- General discussion of miscellaneous matters pertaining to future Commission activities and Manzanar National Historic Site development issues;
 - Public comment period.

This meeting is open to the public. It will be recorded for documentation and transcribed for dissemination. Minutes of the meeting will be available to the public after approval of the full Commission. A transcript will be available after June 1, 2000. For a copy of the minutes, contact the Superintendent, Manzanar National Historic Site, PO Box 426, Independence, CA 93526.

Dated: March 10, 2000.

Ross R. Hopkins,

Superintendent, Manzanar National Historic Site.

[FR Doc. 00–7680 Filed 3–28–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Ocmulgee Old Fields Historic District; Determination of Eligibility for the National Register of Historic Places

ACTION: Discussion of previous determination of eligibility.

This is to advise that, on the basis of consideration of the comments received in response to the Federal Register notice dated November 5, 1999, as well as all other information collected by the National Park Service, including a visit to the site, the National Park Service has determined that it did not receive authoritative information which, evaluated in conjunction with documentation already on file, resulted in a finding that the boundary for the Ocmulgee Old Fields Historic District, in Bibb and Twiggs Counties, Georgia, as defined by the Keeper of the National Register of Historic Places on August 14, 1997, and July 23, 1999, does not accurately delineate the scope of the District in accordance with National Register standards. The determination of eligibility for the Ocmulgee Old Fields Historic District remains in effect.

Carol D. Shull,

Keeper of the National Register of Historic Places, National Register, History and Education.

[FR Doc. 00–7678 Filed 3–28–00; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

Marina Coast Water District Recycled Water Pipeline Project

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an environmental document (Environmental Impact Report/ Environmental Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement).

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, and § 21061 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEOA), the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) and the Marina Coast Water District (MCWD) propose to prepare an environmental document on constructing a new pipeline to deliver reclaimed wastewater for municipal and industrial use. The reclaimed water would be supplied by the Monterey Regional Water Pollution Control Agency (MRWPCA) as part of the Castroville Seawater Intrusion Project and the Salinas Valley Reclamation Project (SVRP) that currently provides agricultural users with recycled water to reduce use of groundwater in the Salinas Valley. The project is planned in order to provide up to 300 acre-feet/year of recycled water from the SVRP for municipal and industrial uses which may include a golf course, open space landscaping, and construction water

At present, it is not clear whether the scope of the action and anticipated project impacts will require preparation of an environmental impact report/ environmental impact statement (EIR/ EIS) instead of an environmental impact report/environmental assessment (EIR/ EA). However, to ensure the timely and appropriate level of NEPA compliance and to limit potential future delays to the project schedule, Reclamation is proceeding as if the project impacts would require preparation of an EIR/EA. Reclamation will reevaluate the need for an EIR/EIS after obtaining written comments on the project scope, alternatives, and environmental impacts and after Reclamation's evaluation of potential impacts of the proposed project. Reclamation will publish a notice of change if a decision is made to prepare an EIR/EIS rather than an EIR/EA. However, the scoping process to be conducted will suffice for either course of action.

MCWD completed a CEQA Notice of Preparation on December 15, 1999. No scoping meetings have been scheduled. **DATES:** Send any comments to assist in determining the scope of the environmental analysis and to identify the significant issues related to this proposed action to the address below by April 28, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on the scope of the environmental document should be sent to Dave Meza, Marina Coast Water District, 200 Twelfth Street, Building 2788, Marina CA 93933.

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dave Meza, Marina Coast Water District, at (831) 582–2665.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Reclamation provided a loan to the MRWPCA for construction of the SVRP. Reclamation completed an EIS on the SVRP in 1993 for construction of the SVRP, and delivery of reclaimed water as an agricultural supply for crop irrigation. The contract for the loan specified that reclaimed water from the SVRP could also be delivered for municipal and industrial water only if Reclamation completed additional NEPA documentation. The SVRP began operation in 1997, and began delivering recycled water to growers in 1998. In 1999, the SVRP produced 10,000 acrefeet of recycled water. The SVRP can produce up to about 33,200 acre-feet of reclaimed water per year.

The proposed action would consist of the following:

- 1. At the SVRP site, two 75-hp vertical turbine pumps would be installed at the SVRP contact basin. Approximately 850 linear feet (LF) of 24-inch pipeline would be installed from the pumps to the edge of the SVRP boundary.
- 2. From the edge of the SVRP boundary, approximately 4,300 LF of 12-inch pipeline would be installed above ground along an existing dirt service road that extends to the Armstrong Ranch boundary.

3. From the Armstrong Ranch boundary, approximately 10,000 LF of 30-inch pipeline would be installed along a route through the City of Marina Municipal Airport to Reservation Road with various water service turnouts.

The proposed project has design elements that could become part of a regional system, if that system were ever to be expanded. The feasibility of such a regional system, the regional urban recycled water distribution project, is currently being studied. This system would require a storage reservoir as outlined in the 1996 Annexation Agreement and Groundwater Mitigation Framework for Marina Area Lands (1996). In addition, other elements of the regional project are not clearly defined. These elements are discussed in the 1996 Monterey Peninsula Reclaimed Water Urban Reuse Feasibility Study Update as developed by the MRWPCA. The planning effort for a regional urban distribution system may serve sites within the Cities of Marina, Seaside, Del Rey Oaks, Sand City, and Monterey. Additional environmental documentation would be necessary to implement this regional system.

Dated: March 22, 2000.

Frank Michny,

Regional Environmental Officer. [FR Doc. 00–7704 Filed 3–28–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–94–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 731-TA-474 and 475 (Review)]

Chrome-Plated Lug Nuts From China and Taiwan

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Commission determination to conduct full five-year reviews concerning the antidumping duty orders on chrome-plated lug nuts from China and Taiwan.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on chrome-plated lug nuts from China and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. In the course of considering the record in these expedited reviews, the Commission now determines that full reviews are

warranted. The Commission will exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B). A schedule for these reviews will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 22, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Vera Libeau (202-205-3176), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 4, 1999, the Commission determined that it should expedite these reviews pursuant to 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act. The Commission found that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (64 FR 41949, August 2, 1999) was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. Therefore, it voted to conduct expedited reviews. The Commission has found, however, that circumstances warrant conducting full reviews. Therefore, on March 22, 2000, the Commission determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission's statement on proceeding to full reviews and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission. Issued: March 23, 2000.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–7767 Filed 3–28–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–556 (Review)]

DRAMs of One Megabit and Above From Korea

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of a full five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on dynamic random access memory semiconductors (DRAMs) of one megabit and above from Korea.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of a full review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on DRAMs of one megabit and above from Korea would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 22, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bob Carr (202-205-3402), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 3, 2000, the Commission determined that responses to its notice of institution of the subject five-year review were such that a full review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act should proceed (65 FR 7890, February 16, 2000). A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements are available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.