

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12th day of April 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Beth C. St. Mary,**

*Acting NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 02-9484 Filed 4-17-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. *The title of the information collection:* 48 CFR part 20, Nuclear Regulatory Commission Acquisition Regulation (NRCAR).
2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150-0169.
3. *How often the collection is required:* On occasion; one time.
4. *Who is required or asked to report:* Offerors responding to NRC solicitations and contractors receiving awards from NRC.
5. *The number of annual respondents:* 355.
6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 26,088 hours (7.3 hours per response).

7. *Abstract:* The mandatory requirements of the NRCAR implement and supplement the government-wide Federal Acquisition Regulation, and ensure that the regulations governing the procurement of goods and services within the NRC satisfy the needs of the agency.

Submit, by June 17, 2002, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?
2. Is the burden estimate accurate?
3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?
4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized,

including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O-1 F23, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html>. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Jo. Shelton, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, T-6 E6, Washington, DC 20555-0001, by telephone at 301-415-7233, or by Internet electronic mail at [infocollects@nrc.gov](mailto:infocollects@nrc.gov).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12th day of April 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Beth St. Mary,**

*Acting NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 02-9485 Filed 4-17-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request for Reinstatement of an Information Collection: OF-311

**AGENCY:** Office of Personnel Management.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. Law 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request for reinstatement of an information collection, the voluntary Application for Federal Employee Commercial Garnishment (OF-311). The application may be completed by the creditors of Federal employees. The application facilitates the processing of a wide variety of commercial garnishment orders issued by various State and local jurisdictions. The application provides information about commercial garnishment orders and facilitates the processing of commercial garnishments by Federal agencies in a uniform manner that otherwise would not be possible.

OPM did not receive any comments as a result of the 60-Day Notice.

OPM anticipates that approximately 100 Forms OF-311 will be completed annually for OPM employees and that each form takes approximately 10 minutes to complete. The annual estimated burden is 17 hours or less. OPM anticipates, however, that many other Federal agencies will suggest that creditors complete the Form OF-311.

For copies of this proposal, contact Mary Beth Smith-Toomey on (202) 606-8358, E-mail to [mbtoomey@opm.gov](mailto:mbtoomey@opm.gov), or by FAX at 202-418-3251. Please include a mailing address with your request.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposal should be received on or before May 20, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Send or deliver comments to:

James S. Green, Associate General Counsel, Office of General Counsel, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 7553, Washington, DC 20415  
and  
Joseph Lackey, OPM Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION REGARDING ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATION CONTACT:** Jill Gerstenfield, Attorney, Office of the General Counsel, (202) 606-1700.

Office of Personnel Management.

**Kay Coles James,**  
*Director.*

[FR Doc. 02-9365 Filed 4-17-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6325-48-M**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Issuer Delisting: Notice of Application to Withdrawal From Listing and Registration on the American Stock Exchange LLC (DRS Technologies, Inc., Common Stock, par Value \$.01 per Share) File No. 1-8533

April 12, 2002.

DRS Technologies, Inc. ("Issuer"), a Delaware corporation, has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 12d2-2(d) thereunder,<sup>2</sup> to withdraw its Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share ("Security"), from listing and

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78j(d).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.12d2-2(d).

registration on the American Stock Exchange LLC ("Amex" or "Exchange").

The Issuer stated in its application that it has met the requirements of Amex Rule 18 by complying with all applicable laws in effect in the State of Delaware, in which it is incorporated, and with the Amex's rules governing an issuer's voluntary withdrawal of a security from listing and registration.

On February 20, 2002, the Board of Directors ("Board") of the Issuer adopted resolutions to terminate the listing of its Security on the Amex and to list its Security on the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE"), effective April 30, 2002. The Issuer stated that the Board took such action in order to avoid the direct and indirect cost and the division of the market resulting from dual listing on the Amex and NYSE.

The Issuer's application relates solely to the withdrawal of the Security from listing and registration on the Amex and shall have no effect upon the Security's continued listing and registration on the NYSE under section 12(b) of the Act.<sup>3</sup>

Any interested person may, on or before May 2, 2002, submit by letter to the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609, facts bearing upon whether the application has been made in accordance with the rules of the NYSE and what terms, if any, should be imposed by the Commission for the protection of investors. The Commission, based on the information submitted to it, will issue an order granting the application after the date mentioned above, unless the Commission determines to order a hearing on the matter.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>4</sup>

**Jonathan G. Katz,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-9478 Filed 4-17-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application To Withdraw From Listing and Registration on the Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc. (BIOQUAL, Inc., Common Stock, \$.01 par Value) File No. 1-13527

April 12, 2002.

BIOQUAL, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Issuer"), has filed an

application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 12d2-2(d) thereunder,<sup>2</sup> to withdraw its Common Stock, \$.01 par value ("Security"), from listing and registration on the Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc. ("CHX" or "Exchange").

The Issuer states in its application that it has met the requirements of the CHX Article XXVIII, Rule 4, by complying with Exchange's rules governing an issuer's voluntary withdrawal of a security from listing and registration. In making the decision to withdraw the Security from listing and registration on the CHX, the Issuer considered (i) the cost associated with maintaining such listing and (ii) the Security's low trading volume. The Issuer determined that the benefits of continued listing of the Security on the Exchange did not justify the expense of maintaining such listing. Issuer stated that the Security is currently quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board.

The Issuer's application relates solely to the Security's withdrawal from listing on the CHX and from registration under section 12(b) of the Act<sup>3</sup> and shall not affect its obligation to be registered under section 12(g) of the Act.<sup>4</sup>

Any interested person may, on or before May 2, 2002, submit by letter to the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609, facts bearing upon whether the application has been made in accordance with the rules of the CHX and what terms, if any, should be imposed by the Commission for the protection of investors. The Commission, based on the information submitted to it, will issue an order granting the application after the date mentioned above, unless the Commission determines to order a hearing on the matter.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>5</sup>

**Jonathan G. Katz,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-9479 Filed 4-17-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-45744; File No. SR-CHX-2000-08]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc.; Order Granting Approval of Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1, and Notice of Filing and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of Amendment No. 2 to the Proposed Rule Change, to Establish a Board Review Process for Decisions of the Exchange's Committee on Specialist Assignment and Evaluation Regarding Specialist Firm Consolidations

April 12, 2002.

#### I. Introduction

On March 17, 2000, the Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc. ("CHX" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission" or "SEC"), pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> a proposed rule change amending CHX Article XXX, Rule 1, Interpretation .01, to establish a review process for certain decisions of the Exchange's Committee on Specialist Assignment and Evaluation ("Committee"). On April 3, 2000, the Exchange amended the proposal.<sup>3</sup> The proposed rule change, along with Amendment No. 1, was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on July 12, 2000.<sup>4</sup> The Commission received two comment letters on the proposal.<sup>5</sup> The CHX submitted a letter in response to these comments.<sup>6</sup> On September 7, 2001, the CHX again amended the proposal.<sup>7</sup> This

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> See March 31, 2000 letter from Ellen J. Neely, Vice President and General Counsel, CHX, to Katherine A. England, Assistant Director, Division of Market Regulation ("Division"), SEC ("Amendment No. 1"). In Amendment No. 1, the CHX made minor, technical changes to the proposal.

<sup>4</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 43010 (July 5, 2001), 65 FR 43066.

<sup>5</sup> See October 6, 2000 letter from Gerald M. Miller, Vanasco Genelly & Miller, on behalf of Chicago Securities Group Limited Partnership, to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, SEC ("Vanasco letter"); October 6, 2000 letter from Dempsey & Company LLC (representing five specialist units on the CHX) to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, SEC ("Dempsey letter").

<sup>6</sup> See November 24, 2000 letter from Paul B. O'Kelly, Executive Vice President, Market Regulation and Legal, CHX, to Joseph P. Morra, Special Counsel, Division of Market Regulation ("Division"), SEC.

<sup>7</sup> See August 31, 2001 letter from Paul B. O'Kelly, Chief Operating Officer, CHX, to Joseph P. Morra, Special Counsel, Division, SEC ("Amendment No. 2"). In Amendment No. 2, the CHX (i) clarified that the proposed rule change was not submitted as a

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 781(b).

<sup>4</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(1).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78l(d).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.12d2-2(d).

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78l(b).

<sup>4</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78l(g).

<sup>5</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(1).