

**RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:**

Records retention and disposal is in accordance with the unit's Records Control Schedule.

**SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:**

Director, Office of Enrollment and Discipline, Box OED, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231.

**NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:**

Director, Office of Enrollment and Discipline, Box OED, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. Requester should provide name, address, date of application, and record sought, pursuant to the inquiry provisions of the Department's rules in 15 CFR part 4b.

**RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:**

Requests from individuals should be addressed to: Same address as stated in the notification section above.

**CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:**

The Department's rules for access, for contesting contents, and for appealing initial determinations by the individual concerned appear in 15 CFR part 4b.

**RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:**

Patent applicants who have received and paid for services by the individuals on whom the records are maintained.

**SYSTEMS EXEMPTED FROM CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE ACT:**

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(2), all investigatory materials in the record which meet the criteria in 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(2) are exempted from the notice, access, and contest requirements (under 5 U.S.C. 552a(c)(3), (d), (e)(1), (e)(4)(G), (H), and (I), and (f)) of the agency regulations because of the necessity to exempt this information and material in order to accomplish the law enforcement function of the agency, to prevent subjects of investigations from frustrating the investigatory process, to prevent the disclosure of investigative techniques, to fulfill commitments made to protect the confidentiality of sources, to maintain access to sources of information, and to avoid endangering these sources and law enforcement personnel.

Dated: March 29, 2000.

**Brenda Dolan,**

*Departmental Freedom of Information Act and Privacy Act Officer.*

[FR Doc. 00-8862 Filed 4-12-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-16-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****International Trade Administration**

**[A-485-803]**

**Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From Romania; Time Limits**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limit for preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the 1998-1999 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on cut-to-length carbon steel plate from Romania. This review covers two exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States, Metalexportimport, S.A. and Windmill International Romania Branch (Windmill), and one manufacturer of the subject merchandise, C. S. Sidex, S.A. The period of review is August 1, 1998 through July 31, 1999.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 13, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Fred Baker at (202) 482-2924 or Robert James at (202) 482-0649, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department initiated this administrative review on October 1, 1999 (64 FR 53318) and November 4, 1999 (64 FR 60161). Under section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act), the Department may extend the deadline for completion of an administrative review if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within the statutory time limit of 365 days. Because of the complexity and difficulty presented with surrogate country selection and factor valuation in this case, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until August 30, 2000. See Memorandum from Richard Weible to Joseph Spetrini, on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce building. The deadline for the final results of this review will continue to be 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act and section 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: April 27, 2000.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary Enforcement Group III.*

[FR Doc. 00-9239 Filed 4-12-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****International Trade Administration**

**[A-560-810, A-580-843]**

**Notice of Postponement of Preliminary Antidumping Duty Determinations: Certain Expandable Polystyrene Resins From Indonesia and the Republic of Korea**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 13, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Valerie Ellis or David Layton, Office 5, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-2336, or (202) 482-0371, respectively.

**Postponement of Preliminary Determination**

The Department of Commerce (the Department) is postponing the preliminary determinations in the antidumping duty investigations of certain expandable polystyrene resins from Indonesia and the Republic of Korea. The deadline for issuing the preliminary determinations in these investigations is now June 20, 2000.

On December 13, 1999, the Department initiated antidumping investigations of certain expandable polystyrene resins from Indonesia and the Republic of Korea. *See Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Certain Expandable Polystyrene Resins from Indonesia and the Republic of Korea*, 64 FR 71112 (December 20, 1999). The notice stated that the Department would issue its preliminary determinations no later than 140 days after the date of initiation (*i.e.*, May 1, 2000).

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.205(e), on March 29, 2000, the petitioners filed a request that the Department postpone the preliminary determinations in these investigations. The petitioners' request for postponement was timely, and the Department finds no compelling reason to deny the request. Therefore, in accordance with section 733(c)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department is postponing the

deadline for issuing these preliminary determinations until June 20, 2000.

This extension is in accordance with section 733(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(b)(2).

Dated: April 5, 2000.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-9241 Filed 4-12-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-855]

#### Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Non-Frozen Apple Juice Concentrate from the People's Republic of China

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce is conducting an antidumping duty investigation of non-frozen apple juice concentrate from the People's Republic of China. We determine that sales have been made at less than fair value. The estimated dumping margins are shown in the Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 13, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Craig Matney, Sally Hastings, or Annika O'Hara, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1778, 482-3464, or 482-3798, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("the Department's") regulations refer to the regulations codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (April 1998).

#### Case History

Since the preliminary determination (see 64 FR 65675 (November 23, 1999) ("Preliminary Determination")), the following events have occurred:

On November 24, 1999, we received an allegation from the respondents in

this investigation regarding certain clerical errors in the preliminary determination. On December 27, 1999, we published in the **Federal Register** a notice of our amended preliminary determination, postponement of the final determination, and extension of provisional measures (64 FR 72316).

On January 10, 2000, one of the respondents, Shaanxi Machinery & Equipment Import & Export Corporation ("SAAME"), notified the Department of its withdrawal from the investigation.

In January and February 2000, we conducted verification of the questionnaire responses submitted by the following respondents: Yantai North Andre Juice Co., Ltd. ("North Andre"); Shaanxi Haisheng Fresh Fruit Juice Co., Ltd. ("Haisheng"); Sanmenxia Lakeside Fruit Juice Co., Ltd. ("Lakeside"); Shandong Zhonglu Juice Group Co., Ltd. ("Zhonglu"); Yantai Oriental Juice Co., Ltd. ("Oriental"); and Qingdao Nannan Foods Co., Ltd. ("Nannan"). We issued the verification reports during February and March 2000.

Pursuant to the Department's request, supplemental information regarding surrogate values was submitted on February 25 and 28, 2000, respectively, by the respondents and by Coloma Frozen Foods, Inc., Green Valley Packers, Knouse Foods Cooperative, Inc., Mason County Fruit Packers Co-op, Inc., and Tree Top Inc. (hereinafter collectively referred to as "the petitioners").

The petitioners and the respondents filed case and rebuttal briefs, respectively, on March 9 and 14, 2000. At the request of the respondents, the Department held a public hearing on March 17, 2000.

#### Scope of the Investigation

For purposes of this investigation, the product covered by the scope is all non-frozen concentrated apple juice with a Brix scale of 40 or greater, whether or not containing added sugar or other sweetening matter, and whether or not fortified with vitamins or minerals. Excluded from the scope of this investigation are: frozen concentrated apple juice; non-frozen concentrated apple juice that has been fermented; and non-frozen concentrated apple juice to which spirits have been added. The merchandise subject to this investigation is classified in the HTSUS at subheadings 2009.70.00.20 and 2106.90.52. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under investigation is dispositive.

#### Period of Investigation

The period of this investigation ("POI") is October 1, 1998, through March 31, 1999.

#### Nonmarket Economy Country and Market-Oriented Industry Status

The Department has treated the People's Republic of China ("PRC") as a nonmarket economy ("NME") country in all past antidumping investigations. See, e.g., *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People's Republic of China*, 63 FR 72255 (December 31, 1998) ("Mushrooms"). Under section 771(18)(C) of the Act, this NME designation remains in effect until it is revoked by the Department.

The respondents in this investigation have not requested a revocation of the PRC's NME status and no further information has been provided that would lead to such a revocation.

Therefore, we have continued to treat the PRC as an NME in this investigation.

Furthermore, no interested party has requested that the NFAJC industry in the PRC be treated as a market-oriented industry and no further information has been provided that would lead to such a determination. Therefore, we have not treated the NFAJC industry in the PRC as a market-oriented industry in this investigation.

#### Separate Rates

All responding companies have requested separate, company-specific antidumping duty rates. (Because it has withdrawn from participation in the investigation, SAAME is no longer considered a "responding company." See "Use of Facts Available" section, below.) In our *Preliminary Determination*, we preliminarily found that all responding companies had met the criteria for the application of separate antidumping duty rates. See 64 FR at 65677-78. At verification, we found no discrepancies with the information provided in the questionnaire responses of responding companies. We have not received any other information since the *Preliminary Determination* which would warrant reconsideration of our separate rates determinations with respect to these companies. We, therefore, determine that the responding companies in this investigation should be assigned individual dumping margins.

#### Antidumping Duty Rate for Those Producers/Exporters That Responded Only to the Separate Rates Questionnaire

For those producers/exporters that responded to our separate rates