

petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland, by the above date. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to Robert K. Gad, III, Esq., Ropes and Gray, One International Place, Boston, MA 02110-2624, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated October 12, 2000, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland, and accessible electronically through the ADAMS Public Electronic Reading Room link at the NRC Web site (<http://www.nrc.gov>).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of March 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**John B. Hickman,**

*Project Manager, Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 01-7353 Filed 3-23-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act Meeting.

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**DATE:** Weeks of March 26, April 2, 9, 16, 23, 30, 2001.

**PLACE:** Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

**STATUS:** Public and Closed

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:**

#### Week of March 26, 2001

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of March 26, 2001.

#### Week of April 2, 2001—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of April 2, 2001.

#### Week of April 9, 2001—Tentative

*Monday, April 9, 2001*

1:30 p.m.—Briefing on 10 CFR Part 71 Rulemaking (Public Meeting)  
(Contacts: Naïem Tanious, 301-415-6103; David Pstrak, 301-415-8486)

*Tuesday, April 10, 2001*

10:25 a.m.—Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (If needed)  
10:30 a.m.—Meeting on Rulemaking and Guidance Development for Uranium Recovery Industry (Public Meeting) (Contact: Michael Layton, 301-415-6676)

#### Week of April 16, 2001—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of April 16, 2001.

#### Week of April 23, 2001—Tentative

*Tuesday, April 24, 2001*

10:25 a.m.—Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (If needed)  
10:30 a.m.—Discussion of Intragovernmental issues (Closed—Ex. 9)

#### Week of April 30, 2001—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of April 30, 2001.

The Schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings call (recording)—(301) 415-1292. contact person for more information: david Louis Gamberoni (301) 415-1651.

\* \* \* \* \*

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the Internet at: <http://www.nrc.gov/SECY/smj/schedule.htm>

\* \* \* \* \*

This notice is distributed by mail to several hundred subscribers; if you no

longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Washington, D.C. 20555 (301-415-1969). In addition, distribution of this meeting notice over the Internet system is available. If you are interested in receiving this Commission meeting schedule electronically, please send an electronic message to [dkw@nrc.gov](mailto:dkw@nrc.gov).

Dated: March 22, 2001.

**David Louis Gamberoni,**

*Technical Coordinator, Office of the Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-7503 Filed 3-22-01; 12:16 pm]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-213]

### Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company, Haddam Neck Plant; Issuance of Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, has taken action with regard to a Petition for action under 10 CFR 2.206 received from Rosemary Bassilakis and Deborah Katz (Petitioners) of the Citizens Awareness Network, dated September 26, 2000, with regard to the operation of the Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company's (CYAPCO's or the licensee's) Haddam Neck Plant (Haddam Neck). The Petition was supplemented by the Petition Review Board's (PRB) October 10, 2000, transcript.

The Petition requested that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) (1) Conduct a full investigation of CYAPCO's garment laundering practices and specifically of the September 20, 2000, incident at a public laundry facility in which the Petitioners contend that the licensee may have laundered radioactively contaminated clothing; (2) revoke CYAPCO's license, or suspend it until an investigation is completed and any contamination found as a result of that investigation is remediated; (3) report any violation of regulations to the Department of Justice; and (4) conduct an informal public hearing.

As the basis for the September 26, 2000, request, the Petitioners raised concerns stemming from a September 20, 2000, incident in which CYAPCO laundered bright yellow coveralls, rubber boots, and gloves at a public laundromat in East Hampton, Connecticut. The Petition contends that, although it is not clear whether or not

the garments were radioactively contaminated, "Laundering the Haddam Neck reactor's protective garments at a public facility constitutes a serious loss of radiological control, and blatant disregard for public and worker health and safety, the environment, and NRC rules and regulations."

The Petitioners addressed the Petition Review Board (PRB) on October 10, 2000, in a telephone conference call to clarify the basis for the Petition. The transcript of this discussion may be examined, and/or copied for a fee at the NRC Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. The transcript (ADAMS Accession No.: ML003768237) is also available at the ADAMS Public Library component of the NRC's Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov> (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

The NRC sent a copy of the proposed Director's Decision to the Petitioners and to the licensee for comment by letter dated December 19, 2000. The Petitioners responded with comments on January 4, 2001, and the licensee responded on January 5, 2001. These comments and the NRC staff's response to them are Enclosures to the Director's Decision.

Of the four actions requested by the Petitioner, the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation has granted one action (an investigation of the licensee's laundering practices and this incident), granted in principle one action (an informal public hearing), denied one action (suspend or revoke the operating license), and one action (report any violations of regulations to the Department of Justice) became moot because no violations were identified. The reasons for this decision are explained in the Director's Decision pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206 (DD-01-02), the complete text of which is available in ADAMS for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland, and from the ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC's Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov> (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

The issues raised in the September 26, 2000, Petition have been resolved. Inspection efforts conducted by NRC in response to the Petition determined that protective clothing at the licensee's training facility was free from radioactive contamination. Furthermore, the NRC inspection report concluded that effective controls were in place to assure that training garments had not and would not become contaminated.

A copy of the Director's Decision will be filed with the Secretary of the

Commission for the Commission's review in accordance with 10 CFR 2.206 of the Commission's regulations. As provided for by this regulation, the Director's Decision will constitute the final action of the Commission 25 days after the date of issuance, unless the Commission, on its own motion, institutes a review of the Director's Decision in that time.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of March, 20, 2001.

For The Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Samuel J. Collins,**

*Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 01-7351 Filed 3-23-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request for Review of a Revised Information Collection; IS-10

**AGENCY:** U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-13) and 5 CFR 1320.5 (a)(I)(iv), this notice announces that OPM has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget, a request for clearance of a revised information collection. The Mail Reinterview Form, IS-10, is completed by individuals who have been interviewed by a contract investigator during the course of a personnel investigation. This form, a quality assurance instrument, asks questions regarding the performance of the investigator.

We estimate that 5700 forms are completed annually. Each form requires approximately 6 minutes to complete. The annual estimated burden is 570 hours.

For copies of this proposal contact Mary Beth Smith-Toomey at (202) 606-8358 or fax (202) 418-3251 or by e-mail to [mbtoomey@opm.gov](mailto:mbtoomey@opm.gov).

**DATES:** Comments on this proposal should be received on or before April 25, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Send or deliver written comments to:

Richard A. Ferris, Associate Director, Investigations Service, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, Room 5416, 1900 E Street NW., Washington, DC 20415-4000, and

Joseph Lackey, OPM Desk Officer, Office of Information & Regulatory