

(b) The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) may be used as a screening test for avian influenza. Use only federally licensed ELISA kits and follow the manufacturer's instructions. All ELISA-positive serum samples must be confirmed with the AGID test conducted in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section.

#### § 147.11 [Amended]

28. Section 147.11 is amended as follows:

a. In paragraph (b)(2)(iii) the words "A group D colony lift assay may be utilized to signal the presence of the hard-to-detect group D salmonella colonies on agar culture plates." are added after the final sentence.

b. In paragraph (b)(2)(v), the words "at the National Veterinary Services Laboratory" are removed.

29. A new § 147.18 is added to read as follows:

#### § 147.18 Chick meconium testing procedure for salmonella.

Procedure:

(a) Record the date, source, and flock destination on the "Meconium Worksheet."

(b) Shake each plastic bag of meconium until a uniform consistency is achieved.

(c) Transfer a 25 gm sample of meconium to a sterile container. Add 225 mL of a preenrichment broth to each sample (this is a 1:10 dilution), mix gently, and incubate at 37 °C for 18–24 hours.

(d) Enrich the sample with selective enrichment broth for 24 hours at 42 °C.

(e) Streak the enriched sample onto brilliant green-Novobiocin (BGN) agar and xylose-lysine-tergitol 4 (XLT4) agar.

(f) Incubate both plates at 37 °C for 24 hours and process suspect salmonella colonies according to § 147.11.

30. In § 147.43, paragraphs (d)(1) through (d)(4) are redesignated as paragraphs (d)(3) through (d)(6), respectively, and new paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(2), (d)(7), and (d)(8) are added to read as follows:

#### § 147.43 General Conference Committee.

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) \* \* \*

(1) Advise and make recommendations to the Department on the relative importance of maintaining, at all times, adequate departmental funding for the NPIP to enable the Senior Coordinator and staff to fully administer the provisions of the Plan.

(2) Advise and make yearly recommendations to the Department with respect to the NPIP budget well in

advance of the start of the budgetary process.

\* \* \* \* \*

(7) Serve as a direct liaison between the NPIP and the United States Animal Health Association.

(8) Advise and make recommendations to the Department regarding NPIP involvement or representation at poultry industry functions and activities as deemed necessary or advisable for the purposes of the NPIP.

#### § 147.45 [Amended]

31. Section 147.45 is amended by removing the words "and E" and adding the words "E, and F" in their place.

32. In § 147.46, the introductory text of paragraph (a) is amended by removing the word "four" and adding the word "five" in its place, and a new paragraph (a)(5) is added to read as follows:

#### § 147.46 Committee consideration of proposed changes.

(a) \* \* \*

(5) Ostriches, emus, rheas, and cassowaries.

\* \* \* \* \*

Done in Washington, DC, this 11th day of February 2000.

**Bobby R. Acord,**

*Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.*

[FR Doc. 00–3832 Filed 2–16–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

## FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

### 12 CFR Parts 611 and 620

RIN 3052–AB85

#### Organization; Disclosure to Shareholders; Regulatory Burden; Correction

**AGENCY:** Farm Credit Administration (FCA).

**ACTION:** Correcting amendment.

**SUMMARY:** The Farm Credit Administration (FCA) published a direct Final rule (64 FR 43046, August 9, 1999) that reduced regulatory burden on the Farm Credit System (FCS or System) by repealing or amending 16 regulations. This document corrects technical errors in the direct final rule.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 13, 1999.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cindy R. Nicholson, Technical Editor, Office of Policy and Analysis, Farm Credit Administration, McLean, VA 22102–5090, (703) 883–4498, TDD (703) 883–4444.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** We inadvertently failed to make a nomenclature change in the Regulatory Burden direct final rule published on August 9, 1999 (64 FR 43046) which affected §§ 611.400 and 620.5.

#### List of Subjects in 12 CFR Parts 611 and 620

Accounting, Agriculture, Banks, banking, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Rural areas.

For the reasons stated above, parts 611 and 620 of chapter VI, title 12 of the Code of Federal Regulations are corrected as follows:

### PART 611—ORGANIZATION

1. The authority citation for part 611 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** Secs. 1.3, 1.13, 2.0, 2.10, 3.0, 3.21, 4.12, 4.15, 4.20, 4.21, 5.9, 5.10, 5.17, 7.0–7.13, 8.5(e) of the Farm Credit Act (12 U.S.C. 2011, 2021, 2071, 2091, 2121, 2142, 2183, 2203, 2208, 2209, 2243, 2244, 2252, 2279a–2279f–1, 2279aa–5(e)); secs. 411 and 412 of Pub. L. 100–233, 101 Stat. 1568, 1638; secs. 409 and 414 of Pub. L. 100–399, 102 Stat. 989, 1003, and 1004.

#### Subpart D—Rules for Compensation of Board Members

2. Section 611.400 is amended by correcting paragraph (e) to read as follows:

#### § 611.400 Compensation of bank board members.

\* \* \* \* \*

(e) Directors may also be reimbursed for reasonable travel, subsistence, and other related expenses in accordance with the bank's policy.

### PART 620—DISCLOSURE TO SHAREHOLDERS

3. The authority citation for part 620 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** Secs. 5.17, 5.19, 8.11 of the Farm Credit Act (12 U.S.C. 2252, 2254, 2279aa–11); sec. 424 of Pub. L. 100–233, 101 Stat. 1568, 1656.

#### Subpart B—Annual Report to Shareholders

4. Section 620.5 is amended by correcting the first sentence of paragraph (i)(3)(i) to read as follows:

#### § 620.5 Contents of the annual report to shareholders.

\* \* \* \* \*

(i) \* \* \*

(3) \* \* \*

(i) Briefly describe your policy addressing reimbursements for travel, subsistence, and other related expenses

as it applies to directors and senior officers. \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: February 10, 2000.

**Vivian L. Portis,**

*Secretary Farm Credit Administration Board.*

[FR Doc. 00-3681 Filed 2-16-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6705-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-NM-174-AD; Amendment 39-11575; AD 2000-03-16]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### **Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model MD-11 Series Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model MD-11 series airplanes, that requires a one-time visual inspection of the 90 percent brake pedal position switch to determine if certain date codes are present; and corrective action, if necessary. This amendment is prompted by reports indicating that the threaded insert connectors pulled free from the casing of the 90 percent brake pedal position switch, which allowed the insert connector contact to burn through the nose wheel steering cable. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent the threaded insert connector from pulling free from the casing of the 90 percent brake pedal position switch and burning through the nose wheel steering cable, which could result in reduced aircraft directional control while on the ground.

**DATES:** Effective March 23, 2000.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of March 23, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Aircraft Group, Long Beach Division, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Technical Publications Business Administration, Dept. C1-L51 (2-60). This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules

Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Brett Portwood, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Branch, ANM-130L, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712-4137; telephone (562) 627-5350; fax (562) 627-5210.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model MD-11 series airplanes was published in the **Federal Register** on October 27, 1999 (64 FR 57816). That action proposed to require a one-time visual inspection of the 90 percent brake pedal position switch to determine if certain date codes are present; and corrective action, if necessary.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.

#### **Support for the Proposal**

One comment supports the proposed rule.

#### **Request for Credit for Accomplishing Original Issue of Service Bulletin**

The Air Transport Association (ATA) of America, on behalf of one of its members, requests that operators be given credit for prior accomplishment of McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin MD11-24-71, dated June 29, 1994. (Revision 01 of that service bulletin was cited in the proposed rule as the appropriate source of service information for accomplishment of the required actions.)

The FAA concurs. Operators of airplanes on which the original issue of the service bulletin has been accomplished are given credit by a phrase that appears in paragraph (a) of the AD, as follows: "For airplanes on which McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin MD11-24-71, dated June 29, 1994, has not been accomplished." The effect of that phrase is to exclude airplanes on which the original issue of the service bulletin has been accomplished from the requirements of that paragraph, which contains the action required by this AD. No change to the final rule is necessary.

#### **Correction of Typographical Error**

The FAA has revised paragraph (a)(1) of this AD to correct a typographical error that appeared in the proposed rule. The word "not," which was inadvertently included in that paragraph, has been removed from the final rule.

#### **Conclusion**

After careful review of the available data, including the comments noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule with the change previously described. The FAA has determined that this change will neither increase the economic burden on any operator nor increase the scope of the AD.

#### **Cost Impact**

There are approximately 91 Model MD-11 series airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 33 airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish the required actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$1,980, or \$60 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

#### **Regulatory Impact**

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules