335 & 50–389, License Nos. DPR–67 & NPF–16, 6351 South Ocean Drive, Jensen Beach, FL 34957

- John Waddell, Nuclear Security Manager, Prairie Island & Nuclear Company, Nuclear Management Generating Plant, Units 1 & 2 Docket Nos. 50–282 & 50–306, License Nos. DPR–42 & DPR–60, 1717 Wakonade Drive East, Welch, MN 55089
- Cindy Wilson, LaSalle County Station, Units 1 & 2, Exelon Generation Company, Docket Nos. 50–373 & 50–374, License Nos. NPF– 11 & NPF–18, 2601 North 21st Road, Marseilles, IL 61341–9757
- Mr. Clay C. Warren, Vice President of Nuclear, Cooper Nuclear Station, Nebraska Public Power District, Docket No. 50–298, License Nos. DPR–46, 2 Miles South of Brownsville, Brownsville, NE 68321
- Scott Young, Security Superintendent, H.B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit 2, Carolina Power & Light Company, Docket No. 50–261, License No. DPR–23, 3581 West Entrance Road, Hartsville, SC 29550
- A. Christopher Bakken, Senior Vice President and Chief Nuclear Officer, Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant, Units 1 & 2, Docket Nos. 50– 315 & 50–316, License Nos. DPR–58 & DPR–74, Indiana Michigan Power Group, 500 Circle Drive, Buchanan, MI 49107

[FR Doc. 03–572 Filed 1–10–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

# OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

#### Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Review of a Revised Information Collection: RI 25–15

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management. ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Public Law 104–13, May 22, 1995 and 5 CFR 1320), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) intends to submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for review of a revised information collection. RI 25–15, Notice of Change in Student's Status, is used to collect sufficient information from adult children of deceased Federal employees or annuitants to assure that the child continues to be eligible for payments from OPM.

- Comments are particularly invited on: —Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the Office of Personnel Management, and
- whether it will have practical utility; —Whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; and
- —Ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of

information on those who are to respond, through use of the appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Approximately 2,500 certifications are processed annually. Each form takes approximately 30 minutes to complete. The annual estimated burden is 1,250 hours.

For copies of this proposal, contact Mary Beth Smith-Toomey on (202) 606– 8358, FAX (202) 418–3251 or E-mail to *mbtoomey@opm.gov*. Please include your mailing address with your request.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposal should be received within 60 calendar days from the date of this publication.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to—Ronald W. Melton, Chief, Operations Support Division, Retirement and Insurance Service, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 3349, Washington, DC 20415–3540.

# FOR INFORMATION REGARDING ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATION—CONTACT: Cyrus S. Benson, Team Leader, Desktop Publishing and Printing Team, Budget and Administrative Services Division, (202) 606–0623.

Office of Personnel Management.

#### Kay Coles James,

Director

[FR Doc. 03–399 Filed 1–10–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6325–50–P

#### POSTAL RATE COMMISSION

#### **Sunshine Act Meeting**

**AGENCY:** Postal Rate Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** 3 p.m., Tuesday, January 14, 2003.

**PLACE:** Commission conference room, 1333 H Street NW., Suite 300, Washington, DC 20268–0001.

STATUS: Closed.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** Personnel issues.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Steven W. Williams, Secretary, 202–789–6842.

Dated: January 9, 2003.

#### Steven W. Williams,

Secretary

[FR Doc. 03–779 Filed 1–9–03; 3:35 pm] BILLING CODE 7710-FW-M

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

# Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

#### Extension:

Regulation FD; SEC File No. 270–475; OMB Control No. 3235–0536.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management Budget for extension and approval.

Regulation FD—Other Disclosure Materials requires public disclosure of material information from issuers of publicly traded securities so that investors have current information upon which to base investment decisions. The purpose of the regulation is to require that: (1) When an issuer intentionally discloses material information it do so through public disclosure, not selective disclosure; and (2) whenever an issuer learns that it has made a non-intentional material selective disclosure, the issuer make prompt public disclosure of that information. Regulation FD was adopted due to a concern that the practice of selective disclosure leads to a loss of investor confidence in the integrity of our capital markets. It is estimated that approximately 13,000 issuers file correspondence under Regulation FD. The filer prepares 25% of the 290,000 annual burden hours for a total of 72,500 burden hours.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to Kenneth A. Fogash, Acting Associate

Executive Director/CIO, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 5th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: December 30, 2002.

Margaret H. McFarland, Deputy Secretary. [FR Doc. 03-602 Filed 1-10-03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

# SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

#### Rate for Attorney Fee Assessment Beginning in 2003

**AGENCY:** Social Security Administration (SSA).

#### **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Social Security Administration is announcing that the attorney fee assessment rate under section 206(d) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 406(d), is 6.3 percent for 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John B. Watson, Social Security Administration, Office of the General Counsel, Phone: (410) 965-3137, email John.Watson@ssa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 406 of Pub. L. 106–170, the Ticket to Work and Work Incentives Improvement Act of 1999, established an assessment for the services required to determine and certify payments to attorneys from the benefits due claimants under Title II of the Act. This provision is codified in section 206 of the Act (42 U.S.C. 406). The legislation set the assessment for calendar year 2000 at 6.3 percent of the amount that would be required to be certified for direct payment to the attorney under section 206(a)(4) or 206(b)(1) before the application of the assessment. For subsequent years, the legislation requires the Commissioner of Social Security to determine the percentage rate necessary to achieve full recovery of the costs of determining and certifying fees to attorneys, but not in excess of 6.3 percent. The Commissioner of Social Security has determined, based on the best available data, that the current rate of 6.3 percent will continue for 2003. We will continue to review our costs on a yearly basis.

Dated: January 3, 2003.

# Dale W. Sopper,

Acting Deputy Commissioner for Finance Assessment and Management.

[FR Doc. 03-538 Filed 1-10-03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4191-02-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

**Federal Aviation Administration** 

### Noise Exposure Map Notice; Receipt of Noise Compatibility Program and **Request for Review Arnold Palmer** Regional Airport, Latrobe, Pennsylvania

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (DOT). ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announces its determination that the noise exposure maps (NEM) submitted by the Westmoreland County Airport Authority for the Arnold Palmer Regional Airport under the provisions of Title I of the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979 (Pub. L. 96-193) and 14 CFR part 150 are in compliance with applicable requirements. The FAA also announces that it is reviewing a proposed noise compatibility program (NCP) that was submitted for Arnold Palmer Regional Airport under part 150 in conjunction with the noise exposure maps, and that this program will be approved or disapproved on or before June 22, 2003.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** The effective date of the FAA's determination on the noise exposure maps and of the start of its review of the associated noise compatibility program is December 24, 2002. The public comment period ends February 22, 2003.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James Byers, Federal Aviation Administration, Harrisburg Airports District Office, 3905 Hartzdale Drive, Camp Hill, PA 17011. Telephone (717) 730–2833. Comments on the proposed noise compatibility program should also be submitted to the above office. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice announces that the FAA finds that the noise exposure maps submitted for the Arnold Palmer Regional Airport are in compliance with applicable requirements of part 150, effective December 24, 2002. Further, FAA is reviewing a proposed noise compatibility program for that airport

which will be approved or disapproved on or before June 22, 2003. This notice also announces the availability of this program for public review and comment.

Under section 103 of Title I of the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979 (hereinafter referred to as "the Act"), an airport operator may submit to the FAA noise exposure maps which meet applicable regulations and which depict noncompatible land uses

as of the date of submission of such maps, a description of projected aircraft operations, and the ways in which such operations will affect such maps. The Act requires such maps to be developed in consultation with interested and affected parties in the local community, government agencies, and persons using the airport.

An airport operator who has submitted noise exposure maps that are found by FAA to be in compliance with the requirements of Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) part 150, promulgated pursuant to Title I of the Act, may submit a noise compatibility program for FAA approval which sets forth the measures the operator has taken or proposes for the reduction of existing noncompatible uses and for the prevention of the introduction of additional noncompatible uses.

The Westmoreland County Airport Authority submitted to the FAA on December 6, 2002 noise exposure maps, descriptions and other documentation which were produced during the Arnold Palmer Regional Airport part 150 Noise Compatibility Study conducted between March 1999 and November 2002. It was requested that the FAA review this material as the noise exposure maps, as described in section 103(a)(1) of the Act, and that the noise mitigation measures, to be implemented jointly by the airport and surrounding communities, be approved as a noise compatibility program under section 104(b) of the Act.

The FAA has completed its review of the noise exposure maps and related descriptions submitted by the Westmoreland County Airport Authority. The specific maps under consideration are "1999 Noise Exposure Map" (NEM1) and "2004 Noise Exposure Map" (NEM2) with "Recommended Noise Compatibility Program" (NCP) in the submission. The documentation that constitutes the "Noise Exposure Maps" as defined in section 150.7 of part 150 includes: NEM1 and NEM2 contain current and forecast condition graphics such as depiction of the airport and its boundaries and runway configurations; land uses such as hospitals, libraries, churches, historical points, schools, nursing homes, commercial and industrial areas, community service areas, and residential areas; and the areas within the DNL 60, 65, 70 and 75. Estimates of the number of people residing within the DNL 60, 65, 70 and 75 are found in Table V–1. The locations of noise monitoring sites are found in Exhibit IV–6. Flight tracks for the existing condition and the five-year forecasted timeframes are found in Exhibits IV-3, IV-4, and IV-5. The type