.

C. Miller Crouch,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Educational and Cultural Affairs, Department of State.

[FR Doc. 04–7871 Filed 4–6–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4710–08–P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 4680]

Bureau of Nonproliferation; Imposition of Nonproliferation Measures Against Thirteen Entities, Including Ban on U.S. Government Procurement

summary: A determination has been made that thirteen entities have engaged in activities that require the imposition of measures pursuant to Section 3 of the Iran Nonproliferation Act of 2000, which provides for penalties on entities for the transfer to Iran of equipment and technology controlled under multilateral export control lists (Missile Technology Control Regime, Australia Group, Chemical Weapons Convention, Nuclear Suppliers Group, Wassenaar Arrangement) or otherwise having the potential to make a material contribution to the development of

weapons of mass destruction (WMD) or cruise or ballistic missile systems. The latter category includes (a) items of the same kind as those on multilateral lists, but falling below the control list parameters, when it is determined that such items have the potential of making a material contribution to WMD or cruise or ballistic missile systems, and (b) other items with the potential of making such a material contribution, when added through case-by-case decisions.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 1, 2004. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** On general issues: Vann H. Van Diepen, Office of Chemical, Biological and Missile Nonproliferation, Bureau of Nonproliferation, Department of State, (202–647–1142). On U.S. Government procurement ban issues: Gladys Gines, Office of the Procurement Executive, Department of State, (703–516–1691).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to sections 2 and 3 of the Iran Nonproliferation Act of 2000 (Pub. L. 106–178), the U.S. Government determined on March 19, 2004, that the measures authorized in section 3 of the Act shall apply to the following foreign entities identified in the report submitted pursuant to section 2(a) of the Act:

Baranov Engine Building Association Overhaul Facility (Russia) and any successor, sub-unit, or subsidiary thereof;

Beijing Institute of Opto-Electronic Technology (BIOET) (China) and any successor, sub-unit, or subsidiary thereof:

Belarus Belvneshpromservice (Belarus) and any successor, sub-unit, or subsidiary thereof;

Blagoja Samakoski (Macedonia); Changgwang Sinyong Corporation (North Korea) and any successor, subunit, or subsidiary thereof;

China North Industries Corporation (NORINCO) (China) and any successor, sub-unit, or subsidiary thereof;

China Precision Machinery Import/ Export Corporation (CPMIEC) (China) and any successor, sub-unit, or subsidiary thereof;

Elmstone Service and Trading FZE (LLC) (United Arab Emirates) and any successor, sub-unit, or subsidiary thereof;

Goodly Industrial Company Ltd. (Taiwan) and any successor, sub-unit, or subsidiary thereof;

Mikrosam (Macedonia) and any successor, sub-unit, or subsidiary thereof;

Oriental Scientific Instruments Corporation (OSIC) (China) and any successor, sub-unit, or subsidiary thereof; Vadim V. Vorobey (Russia);

Zibo Chemical Equipment Plant, aka Chemet Global Ltd., aka South Industries Science and Technology Trading Company, Ltd. (China) and any successor, sub-unit, or subsidiary thereof.

Accordingly, pursuant to the provisions of the Act, the following measures are imposed on these entities:

- 1. No department or agency of the United States Government may procure, or enter into any contract for the procurement of, any goods, technology, or services from these foreign persons;
- 2. No department or agency of the United States Government may provide any assistance to the foreign persons, and these persons shall not be eligible to participate in any assistance program of the United States Government;
- 3. No United States Government sales to the foreign persons of any item on the United States Munitions List (as in effect on August 8, 1995) are permitted, and all sales to these persons of any defense articles, defense services, or design and construction services under the Arms Export Control Act are terminated; and,
- 4. No new individual licenses shall be granted for the transfer to these foreign persons of items the export of which is controlled under the Export Administration Act of 1979 or the Export Administration Regulations, and any existing such licenses are suspended.

These measures shall be implemented by the responsible departments and agencies of the United States
Government and will remain in place for two years from the effective date, except to the extent that the Secretary of State or Deputy Secretary of State may subsequently determine otherwise. A new determination will be made in the event that circumstances change in such a manner as to warrant a change in the duration of sanctions.

Dated: April 1, 2004.

John S. Wolf,

Assistant Secretary of State for Nonproliferation, Department of State. [FR Doc. 04–7870 Filed 4–6–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-25-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration [Summary Notice No. PE-2004-24]

Petitions for Exemption; Dispositions of Petitions Issued

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of dispositions of prior petitions.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption part 11 of Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR), this notice contains a summary of certain dispositions of certain petitions previously received. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tim Adams (202) 267–8033, or Sandy Buchanan-Sumter (202) 267–7271, Office of Rulemaking (ARM–1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85 and 11.91.

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 2, 2004.

Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

Dispositions of Petitions

Docket No.: FAA–2004–16913. Petitioner: Peninsula Airways d.b.a. PenAir.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 121.354(b).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Peninsula Airways d.b.a. PenAir, to operate its 4 Cessna 208 Caravans after March 29, 2005, without having an approved terrain awareness and warning system that meets the requirements for Class A equipment in Technical Standard Order C151 installed on each aircraft, subject to certain conditions and limitations.

Grant, 3/23/04, Exemption No. 8279

Docket No.: FAA–2004–17200. Petitioner: Mr. Keith Dale Cole. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 91.109(a).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Mr. Keith Dale Cole, to conduct certain flight training in certain Beechcraft Bonanza/Debonair airplanes that are equipped with a functioning throw-over control wheel.

Grant, 3/23/04, Exemption No. 8278

Docket No.: FAA–2001–10949. Petitioner: FedEx Corporation d.b.a. FedEx Express.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 121.613 and 121.625.

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit FedEx Corporation d.b.a. FedEx Express, to dispatch aircraft under instrument flight rules when conditional language in a one-time increment of the weather forecast states that the weather at the destination airport, alternate airport, or both airports could be below the authorized weather minimums when other time increments of the weather forecast state that weather conditions will be at or above the authorized weather minimums.

Grant, 3/24/04, Exemption No. 8282

Docket No.: FAA-2004-17266. Petitioner: Comair, Inc. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 121.434(c)(1)(ii).

Description of Relief Sought/
Disposition: To permit Comair, Inc., to substitute a qualified and authorized check airman or aircrew program designee for a Federal Aviation Administration inspector to observe a qualifying pilot in command who is completing initial or upgrade training specified in § 121.424 during at least one flight leg that includes a takeoff and a landing.

Grant, 3/24/04, Exemption No. 8281

Docket No.: FAA–2004–17281.

Petitioner: Guidance Helicopters, Inc.
Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR
135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Guidance Helicopters, Inc., to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO– C112 (Mode S) transponder installed on those aircraft.

Grant, 3/26/04, Exemption No. 8284

Docket No.: FAA–2002–11557.

Petitioner: Bemidji Aviation Services,

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Bemidji Aviation Services, Inc., to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed on those aircraft.

Grant, 3/26/04, Exemption No. 6110D

Docket No.: FAA–2004–17130. Petitioner: Northwest Seaplanes, Inc. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Northwest Seaplanes, Inc., to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO– C112 (Mode S) transponder installed on those aircraft.

Grant, 3/26/04, Exemption No. 8283

Docket No.: FAA-2004-17282. Petitioner: Executive Air Express, Inc. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Executive Air Express, Inc., to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed on those aircraft.

Grant, 3/26/04, Exemption No. 8285 [FR Doc. 04–7878 Filed 4–6–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Bob Hope Airport, Burbank, CA

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Bob Hope Airport under the provisions of the 49 U.S.C. 40117 and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 7, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Federal Aviation
Administration, Airports Division,
15000 Aviation Blvd., Room 3012,
Lawndale, CA 90261. In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Dan Feger, Deputy Executive Director at the following address: Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority,
2627 Hollywood Way, Burbank, CA
91505.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority under section 158.23 of Part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ruben Cabalbag, Airports Program Engineer, Airports Division, Federal Aviation Administration, 15000 Aviation Blvd, Room 3012, Lawndale, CA 90261, telephone (310) 725–3621. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Bob Hope Airport under the provisions of the 49 United States Code (U.S.C.) section 40117 and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On February 27, 2004, the FAA determined that the application to