

No. CP03-51-000 an application, pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and subpart A of part 157 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) Regulations. Natural requests a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of six (6) new injection/withdrawal wells (I/W) and, appurtenant facilities, and the conversion of three (3) observation wells to I/W wells at Natural's Sayre Storage Field (Sayre) located in Beckham County, Oklahoma, all as more fully set forth in its petition which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll-free at (866)208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202)502-8659.

Any questions concerning Natural's application should be directed to Floyd Hofstetter, Vice President, Storage Operations, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America, 747 East 22nd Street, Lombard, Illinois 60148 at (630) 691-3660.

Natural states that these additional facilities are necessary to maintain Sayre's current level of service to the interstate market and will offset reduced deliverability resulting from a reduction of cushion inventory by Oklahoma Natural Gas Storage Company. Natural notes that the current certificated maximum capacity is 90.4 Bcf and the certificated maximum daily withdrawal is 400 MMcf, however, Natural is not requesting an increase in the maximum inventory or in the peak day withdrawal. Natural states that the cost of the project is approximately \$2.8 million and Natural requests rolled-in rate treatment for the new facilities.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the comment date, stated below, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18

CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made in the proceeding with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to the project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commissions' final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. The preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the non-

environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

Protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

Comment Date: March 17, 2003.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-4844 Filed 2-28-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP99-176-078]

Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America; Notice of Compliance Filing

February 24, 2003.

Take notice that on February 20, 2003, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (Natural) tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Sixth Revised Volume No. 1, Substitute Original Sheet No. 26D.01, to be effective January 1, 2003.

Natural states that the filing is submitted pursuant to the Commission's order issued January 30, 2003, in Docket No. RP99-176-075, which conditionally accepted Sheet No. 26D.01.

Natural states that copies of the filing are being mailed to all parties set out on the Commission's official service list in Docket No. RP99-176.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to

the proceedings. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll-free at (866) 208-3676, or TTY, contact (202) 502-8659. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Protest Date: March 4, 2003.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-4850 Filed 2-28-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP03-259-000]

Questar Pipeline Company; Notice of Tariff Filing

February 24, 2003.

Take notice that on February 20, 2003, Questar Pipeline Company (Questar) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff, to be effective March 22, 2003.

Second Revised Sheet No. 4
Twenty-Eighth Revised Sheet No. 5
Fifteenth Revised Sheet No. 5A
Fifteenth Revised Sheet No. 6
Tenth Revised Sheet No. 6A
Third Revised Sheet No. 11
Fifth Revised Sheet No. 56A
Sixth Revised Sheet No. 58
Tenth Revised Sheet No. 59
Seventh Revised Sheet No. 60B
Sixth Revised Sheet No. 62
Sixth Revised Sheet No. 63
First Revised Sheet No. 67A
Eighth Revised Sheet No. 68
Second Revised Sheet No. 69
Original Sheet No. 69A
Ninth Revised Sheet No. 75
Second Revised Sheet No. 99I
Seventh Revised Sheet No. 163
First Revised Sheet No. 179H
First Revised Sheet No. 185A
First Revised Sheet No. 185B
Third Revised Sheet No. 187
Fourth Revised Sheet No. 193
Second Revised Sheet No. 194
Third Revised Sheet No. 196
Third Revised Sheet No. 197

Questar states that its filing proposes a "cleanup" of specific aspects of its tariff language, including the removal of

tariff language affected by the Commission's announcement of the removal of the waiver of the rate ceiling on short-term capacity-release transactions that expired September 30, 2002, pursuant to 18 CFR 284.8(i).

Questar states that a copy of this filing has been served upon its customers, the Public Service Commission of Utah and the Public Service Commission of Wyoming.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with sections 385.314 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll-free at (866) 208-3676, or TTY, contact (202) 502-8659. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Comment Date: March 4, 2003.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-4849 Filed 2-28-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP03-50-000]

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company; Notice of Application

Dated: February 24, 2003.

On February 11, 2003, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), 9 East Greenway Plaza, Houston, Texas 77046, filed in Docket No. CP03-50-000, an application pursuant to section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), as amended,

and part 157 of the regulations of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission), for authorization to abandon in place the Yalobusha pipeline segment 800-2 located in Grenada County, Mississippi, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll-free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659.

Tennessee states that in 1963 it constructed a secondary river crossing of the Yalobusha River in Grenada County, Mississippi, pursuant to authorization granted in Docket No. CP63-48-000 and this segment known as the Yalobusha pipeline segment 800-2 is thirty inches in diameter and is approximately 1,000 feet in length. Tennessee further states that: (1) The Yalobusha pipeline segment 800-2 was constructed to minimize service interruption while the existing crossing, Line 800-1, was lowered; (2) to safely lower Line 800-1, Tennessee first constructed the new crossing and then temporarily removed Line 800-1 from service; and (3) subsequent to lowering Line 800-1, Tennessee redirected the flow of gas from the Yalobusha pipeline segment 800-2 back to Line 800-1.

Tennessee indicates that it does not currently rely on the Yalobusha pipeline segment 800-2 to provide service to any customers. In addition, Tennessee states that it plans to perform maintenance on the Line 800-1 segment in 2003 to make it piggable. Because of its infrequent use, maintenance issues, and redundant nature, Tennessee states that it proposes to abandon the Yalobusha pipeline segment 800-2 when Tennessee is in Grenada County, Mississippi, performing maintenance on Line 800-1 to make it piggable. Additionally, Tennessee states that the abandonment of the line will not significantly affect its capacity. According to Tennessee, the results of the abandonment of Yalobusha pipeline segment 800-2 will be a 0.060% reduction in capacity and that the cost associated with the proposed abandonment is \$262,000.

Any questions concerning this application may be directed to Jacques Hodges, Attorney, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, 9 East Greenway Plaza, Houston, Texas 77046, at (832)