Commission will convene at 10 a.m. and adjourn at 1 p.m. on May 17, 2001, at the Biltmore Hotel, 401 South Meridian, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73108. The purpose of the meeting is to receive planning input for project development.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Melvin L. Jenkins, Director of the Central Regional Office, 913–551–1400 (TDD 913–551–1414). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least ten (10) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, April 20, 2001. Edward A. Hailes, Ir..

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 01–10276 Filed 4–24–01; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6335–01–P** 

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

DOC has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13.

Bureau: International Trade Administration.

*Title:* Application for the President's "E" and "E Star" Awards for Export Expansion.

Agency Form Number: ITA–725P.

OMB Number: 0625–0065.

Type of Request: Regular Submission.

Estimated Burden: 1,644 hours.

Estimated Number of Respondents:

Est. Avg. Hours Per Response: 27.4 hours.

Needs and Uses: The President's "E" Award for Excellence in Exporting is our nation's highest award to honor American exporters. "E" Awards recognize firms and organizations for their competitive achievements in world markets, as well as the benefits of their success to the U.S. economy. The President's "E Star" Award recognizes the sustained superior international marketing performance of "E" Award winners.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit; Not-for-profit institutions; Individuals or households; Farms; and State, local, or tribal governments. Frequency: On occasion.
Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.
OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker,
(202) 395–7340.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Madeleine Clayton, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482–3129, Department of Commerce, Room 6086, 14th and Constitution, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 within 30 days of the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: April 20, 2001.

#### Madeleine Clayton,

Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer. [FR Doc. 01–10281 Filed 4–24–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–U

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## International Trade Administration [A-533-809]

### Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges From India: Notice of Rescission of New Shipper Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of rescission of new shipper review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 25, 2001.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is rescinding the new shipper review of certain forged stainless steel flanges from India manufactured or exported by Snowdrop Trading Pvt. Ltd. (Snowdrop) because record evidence does not indicate that Snowdrop had any U.S. sales suitable for use in a dumping analysis during the period of review, i.e., February 1, 1999 through February 29, 2000.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Killiam or Robert James, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5222 and (202) 482–0649, respectively.

### **Applicable Statute and Regulations**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, all references to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (April 2000).

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Background**

On April 3, 2000, in response to a request from Snowdrop, the Department published a Notice of Initiation of New Shipper Review (65 FR 17485). This review covered sales or entries of stainless steel flanges exported by Snowdrop during the period February 1, 1999 through February 29, 2000. On January 31, 2001, the Department published in the Federal Register its Notice of Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review: Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges from India (66 FR 8380).

In its original and supplemental questionnaire responses, Snowdrop indicated that it had a single U.S. sale during the period of review to Texas Metal Works (Texas Metal), a firm in Houston, Texas. Snowdrop also indicated that it did not sell the foreign like product in the home market and, therefore, indicated that sales to Canada should be used as the only viable thirdcountry comparison market. All sales to Canada were to a single firm, Provincial Flange & Fittings, Ltd., of Ontario (Provincial). However, documentation developed in a series of supplemental questionnaires, as well as the Department's November 2000 verification, demonstrates that Snowdrop's alleged "sale" to Texas Metal Works actually involved a transaction between Snowdrop and its third-country customer, Provincial. See, e.g., the Department's January 19, 2001 verification report, on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce Building. Thus, Snowdrop is proposing that we base both normal value and U.S. price on sales to a single entity, Provincial.

We find it inappropriate to base U.S. price on a sale to the same entity that is also functioning as the sole comparison market customer. Any analysis of dumping attempts to measure the extent of price discrimination, if any, between the U.S. market and an appropriate, viable comparison market. Here, the two markets are one and the same: to wit, sales to Provincial in Canada. Therefore, because no credible measure of dumping is possible under these circumstances, we are rescinding this new shipper administrative review. See Memorandum to Joseph A. Spetrini, "Rescission of New Shipper Review of

Snowdrop Trading Pvt., Ltd.," dated April 18, 2001.

### Rescission of Review

The record evidence does not indicate that Snowdrop made a sale to the United States during the period of review which can serve as the basis for any dumping analysis. In the absence of such a sale, the Department has no grounds for proceeding with this review. Accordingly, the Department is rescinding this new shipper review, in accordance with section 351.214(f) of the Department's regulations.

This notice is published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act.

### Joseph A. Spetrini,

 $\label{lem:condition} \begin{array}{l} \textit{Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD} \\ \textit{Enforcement Group III.} \end{array}$ 

[FR Doc. 01–10279 Filed 4–24–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

[A-427-001]

# Sorbitol From France: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of rescission of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On June 2, 2000 the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register the notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on sorbitol from France for Amylum France and Amylum SPI Europe. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 65 FR 35320 (June 2, 2000). This review covers the period April 1, 1999 through March 31, 2000. We are now rescinding this review because we have determined that the respondents had no shipments during the period of review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 25, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Baker or Robert James, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III—Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–2924 (Baker), (202) 482–0649 (James).

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Applicable Statute**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all references to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (2000).

### **Background**

The Department published an antidumping duty order on sorbitol from France on April 9, 1982 (47 FR 15391). The Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order for the 1999/ 2000 review period on April 12, 2000 (65 FR 19736). On May 5, 2000 the Department published a correction to the original April 12, 2000 "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review." On April 28, 2000 Roquette America, Inc. (petitioner) requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order for the period April 1, 1999 through March 31, 2000 covering the exports of the French manufacturers/exporters Amylum France and Amylum SPI Europe (collectively Amylum). We published a notice of initiation of the review on June 2, 2000 (65 FR 35320).

### Scope of the Review

The merchandise under review is crystalline sorbitol. Crystalline sorbitol is a polyol produced by the catalytic hydrogenation of sugars (glucose). It is used in the production of sugarless gum, candy, groceries, and pharmaceuticals.

Crystalline sorbitol is currently classifiable under item 2905.44.0000 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under review is dispositive of whether or not the merchandise is covered by the review.

### **Rescission of Review**

On June 22, 2000, in response to the Department's questionnaire, Amylum stated that it had made no shipments of the subject merchandise to the United States during the period of review (POR). The Department then examined U.S. Customs data, and found no evidence that Amylum had any shipments during the POR. Consequently, on August 15, 2000 the Department invited petitioner to submit

for the record any contrary information it may have. On August 18, 2000 petitioner submitted publicly available Customs data which it argued demonstrated that Amylum must have had shipments during the POR. Subsequently, the Department examined Customs entry documentation for relevant imports during the POR. From this examination and our prior review of Customs data, we determined that Amylum had no shipments during the POR. For additional information, see the Memorandum from Robert James to Joseph Spetrini, dated March 27, 2001, on file in the Central Records Unit of the Department of Commerce building. Because there is no evidence suggesting that Amylum had any entries during the POR, we are rescinding this review pursuant to section 351.213(d)(3) of the Department's regulations.

This notice is in accordance with sections 751 of the Tariff Act and section 351.213(d) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: April 19, 2001.

### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 01–10280 Filed 4–24–01; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P** 

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## International Trade Administration [C-580-835]

Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From the Republic of Korea: Extension of Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limit for preliminary results of countervailing duty administrative review.

### **EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 25, 2001.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tipten Troidl or Darla Brown, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VI, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202–482–1767 or 202–482–2849, respectively.

### **Statutory Time Limits**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the