of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*).

The proposed revision to the rule will clarify, but not change, the requirements currently in place for OCS plan review and approval. The changes should make clear that NOAA regulations govern State coastal zone consistency review of OCS plans submitted to us. There will be no change to current procedures resulting from the proposed amendment to the rule. The Department has determined that these proposed changes to the rule will not have a significant effect on a substantial number of small entities. In general, most entities that engage in offshore activities are not considered small due to the technical and financial resources and experience necessary to safely conduct such activities. However, those lessees that are classified as small businesses will not be affected. The Department also determined that there are no indirect effects of this rulemaking on small entities that provide support for offshore activities. Small government entities, such as small local governments in an affected State's coastal zone, can participate in State coastal zone review and can request that the Regional Supervisor provide copies of plans. None of the proposed changes will affect this process.

Your comments are important. The Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and 10 Regional Fairness Boards were established to receive comments from small business about Federal agency enforcement actions. The Ombudsman will annually evaluate the enforcement activities and rate each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on the enforcement actions of MMS, call toll-free (888) 734–3247.

Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (SBREFA)

This rule is not a major rule under (5 U.S. C. 804(2)), SBREFA. This rule:

(a) Does not have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more.

(b) Will not cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State, or local government agencies, or geographic regions.

(c) Does not have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or ability of U.S.-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises.

Unfunded Mandate Reform Act of 1995

This rule does not impose a unfunded mandate on State, local, or tribal governments or the private sector of more than \$100 million per year. The rule does not have a significant or unique effect on State, local or tribal governments or the private sector. A statement containing the information required by the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (2 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) is not required.

List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 250

Continental shelf, Environmental impact statements, Environmental protection, Government contracts, Incorporation by reference, Investigations, Mineral royalties, Oil and gas development and production, Oil and gas reserves, Penalties, Pipelines, Public lands—mineral resources, Public lands—rights-of-way, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulphur development and production, Sulphur exploration, Surety bonds.

Dated. February 9, 1999.

Sylvia V. Baca,

Acting Assistant Secretary, Land and Minerals Management.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, Minerals Management Service (MMS) proposes to amend 30 CFR part 250 as follows:

PART 250—OIL AND GAS AND SULPHUR OPERATIONS IN THE OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF

1. The authority citation for part 250 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 43 U.S.C. 1334.

2. In § 250.203, paragraph (f) is revised to read as follows:

§ 250.203 Exploration Plan.

(f) Within two working days after we deem the Exploration Plan submitted, the Regional Supervisor will send by receipted mail a copy of the plan (except those portions exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act and 43 CFR part 2) to the Governor or the Governor's designated representative and the CZM agency of each affected State. Consistency review begins when the State's CZM agency receives a copy of the plan, consistency certification, and required necessary data and information as directed by 15 CFR 930.78.

3. In § 250.204, paragraphs (i) and (j) are revised to read as follows:

§ 250.204 Development and Production Plan.

* * * * *

(i) We will process the plan in accordance with this section and 15

CFR part 930. Accordingly, consistency review begins when the State's CZM agency receives a copy of the plan, consistency certification, and required necessary data and information as directed by 15 CFR 930.78.

(j) The Regional Supervisor will evaluate the environmental impact of the activities described in the **Development and Production Plan** (DPP) and prepare the appropriate environmental documentation required by the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969. At least once in each planning area (other than the western and central Gulf of Mexico planning areas), we will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) and send copies of the draft EIS to the Governor of each affected State and the executive of each affected local government that requests a copy. Additionally, when we prepare a DPP EIS and when the State's federally approved coastal management program requires a DPP EIS for use in determining consistency, we will forward a copy of the draft EIS to the State's CZM Agency. We will also make copies of the draft EIS available to any appropriate Federal Agency, interstate entity, and the public.

[FR Doc. 99–3864 Filed 2–16–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[IL168-1b; FRL-6232-9]

*

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Illinois: Clean Fuel Fleet Program Revision

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve a revision to the Illinois State Implementation Plan (SIP) amending the Illinois Clean Fuel Fleet program (CFFP) established for the Chicago ozone nonattainment area. Illinois submitted the SIP revision request on February 13, 1998, which delays the implementation of the Illinois CFFP purchase requirement from model year 1998 to model year 1999, based on EPA's decision to allow States to implement such delays. In addition, the Illinois SIP revision includes two minor corrections to the CFFP rules federally approved on March 19, 1996. In the final rules section of this Federal

Register, EPA is approving this SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because EPA views this action as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for approving the SIP revision is set forth in the direct final rule. The direct final rule will become effective without further notice unless the EPA receives relevant adverse written comment. Should the EPA receive such comment, it will publish a timely withdrawal informing the public that this direct final rule will not take effect and such public comment received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. If no adverse written comments are received, the direct final rule will take effect on the date stated in that document, and no further action will be taken on this proposed rule. The EPA does not plan to institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before March 19, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be mailed to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the State submittal and EPA's analysis of it are available for inspection at: Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Francisco Acevedo, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886–6061.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: February 2, 1999.

David A. Ullrich,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region V. [FR Doc. 99–3523 Filed 2–16–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 99-42, RM-9467]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Whitefield, NH

AGENCY: Federal Communications

Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Dana Puopolo to allot Channel 256A to Whitefield, NH, as the community's first local aural service. Channel 256A can be allotted to Whitefield in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 10.9 kilometers (6.8 miles) northeast, at coordinates 44-27-17 NL; 71-31-36 WL, to avoid a shortspacing to Station WOKO, Chanel 255C1, Burlington, VT. Canadian concurrence is required since Whitefield is located within 320 kilometers (200 miles) of the U.S.-Canadian border.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before March 29, 1999, and reply comments on or before April 13, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, S.W., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Dana Puopolo, 37 Martin Street, Rehoboth, MA 02769– 2103 (Petitioner).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Leslie K. Shapiro, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 99–42. adopted January 27, 1999, and released February 5, 1999. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 1231 20th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

 $Federal\ Communications\ Commission.$

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 99–3778 Filed 2–16–99; 8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 99-43, RM-9468]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Narrowsburg, NY

AGENCY: Federal Communications

Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Karen L. Johnson to allot Channel 275A to Narrowsburg, NY, as the community's first local aural service. Channel 275A can be allotted to Narrowsburg in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 5.9 kilometers (3.7 miles) northeast, at coordinates 41-38-00 NL; 74-59-46 WL, to avoid a short-spacing to Station WMGK, Channel 275B, Philadelphia, PA. Canadian concurrence in the allotment is required because Narrowsburg is located within 320 kilometers (200 miles) of the U.S.-Canadian border.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before March 29, 1999, and reply comments on or before April 13, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, S.W., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: John F. Garziglia, Patricia M. Chuh, Pepper & Corazzini L.L.P, 1776 K Street, N.W., Suite 200, Washington, D.C. 20006 (Counsel to petitioner).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Leslie K. Shapiro, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.