G-11.

Docket# PR01–15, 001, Green Canyon Pipe Line Company, L.P.

G-12

Docket# RP00–390, 003, Granite State Gas Transmission, Inc.

Other#s RP00–390, 002, Granite State Gas Transmission, Inc.

### **Energy Projects—Hydro**

H-1.

Omitted

H-2.

Docket# AD02–8, 000, Third Report to Congress on Appropriateness of Statutory Limit on Government Dam Annual Charges under Section 10(e) of the Federal Power Act

H-3.

Omitted

H-4.

Docket# P–2216, 056, New York Power Authority

H-5.

Docket# P–2107, 011, Pacific Gas and Electric Company

### **Energy Projects—Certificates**

C-1

Docket# CP01–94, 000, Nornew Energy Supply, Inc. and Norse Pipeline, L.L.C. Other#s CP01–95, 000, Nornew Energy Supply, Inc.

CP01–96, 000, Nornew Energy Supply, Inc. CP01–97, 000, Nornew Energy Supply, Inc. and Norse Pipeline, L.L.C.

C-2.

Docket# CP02–10, 000, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation

C-3

Docket# CP01–442, 000, Black Marlin Pipeline Company, MCNIC Black Marlin Offshore Company and WBI Offshore Pipeline, Inc.

Other#s CP00–140, 000, Black Marlin Pipeline Company, MCNIC Black Marlin Offshore Company and WBI Offshore Pipeline, Inc.

C-4.

Docket# CP01–22, 002, North Baja Pipeline LLC

Other#s CP01–23, 000, North Baja Pipeline LLC

CP01–24, 000, North Baja Pipeline LLC CP01–25, 000, North Baja Pipeline LLC CP01–22, 000, North Baja Pipeline LLC

C–5. Omitted

C\_6

Docket# CP01–80, 001, East Tennessee Natural Gas Company

### C.B. Spencer,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-844 Filed 1-9-02; 11:00 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[NV068-NOA; FRL-7128-1]

Adequacy Status of the Clark County, Nevada Submitted PM10 Attainment Plan for Transportation Conformity Purposes

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of Adequacy

Determination.

SUMMARY: In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that we have found that the motor vehicle emissions budgets contained in the submitted Clark County (Las Vegas, NV) serious area fine particulate matter (PM10) attainment plan are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. As a result of our finding, the Clark County Regional Transportation Commission and the Federal Highway Administration must use the PM10 motor vehicle emissions budgets from the submitted plan for future conformity determinations.

**DATES:** This determination is effective January 28, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The finding is available at EPA's conformity web site: http://www.epa.gov/oms/traq, (once there, click on the "Conformity" button, then look for "Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions for Conformity"). You may also contact Karina O'Connor, U.S. EPA, Region IX, Air Division AIR—2, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105; (775) 687—4670 ext. 3112 or oconnor.karina@epa.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Background

This notice announces our finding that the emissions budgets contained in the *PM10 State Implementation Plan for Clark County*, submitted by the State of Nevada on July 23, 2001, are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. EPA Region IX made this finding in a letter to the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection on November 9, 2001. We are also announcing this finding on our conformity web site: <a href="http://www.epa.gov/oms/traq">http://www.epa.gov/oms/traq</a>, (once there, click on the "Conformity" button, then look for "Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions for Conformity").

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. Our conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans (SIPs) and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do.

Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). One of these criteria is that the plan provide for attainment of the relevant ambient air quality standard by the applicable Clean Air Act attainment date. We have preliminarily determined that the Clark County PM10 plan does provide for attainment of the PM10 standards and, therefore, can be found adequate.

We have described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in guidance (May 14, 1999 memo titled "Conformity Guidance on Implementation of March 2, 1999 Conformity Court Decision"). We followed this guidance in making our adequacy determination on the emissions budgets contained in the Clark County PM10 plan.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: December 16, 2001.

#### Wayne Nastri,

Regional Administrator, Region IX. [FR Doc. 02–704 Filed 1–10–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6625-4]

# **Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability**

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202)564–7167 www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed December 31, 2001 Through January 04, 2002

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 020000, FINAL EIS, AFS, ID, MT, LemhiPass National Historic Landmark Management Plan, Implementation, Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, Beaverhead County, MT and Salmon-Challis National Forest, Lemhi County, ID, Wait Period Ends: February 11, 2002, Contact: Katie R. Bump (406) 683–3955.

EIS No. 020001, FINAL EIS, BLM, NV, Phoenix Project, Current Mining Operations and Processing Activities Expansion, Battle Mountain, Plan of Operations Approval, Lander County, NV, Wait Period Ends: February 11, 2002, Contact: Pam Jarnecke (775) 635–4144. This document is available on the Internet at: http://www.nv.blm.gov/battlemountain.

EIS No. 020002, DRAFT EIS, FHW, TN, Route 475 (Knoxville Beltway) Construction, I–75 south of Knoxville to I–75 north of Knoxville, Funding, US Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits and NPDES Permit Issuance, Loudon, Roane, Knox and Anderson Counties, TN, Comment Period Ends: February 25, 2002, Contact: Charles S. Boyd (615) 781–5770.

EIS No. 020003, FINAL EIS, FHW, MO, US 65 Improvements, County Road 65–122 South to Route EE Intersection south of Buffalo, Funding and US Army COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Dallas County, MO, Wait Period Ends: February 11, 2002, Contact: Don Neumann (573) 636–7104.

EIS No. 020004, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, NOA, Pelagic Sargassum Habitat Fishery Management Plan, Implementation, Updated Information concerning the Public's Opportunity to Comment on Proposed Actions South Atlantic Region, Comment Period Ends: February 25, 2002, Contact: Joseph E. Powers (727) 570– 5301.

EIS No. 020005, FINAL EIS, AFS, ID,
Little Weiser Landscape Vegetation
Management Project, Implementation,
Council Ranger District, Payette
National Forest, Adams County, ID,
Wait Period Ends: February 11, 2002,
Contact: Faye Krueger (208) 253–
0100. This document is available on
the Internet at: http://fs.fed.us/r4/
payette/main.html.

EIS No. 020006, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, NOA, CA, San Francisco Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve, Proposed Designation of Three Sites: China, Camp State Park, Brown's Island Regional Parks District and Rush Ranch Open Space Preserve, Additional Information regarding Commercial Navigation and Socioeconomic Issues, Contra Costa, Marin, and Solano Counties, CA, Comment Period Ends: February 25, 2002, Contact: Nina Garfield (301) 713–3132.

EIS No. 020007, DRAFT EIS, BOR, AZ, NV, CA, Implementation Agreement (IA), Inadvertent Overrun and Payback Policy (IOP), and Related Federal Actions, Implementation, Quantification Settlement Agreement (QSA), Lower Colorado River, In the States of AZ, CA and NV, Comment Period Ends: March 12, 2002, Contact: Bruce D. Ellis (602) 216–3854.

EIS No. 020008, FINAL EIS, FRC, AZ, CA, North Baja Pipeline Project, Docket Nos. CP01–22-000 and CP01–23–000, Construction and Operation A New Natural Gas Transmission Pipeline, Land Use Plan Amendment, Right-of-Way Grant, NPDES, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, La Praz and Yuma Counties, AZ and Imperial, Kern, Riverside, Palo Verde, San Bernardino and San Diego Counties, Wait Period Ends: February 11, 2002, Contact: Lynda Kastoll (760) 337–4421.

EIS No. 020009, FINAL EIS, AFS, MT, Threemile Stewardship Project, Proposed Short-Term and Long-Term Vegetation and Road Management Activities, Ashland Ranger District, Custer National Forest, Powder and Rosebud Counties, MT, Wait Period Ends: February 11, 2002, Contact: Nancy T. Curriden (406) 657–6200.

### **Amended Notices**

EIS No. 010531, DRAFT EIS, UAF, CA, EL Rancho Road Bridge Project, To Provide a Flood-Free Crossing at San Antonia Creek to Access North Vandenberg Air Force Base, Santa Barbara County, CA, Comment Period Ends: February 25, 2002, Contact: Jack Bush (703) 604–0553. Revision of FR Notice Published on 12/21/2001: CEQ Comment Period Ending 02/04/2002 has been extended to 02/25/2002.

Dated: January 8, 2002.

### Joseph C. Montgomery,

 $\label{lem:prop:condition} \textit{Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office} \\ \textit{of Federal Activities.}$ 

[FR Doc. 02–721 Filed 1–10–02; 8:45 am]

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6625-5]

# Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 260–5076. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated May 18, 2001 (66 FR 27647).

### **Draft EISs**

ERP No. D-AFS-J65009-00 Rating LO, Programmatic EIS—Kootena, Idaho

Panhandle, and Lolo National Forests, Forest Plan Amendments for Access Management within the Selkirk and Cabinet-Yaak Grizzly Bear Recovery Zones, ID, WA and MT.

Summary: EPA generally supports the Forest Service's preferred alternative for grizzly bear management based on site-specific conditions and projects. EPA questions whether resources are sufficient to implement the preferred alternatives and road management for water quality.

ERP No. D-NPS-K65080-AZ Rating LO, Sunset Crater Volcano National Monument, General Management Plan, Implementation, Flagstaff Area, Coconina County, AZ.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the Park Service's preferred management plans for three National Monuments in the Flagstaff area.

ERP No. D-NPS-K65081-AZ Rating LO, Wupatki National Monument, General Management Plan, Implementation, Flagstaff Area, Coconina County, AZ.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the Park Services's preferred management plans for three National Monuments in the Flagstaff area.

ERP No. D-NPS-K65082-AZ Rating LO, Walnut Canyon National Monument, General Management Plan, Implementation, Flagstaff Area, Coconina County, AZ.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the Park Service's preferred management plans for three National Monuments in the Flagstaff area.

ERP No. DS-COE-E34030-FL Rating LO, Central and Southern Florida Project, Indian River Lagoon-South Feasibility Study, Additional Information, Restoration, Protection and Preservation, Canals denoted; C-23, C-24, C-25 and C-44, Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, (CERP), Martin and St. Lucie Counties, FL.

Summary: EPA supports the positive water quality and habitat benefits which should result from the proposed IRLS plan.

ERP No. DS-GSA-K81011-CA Rating EC2, Los Angeles Federal Building— U.S. Courthouse, Construction of a New Courthouse in the Civic Center, Additional Information, City of Los Angeles, Los Angeles County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed continued environmental concerns with the lack of information regarding comments GSA received on the DEIS, building space requirements, and traffic and air quality impacts.

### **Final EISs**

ERP No. F-FHW-G40163-TX IH-10
West from Taylor Street to FM-1489,
Construction and Reconstruction,