and Apparel website at http:// otexa.ita.doc.gov.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: Section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854); Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as amended.

The current limits for certain categories are being adjusted for swing and the adjustment allowed to certain apparel categories for traditional folklore products made of hand-loomed fabric.

A description of the textile and apparel categories in terms of HTS numbers is available in the CORRELATION: Textile and Apparel Categories with the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (see **Federal Register** notice 66 FR 65178, published on December 18, 2001). Also see 66 FR 63025, published on December 4, 2001.

### J. Hayden Boyd,

Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

April 11, 2002.

Commissioner of Customs,

Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229.

Dear Commissioner: This directive amends, but does not cancel, the directive issued to you on November 27, 2001, by the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. That directive concerns imports of certain cotton, wool, man-made fiber, silk blend and other vegetable fiber textiles and textile products, produced or manufactured in Indonesia and exported during the twelve-month period which began on January 1, 2002 and extends through December 31, 2002

Effective on April 18, 2002, you are directed to adjust the limits for the categories listed below, as provided for under the Uruguay Round Agreement on Textiles and Clothing:

Category	Twelve-month re- straint limit
Levels in Group I 225	7,879,716 square me-
314–O <sup>2</sup>	ters. 79,331,784 square meters.
317–O <sup>3</sup> /617/326–O <sup>4</sup>	33,010,430 square meters of which not more than 5,173,219 square meters shall be in Category 326– O.
331pt./631pt. <sup>5</sup> 334/335 336/636 338/339 340/640 341 342/642	1,421,897 dozen pairs. 348,819 dozen. 927,335 dozen. 1,708,744 dozen. 2,104,363 dozen. 1,308,067 dozen. 551,981 dozen.

Category	Twelve-month re- straint limit \\
345	611,939 dozen.
347/348	2,428,722 dozen.
351/651	717,576 dozen.
611–O <sup>6</sup>	4,201,444 square me- ters.
613/614/615	33,108,300 square meters.
618–O <sup>7</sup>	6,285,146 square me- ters.
625/626/627/628/	32,183,798 square
629–O <sup>8</sup> .	meters.
634/635	441,586 dozen.
638/639	2,188,541 dozen.
641	3,145,368 dozen.
644	655,506 numbers.
645/646	1,164,842 dozen.
647/648	4,813,871 dozen.

<sup>1</sup>The limits have not been adjusted to account for any imports exported after December 31, 2001

<sup>2</sup>Category 314–O: all HTS numbers except 5209.51.6015.

<sup>3</sup>Category 317–O: all HTS numbers except 5208.59.2085.

<sup>4</sup>Category 326–O: all HTS numbers except 5208.59.2015, 5209.59.0015 and 5211.59.0015.

<sup>5</sup>Category 331pt.: all HTS numbers except 6116.10.1720, 6116.10.4810, 6116.10.5510, 6116.10.7510, 6116.92.6410, 6116.92.6420, 6116.92.6430, 6116.92.7470, 6116.92.7850, 6116.92.7460, 6116.92.7470, 6116.92.8800, 6116.92.9400 and 6116.99.9510; Category 631pt.: all HTS numbers except 6116.10.1730, 6116.10.4820, 6116.10.5520, 6116.10.7520, 6116.93.8800, 6116.93.9400, 6116.99.4800, 6116.99.5400 and 6116.99.9530.

<sup>6</sup> Category 611–O: all HTS numbers except 5516.14.0005, 5516.14.0025 and 5516.14.0085.

<sup>7</sup>Category 618–O: all HTS numbers except 5408.24.9010 and 5408.24.9040.

<sup>8</sup>Category 625/626/627/628; Category 629– O: all HTS numbers except 5408.34.9085 and 5516.24.0085.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that these actions fall within the foreign affairs exception to the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely, J. Hayden Boyd, Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. [FR Doc.02–9325 Filed 4–16–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DR-S

# COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

# Adjustment of Import Limits for Certain Cotton, Man-Made Fiber, Silk Blend and Other Vegetable Fiber Textile Products Produced or Manufactured in Sri Lanka

April 11, 2002.

**AGENCY:** Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA). **ACTION:** Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs adjusting limits.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 18, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Roy Unger, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482– 4212. For information on the quota status of these limits, refer to the Quota Status Reports posted on the bulletin boards of each Customs port, call (202) 927–5850, or refer to the U.S. Customs website at http://www.customs.gov. For information on embargoes and quota reopenings, refer to the Office of Textiles and Apparel website at http:// www.otexa.ita.doc.gov.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: Section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854); Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as amended.

The current limits for certain categories are being adjusted for carryforward used, swing, special shift and carryforward.

A description of the textile and apparel categories in terms of HTS numbers is available in the CORRELATION: Textile and Apparel Categories with the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (see **Federal Register** notice 66 FR 65178, published on December 18, 2001). Also see 66 FR 63035, published on December 4, 2001.

#### J. Hayden Boyd,

Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

# Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

#### April 11, 2002.

Commissioner of Customs,

Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229.

Dear Commissioner: This directive amends, but does not cancel, the directive issued to you on November 27, 2001, by the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. That directive concerns imports of certain cotton, wool, man-made fiber, silk blend and other vegetable fiber textiles and textile products, produced or manufactured in Sri Lanka and exported during the twelve-month period which began on January 1, 2002 and extends through December 31, 2002.

Effective on April 18, 2002, you are directed to adjusting the limits for the following categories, as provided for under the Uruguay Round Agreement on Textiles and Clothing:

Category	Adjusted twelve-month limit
338/339	1,909,288 dozen.

Category	Adjusted twelve-month limit \\
345/845	257,121 dozen.
347/348	2,211,880 dozen.
351/651	493,572 dozen.
359–C/659–C <sup>2</sup>	1,466,172 kilograms.
647/648	1,467,638 dozen.

<sup>1</sup>The limits have not been adjusted to account for any imports exported after December 31, 2001.

31, 2001. <sup>2</sup>Category 6103.42.2025, 359-C: 359–C: only HTS 6103.49.8034, 610 numbers 6104.62.1020, 6104.69.8010, 6114.20.0048, 6114.20.0052 6204.62.2010, 6203.42.2010, 6203.42.2090, 6211.32.0025 6211.32.0010, and ); Category 659–C: 6103.23.0055, 61 only HTS 6211.42.0010; 6103.43.2020, numbers 6103.43.2025, 6103.49.2000, 6103.49.8038 6104.63.1020, 6104.63.1030, 6104.69.1000, 6114.30.3044, 6104.69.8014, 6114.30.3054, 6203.43.2010, 6203.43.2090, 6203.49.1010, 6203.49.1090, 6204.63.1510, 6204.69.1010, 6210.10.9010. 6211.33.0010. 6211.33.0017 and 6211.43.0010.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that these actions fall within the foreign affairs exception of the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,

J. Hayden Boyd,

Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. [FR Doc. 02–9326 Filed 4–16–02; 8:45 a.m. BILLING CODE 3510–DR–S

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

# **Department of the Army**

Notice of Availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the Disposal of Chemical Munitions at Pueblo Chemical Depot, Colorado

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** This announces the availability of the FEIS which assesses the potential environmental impacts of the design, construction, operation and closure of a facility to destroy the chemical agent and munitions currently stored at the Pueblo Chemical Depot. The FEIS examines the potential environmental impacts of the following destruction facility alternatives: (1) Baseline incineration facility; (2) modified baseline incineration facility; (3) neutralization followed by supercritical water oxidation; (4) neutralization followed by biodegradation (preferred alternative); and (5) no action (i.e., continued storage of chemical munitions at Pueblo Chemical Depot). Although the no action alternatives is not viable under Public Law 99-145 (Department of Defense Authorization Act of 1986), it

was analyzed to provide a comparison with the proposed action.

**DATES:** The waiting period on the FEIS will end 30 days after publication of the notice of availability in the **Federal Register** by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

ADDRESSES: To obtain copies of the FEIS, contact the Program Manager for Chemical Demilitarization, Public Outreach and Information Office (ATTN: Ms. Sandra Clawson-Freeo), Building E–4585, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010–4005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Sandra Clawson-Freeo at 410–436–1479, by fax at 410–436–5122, by electronic mail at Sandra.Clawson-Freeo@pmcd.apgea.army.mil or by mail at the above listed address.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In its Record of Decision on February 26, 1988 (53 FR 5816, February 26, 1988) for the Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement on the Chemical Stockpile Disposal Program (CSDP), the Department of the Army selected on-site disposal by incineration at all eight chemical munition storage sites located within the continental United States as the method by which it will destroy its lethal chemical stockpile. The Department of the Army published a Notice of Intent in the Federal Register (65 FR 20140-41, April 14, 2000) which provides notice that, pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act and implementing regulations, it was preparing a draft site-specific EIS for the Pueblo Chemical Agent Disposal Facility. The Department of the Army published a Draft EIS to assess the sitespecific health and environmental impacts of on-site disposal of the chemical agents and munitions stored at the Pueblo Chemical Depot on May 11, 2001 (66 FR 24136). All public comments received on the Draft EIS have been addressed in the FEIS.

The Program Manager for Assembled Chemical Weapons Assessment (ACWA) is preparing a separate EIS. The ACWA EIS is for follow-on pilot testing of the ACWA program pursuant to the process established by Congress in Public Laws 104-208 and 105-261. The ACWA EIS is distinct from this FEIS for the Pueblo Chemical Depot in that its emphasis is on the feasibility of pilot testing one or more of the ACWA technologies at one or more sites. One of the four sites evaluated in the ACWA EIS is the Pueblo Chemical Depot. The ACWA EIS does not specifically address the use of a full-scale facility to accomplish destruction of the inventory stored at Pueblo. Information provided by the ACWA program concerning the

neutralization technologies provides the basis for analysis of the neutralization technologies and comparison with incineration is this site-specific FEIS for stockpile destruction at Pueblo. This Program Manager for Chemical Demilitarization FEIS and the ACWA EIS serve complementary but distinct purposes. This FEIS continues the process that began with Congress established the Program Manager for Chemical Demilitarization in 1985.

A decision on which of the alternatives will be implemented in carrying out destruction of the chemical munitions at Pueblo will be made by the Defense Acquisition Board (DAB) through a process that will consider a wide range of factors. The factors include, but are not limited to, environmental considerations, laws and regulations, mission needs (at Pueblo as well as from a national perspective), implications for compliance with the Chemical Weapons Convention, budget considerations, schedule, public concerns, and political concerns.

concerns, and political concerns. A Record of Decision will be made following the end of the 30–day review period.

Dated: April 1, 2002.

# Raymond J. Fatz,

Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army, (Environment, Safety and Occupational Health), OASA (I&E). [FR Doc. 02–9266 Filed 4–16–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

# DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

## Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Department of Education. **SUMMARY:** The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before May 17, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Karen Lee, Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW, Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or should be electronically mailed to the internet address Karen F.lowbar;Lee@omb.eop.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires