

engaged in manipulative or violative activity.¹⁸

The Commission finds good cause for approving the proposal prior to the thirtieth day after the date of publication of notice of filing thereof in the **Federal Register**. CBOE has requested that the Commission grant accelerated approval of the proposed rule change on a pilot basis through November 17, 2004. The Commission notes that the CFE intends to begin trading futures contracts on VIX commencing on Friday, March 26, 2004. Since the VIX futures contracts will be settled using the modified ROS process, accelerated approval of the proposed rule change would allow CFE to inform members of the process by which settlement values would be determined in conjunction with the commencement of trading these products. For these reasons, the Commission believes that there is good cause, consistent with Sections 6(b)(5) and 19(b) of the Act,¹⁹ to approve CBOE's proposal, as amended, on an accelerated basis.

V. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act,²⁰ that the proposed rule change, as amended, (SR-CBOE-2004-11) is hereby approved on an accelerated basis as a pilot program to expire on November 17, 2004.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.²¹

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04-7147 Filed 3-30-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-49472; File No. SR-CBOE-2003-35]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change, and Amendment Nos. 1, 2, and 3 Thereto by the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. Relating to Non-Aggregation Treatment of Trading Units of Member Firms for Position and Exercise Limits

March 25, 2004.

On August 26, 2003, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. ("CBOE" or "Exchange") submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to issue a regulatory circular containing additional guidance for member firms requesting that one or more of their internal trading units be treated as a separate aggregation unit for purposes of determining aggregate position and exercise limits for a particular option contract. On September 29 2003, the CBOE submitted Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change. On January 29, 2004, the CBOE submitted Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change. On February 9, 2004 the CBOE submitted Amendment No. 3 to the proposed rule change. The **Federal Register** published the proposed rule change, as amended, for comment on February 19, 2004.³ The Commission received no comments on the proposed rule change.

After careful consideration, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder, applicable to a national securities exchange.⁴ In particular, the Commission believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁵ which requires, among other things, that the rules of the Exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, promote just and equitable principles of trade, remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in

general, protect investors and the public interest.

The Commission believes that the proposed rule change establishes reasonable conditions for the Exchange to determine whether separate trading units within the same member firm may receive non-aggregation treatment with respect to position and exercise limits. The Commission notes that the proposed rule change will require that a CBOE member seeking non-aggregation treatment create internal firewalls and information barriers between trading units that are sufficient to prevent the flow of information (*e.g.*, trades, positions, and trading strategies) between trading units that receive non-aggregation treatment and other trading units controlled by the member. In addition, the Commission believes that the proposed rule change should promote accountability of member firms receiving non-aggregation treatment. Moreover, the Commission believes that the procedures that the Exchange employs to consult with members of the Intermarket Surveillance Group before granting non-aggregation treatment to a member should promote consistent determinations of whether or not to grant non-aggregation treatment.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁶ that the proposed rule change (SR-CBOE-2003-35), as amended, be, and it hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁷

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04-7210 Filed 3-30-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-49463; File No. SR-FICC-2004-04]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Fixed Income Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to Technical Corrections

March 24, 2004.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ notice is hereby given that on February 19, 2004, the Fixed Income Clearing Corporation ("FICC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the

¹⁸ See Supplemental ROS Surveillance Procedures, *supra* note 12. CBOE has represented, and the Commission expects, that the Exchange will work with the Commission's Office of Compliance Inspections and Examinations ("OCIE") to finalize any surveillance reports used in connection with the modified ROS opening in a manner acceptable to OCIE. The Commission also expects CBOE to assess its surveillance procedures from time to time to determine whether they are adequate to ensure that market makers do not engage in manipulative or improper trading practices. Further, the Commission expects CBOE to consider whether any additional surveillance procedures are necessary to prevent manipulative or other improper practices.

¹⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5) and 78s(b).

²⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

²¹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 49213 (February 9, 2004), 69 FR 7829.

⁴ In approving this rule, the Commission notes that it has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared primarily by FICC. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change will make several technical corrections to FICC's Mortgage-Backed Securities Division's ("MBSD") rules.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, FICC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. FICC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

FICC proposes to make the following technical corrections to its Mortgage-Backed Securities Division's ("MBSD") rules:

1. Minimum Financial Requirements Applicable to Dealer Participants

In Rule Filing SR-MBSCC-2001-06, FICC inadvertently created a \$5 million minimum net capital or liquid capital requirement for "registered broker-dealers."² This requirement has historically applied only to brokers, which act as intermediaries and present less risk to FICC and its participants. Notwithstanding MBSCC-2001-06, FICC has continued to subject dealers to a \$10 million minimum net worth requirement and this rule filing restores the language in MBSD's rules setting forth the different minimum requirements to the language as it existed prior to Rule Filing SR-MBSCC-2001-06.

2. Reference to FICC's Office

MBSD's current rules refer to MBSCC's Chicago office. FICC no longer maintains this office and wishes to

delete the reference to the Chicago office in MBSD's rules.

3. References to EPN User Fund and Basic Deposit

MBSD's rules currently reference the "EPN User Fund" ("Fund") and "Basic Deposit" ("Deposit"). MBSCC initially had planned to supply its participants with equipment for the EPN service and intended to have each EPN user post a Deposit to the Fund for the using the equipment. The purpose of this was to create an incentive for participants to return the equipment upon terminating their MBSCC membership. However, the EPN system was developed without the need to supply users with equipment; therefore, the use of the Fund was never implemented. FICC proposes to delete all references to the Fund and to the Deposit.

FICC believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with section 17A of the Act³ and the rules and regulations thereunder as the proposed rule change makes technical corrections to the MBSD's rules.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

FICC believes that the proposed rule change will not impact or impose any burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

FICC has not solicited or received written comments relating to the proposed rule change. FICC will notify the Commission of any written comments it receives.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act⁴ and Securities Exchange Act Rule 19b-4(f)(4)⁵ because it effects a change in an existing service of FICC that does not adversely affect the safeguarding of securities or funds in the custody or control of FICC or for which FICC is responsible and does not significantly affect the respective rights or obligations of FICC or person using the service. At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appeared to the Commission that such action was

necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 5th Street NW., Washington, DC 20549-0069. Comments may also be submitted electronically at the following e-mail address: rule-comments@sec.gov. All comment letters should refer to File No. SR-FICC-2004-04. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, comments should be sent in hardcopy or by e-mail but not by both methods. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the rule filing that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the rule filing between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at FICC's principal office and on FICC's Web site at <http://www.ficc.com/mbs/mbs.docs.jsp?NS-query=>. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-FICC-2004-04 and should be submitted by April 21, 2004.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁶

Margaret H. McFarland,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04-7209 Filed 3-30-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

² Securities Exchange Act Release No. 49156 (Jan. 30, 2004); 69 FR 5881 (Feb. 6, 2004). FICC's corporate predecessor, MBSCC, submitted this rule filing.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1.

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

⁵ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(4).

⁶ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).