(e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses).

Burden Statement: The estimated burden for Public Notification is approximately: 51,449 responses per year; 748,811 hours per year; and $4.035 million per year of total cost.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information. Any recommendations from the drinking water community and the general public on this issue will be given consideration by the Agency.

Dated: December 21, 2001.

William R. Diamond,

Director, Drinking Water Protection Division.

[FR Doc. 02–222 Filed 1–3–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–7125–9]

Environmental Laboratory Advisory Board (ELAB), Nominees, Meeting Dates, and Agenda

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice, correction.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency published a document in the **Federal Register** on November 9, 2001 (66 FR 56675). The Date of the ELAB meeting has been changed. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is soliciting nominees to serve on the Environmental Laboratory Advisory Board (ELAB). Nominees are being sought to fill vacancies in the following category: Field Testing. Terms of service will commence upon selection and terminate on July 27, 2003. Application forms must be submitted to provide information on experience, abilities, stakeholder interest, organizational description, and references. A copy of the application form can be obtained on the Internet (see address below).

The date for the Environmental Laboratory Advisory Board of Directors meeting has been changed to 3 PM to 6 PM on December 4, 2001 and the meetings scheduled on December 6 and 7 have been canceled. The ELAB meeting will be held at the Crystal Gateway Marriott at 1700 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington VA. At this meeting the ELAB board will discuss issues, ideas, and opinions previously submitted and time permitting, will take comments and questions from the public. ELAB is soliciting input from the public on issues related to the NELAC environmental laboratory accreditation program and NELAC standards. The agenda of the ELAB December 4 meeting will be based on input gathered from written comments as well as a review of recommendations and activities from earlier Board meetings.

Written comments on NELAC laboratory accreditation and standards are encouraged and should be sent to Edward Kantor DFO, PO Box 93478, Las Vegas NV 89193, or can be faxed to (702) 798–2261 or E-mailed to *kantor.edward@epa.gov.* ELAB nominee applications can be found at *http://www.epa.gov/ttn/nelac/arcmisc.html* and should be mailed, faxed, or E-mailed to the addresses previously given.

http://www.epa.gov/ttn/nelac/arcmisc.html

Dated: November 8, 2001.

John G. Lyon,

Director, Environmental Sciences Division, National Environmental Research Laboratory.

[FR Doc. 02–221 Filed 1–3–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–6625–2]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or *www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa.* Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed December 24, 2001 Through December 28, 2001

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 010538, Final EIS, FHW, WI, County Highway J/WIS 164 (I–94 to County E) Corridor Improvements Project, Funding, City of Pewaukee, Villages of Pewaukee and Sussex, Towns of Lisbon, Richfield and Polk, Waukesha and Washington Counties, WI, Wait Period Ends: January 28, 2002, Contact: Richard Madzak (608) 829–7510.

The above FHW EIS should have appeared in the December 28, 2001 **Federal Register**. The 30-day Wait Period is Calculated from December 28, 2001.

EIS No. 010539, Final EIS, COE, CA, White Slough Flood Control Study, Tidal Circulation Improvements and Section 205 Program Authorities Continuation, Vallejo Sanitation and Flood Control District, City of Vallejo, Solano County, CA, Wait Period Ends: January 28, 2002, Contact: Tamara Terry (415) 977–8545.

The above COE EIS should have appeared in the December 28, 2001 **Federal Register**. The 30-day Wait Period is Calculated from December 28, 2001.

EIS No. 010540, Draft EIS, FTA, AZ, Central Phoenix/East Valley Light Rail Transit Corridor, Construction, Operation and Maintenance, Funding, Cities of Phoenix, Tempe and Mesa, Maricopa County, AZ, Comment Period Ends: February 19, 2002, Contact: Hymie Luden (415) 744–3115.

EIS No. 010541, Draft EIS, COE, TX, Texas City's Proposed Shoal Point Container Terminal Project, Containerized Cargo Gateway Development, US Army COE Section 404 and 10 Permits Issuance, Dredged Material Placement Area (DMPA), City of Texas, Galveston County, TX, Comment Period Ends: February 19, 2002, Contact: Sharon Manella Tirpak (409) 766–3931.

EIS No. 010542, Draft Supplement EIS, AFS, MT, Clancy-Unionville Vegetation Manipulation and Travel Management Project, Updated and New Information concerning Cumulative Effects and Introduction of Alternative F, Clancy-Unionville Implementation Area, Helena National Forest, Helena Ranger District, Lewis and Clark and Jefferson Counties, MT, Comment Period Ends: February 19, 2002, Contact: Jerry Meyer (406) 449–5201.

EIS No. 010543, Final EIS, NOA, CA, Goat Canyon Enhancement Project, Sediment Basins, Staging Area and Visual Screening Berm Establishment, Tijuana River National Estuarine Research Reserve (TRNERR), Imperial Beach, City and County of San Diego, CA, Wait Period Ends: February 04, 2002, Contact: Nina Garfield (301) 563–1171.

EIS No. 010544, Final EIS, USA, CA, Oakland Army Base Disposal and Reuse Plan, Implementation, City of

Oakland, Alameda County, CA, Wait Period Ends: February 04, 2002, Contact: Theresa Persick Arnold (703) 697–0216.

Dated: December 31, 2001.

Anne Norton Miller,

Director, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 02–227 Filed 1–3–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–6625–3]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated May 18, 2001 (66 FR 27647).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D–BIA–K39071–00 Rating EC2, Truckee River Water Quality Settlement Agreement and Federal Water Right Acquisition, Implementation, Truckee River, Placer County, CA and Washoe, Storey and Lyon Counties, NV.

Summary: EPA recognized the WQSA signatories work to permanently improve Truckee River water quality and reduce violations of water quality standards. EPA encouraged them to continue to work with us in achieving full compliance with water quality standards. EPA expressed concerns with alternatives, monitoring and mitigation, and cumulative impacts.

ERP No. D–BLM–K40248–AZ Rating EC2, Diamond Bar Road Improvement Project, Road Pavement and Realignment of sections through Grapevine Wash, Right-of-Way Permits Issuance, Mohave County, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with potential cumulative impacts, particularly, those from reasonably foreseeable development scenarios. EPA suggested that alternatives further minimize adverse impacts to vegetation, wildlife and cultural resources.

ERP No. D–COE–D36179–WV Rating EO2, Marlington Local Flood Protection, Flood Damage Reduction Measures Evaluation, Levee and Floodwall Construction for Knapp Creek Flood Management, Greenbrier River, Town of Marlington, Pocahontas County, WV.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns that non-structional alternatives, including relocation of residential and non-residential structures to a flood safe site, were not evaluated in detail. Insufficient information is provided for a reasonably available alternative (relocation) which could reduce the environmental impacts of the proposal.

ERP No. D–NOA–L91016–AK Rating LO, American Fisheries Act Amendments 61/61/13/8: Amendment 61 Groundfish Fishery of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Area; Amendment 61 Groundfish of the Gulf of Alaska; Amendment 13 Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands King and Tanner Crab, and Amendment 8 Scallop Fishery off Alaska, Fishery Management Plans, AK.

Summary: EPA lacked objections to ending the traditional race for fish by implementing action alternatives associated with the American Fisheries Act (AFA) because it results in a safer, more efficient fishery that utilizes a higher percentage of pollock biomass harvested. EPA suggested that the fishing industry could exploit the temporal and spatial discretion afforded by AFA, and extract a greater percentage of biomass to further reduce impacts of process waste discharge to water quality.

ERP No. DS–NRC–A00150–00 Rating EC2, Decommissioning of Nuclear Facilities, Updated Information on Dealing With Decommissioning of Nuclear Power Reactors (NUREG–0586).

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with this supplement to a generic EIS and requested clarifications and supplementary information on: determining when a particular decommissioning activity or site or operating condition is covered by the generic analysis; explaining the differing impact levels and the assumptions used in setting them; updating the analysis of the site's environmental condition; and, providing a more robust discussion of impacts to ground water.

Final EISs

ERP No. F–AFS–L65377–OR, South Fork Burnt River Ranger Planning Area, Development of Five New Allotment Management Plans (AMPS), Wallowa-Whitman National Forest, Unity Ranger District, Baker County, OR.

Summary: While the FEIS added additional technical information on restoration actions, EPA continued to have some environmental concerns with the project. Principally, the FEIS did not include a no-graze or reduced graze restoration alternative.

ERP No. F–COE–J64008–SD, Title VI Land Transfer South Dakota, Transfer of 91,178 Acres of Land at Lake Oahe, Lake Sharp, Lake Francise Case, and Lewis and Clark Lake, from the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to the South Dakota Department of Game, Fish and Parks (SDGFP), SD.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–FHW–F50003–IL, Fox River Bridge Crossings, Construction of up to Five-Bridges across the Fox River, Funding and NPDES and US Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits Issuance, Kane County, IL.

SUMMARY: Based upon the highway agency's commitments (on travel-related mitigation and wetland compensation), EPA had no significant environmental concerns with the preferred alternative. EPA believed that the preferred alternative can satisfy the project's purpose and need while adequately mitigating direct and indirect impacts.

ERP No. F–FRC–L05221–WA, Cowlitz River Hydroelectric Project (No. 2016–044), Relicensing of the Existing 462–Megawatt Cowlitz River Hydroelectric Project, City of Tacoma, WA.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. FS–NPS–K61137–AZ, Organ Pipe Cactus National Monument General Management Plan and Development Concept Plan, Updated Information concerning Re-Analysis of Cumulative Effects of the Sonoran Pronghorn Portion of the Sonoran Desert, Pima County, AZ.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: December 28, 2001.

Anne Norton Miller,

Director, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 02–228 Filed 1–3–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP–34225F; FRL–6812–6]

Diazinon; Receipt of Requests for Amendments and Cancellations

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Several companies that manufacture diazinon (O,O-diethyl O-(2-isopropyl-6-methyl-4-pyrimidinyl) phosphorothioate) pesticide products