estimate of the percentage of total U.S. imports of Subject Merchandise from the Subject Countries accounted for by your firm's(s') imports; and

(b) The quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping and/or countervailing duties) of U.S. commercial shipments of Subject Merchandise imported from the Subject Countries.

(9) If you are a producer, an exporter, or a trade/business association of producers or exporters of the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Countries, provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product during calendar year 1998 (report quantity data in thousands of stems for standard carnations and standard chrysanthemums and thousands of bunches for miniature carnations and pompon chrysanthemums; report value data in thousands of U.S. dollars, landed and duty-paid at the U.S. port but not including antidumping or countervailing duties). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total production of Subject Merchandise in the Subject Countries accounted for by your firm's(s') production; and

(b) The quantity and value of your firm's(s') exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise from the Subject Countries accounted for by your firm's(s') exports.

(10) Identify significant changes, if any, in the supply and demand conditions or business cycle for each Domestic Like Product that have occurred in the United States or in the market for the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Countries since the Order Dates, and significant changes, if any, that are likely to occur within a reasonably foreseeable time. Supply conditions to consider include technology; production methods; development efforts; ability to increase production (including the shift of production facilities used for other products and the use, cost, or availability of major inputs into production); and factors related to the ability to shift supply among different national markets (including barriers to importation in foreign markets or changes in market demand abroad). Demand conditions to consider include end uses and applications; the existence and availability of substitute products; and the level of competition among the

Domestic Like Product produced in the United States, Subject Merchandise produced in the Subject Countries, and such merchandise from other countries.

(11) (OPTIONAL) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the Domestic Like Product and Domestic Industry; if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: January 27, 1999. By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–2352 Filed 1–29–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 104-TAA-7 (Review), AA1921-198-200 (Review), and 731-TA-3 (Review)]

Sugar From the European Union; Sugar From Belgium, France, and Germany; and Sugar and Syrups From Canada (Investigation No. 731–TA–3 (Review))

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Commission determination to conduct full five-year reviews concerning the countervailing duty order on sugar from the European Union, the antidumping duty orders on sugar from Belgium, France, and Germany, and the antidumping duty order on sugar and syrups from Canada.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the countervailing duty order on sugar from the European Union, the antidumping duty orders on sugar from Belgium, France, and Germany, and the antidumping duty order on sugar and syrups from Canada would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the reviews will be established and announced at a later date.

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207). Recent amendments to the Rules of Practice and Procedure pertinent to five-year reviews, including the text of subpart F of part 207, are published at 63 FR 30599, June 5, 1998, and may be downloaded from the Commission's World Wide Web site at http://www.usitc.gov/rules.htm.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 7, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Vera Libeau (202-205-3176), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 7, 1999, the Commission determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission, in consultation with the Department of Commerce, grouped these reviews because they involve similar domestic like products. See 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(D); 63 FR 29372, 29374 (May 29, 1998).

With regard to sugar and syrups from Canada, Inv. No. 731–TA–3 (Review), the Commission determined that both domestic and respondent group responses to its notice of institution ¹ were adequate and voted to conduct a full review.

With regard to sugar from the European Union, Inv. No. 104–TAA–7 (Review), the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response was adequate, ² and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission further determined that other circumstances warranted a full review.³

With regard to sugar from Belgium, France, and Germany, Invs. Nos. AA1921–198–200 (Review), the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group

¹The notice of institution for all of the subject reviews was published in the **Federal Register** on Oct. 1, 1998 (63 FR 52759).

² Commissioner Askey dissenting.

³ Commissioner Crawford dissenting.

response was inadequate,⁴ and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission further determined that other circumstances warranted full reviews.⁵

A record of the Commissioners' votes and statements are available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: January 26, 1999. By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–2229 Filed 1–29–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Justice Management Division; Information Resources Management/ Telecommunications Services Staff Meeting of the Global Criminal Justice Information Network Ad Hoc Bylaws Committee

AGENCY: Justice Management Division, Information Resources Management, Telecommunications Services, Justice. ACTION: Notice of meeting of the Global Criminal Justice Information Network Ad Hoc Bylaws Committee.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92–463), as amended, notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Global Criminal Justice Information Network Ad Hoc Bylaws Committee will be held on February 10, 1999. The Group will meet from 8:30 a.m.-1 p.m. at the Grand Hyatt Washington Hotel, located at 1000 H Street, NW., Washington, DC 20001. The Bylaws Committee will meet to determine the internal structure of the Global Advisory Committee in order to facilitate the accomplishment of its activities as identified under the National Performance Review's "Access America" Initiative A07. This meeting will be open to the public. Any interested person must register two (2) weeks in advance of the meeting. Registrations will then be accepted on a space available basis. For information on how to register, contact Kathy Albert, the Designated Federal Employee (DFE), 901 E Street, NW, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20530, or call (202)

514–3337. Interested persons whose registrations have been accepted may be permitted to participate in the discussions at the discretion of the meeting chairman and with the approval of the DFE.

If you need special accommodations due to a disability, please contact Komita Primalani at (202) 637–4927 at least seven (7) days prior to the meeting.

Further information with reference to this meeting can be obtained from Kathy Albert, the DFE, 901 E Street, NW, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20530, or call (202) 514–3337.

Dated: January 27, 1999.

Kathy Albert,

Global Network Coordinator, Telecommunications Services Staff, Information Resources Management, Justice Management Division, Department of Justice. [FR Doc. 99–2333 Filed 1–29–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–AR–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-160]

Notice and Solicitation of Comments Pursuant to 10 CFR 20.1405 and 10 CFR 50.82(b)(5) Concerning Proposed Action to Decommission Georgia Institute of Technology Georgia Tech Research Reactor

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has received an application from the Georgia Institute of Technology dated July 1, 1998, for a license amendment approving its proposed decommissioning plan for the Georgia Tech Research Reactor (Facility License No. R–97) located in the Neely Nuclear Research Center on the campus of the Georgia Institute of Technology in Atlanta, Georgia.

In accordance with 10 CFR 20.1405, the Commission is providing notice and soliciting comments from local and State governments in the vicinity of the site and any Indian Nation or other indigenous people that have treaty or statutory rights that could be affected by the decommissioning. This notice and solicitation of comments is published pursuant to 10 CFR 20.1405, which requires publication in the **Federal Register** and in a forum such as local newspapers, letters to State or local organizations, or other appropriate forum that is readily accessible to individuals in the vicinity of the site. Comments should be provided within 60 days of the date of this notice in accordance with 10 CFR 20.1007,

"Communications," to the Executive

Director for Operations, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

Further, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.82(b)(5), notice is also provided of the Commission's intent to approve the plan by amendment, subject to such conditions and limitations as it deems appropriate and necessary, if the plan demonstrates that decommissioning will be performed in accordance with the regulations in this chapter and will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

A copy of the application is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, at 2120 L Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20037.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 25th day of January 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Seymour H. Weiss,

Director, Non-Power Reactors and Decommissioning Project Directorate, Division of Reactor Program Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. [FR Doc. 99–2305 Filed 1–29–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-331]

IES Utilities Inc.; Central Iowa Power Cooperative, Corn Belt Power Cooperative; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR– 49, issued to IES Utilities Inc., Central Iowa Power Cooperative, and Corn Belt Power Cooperative (the licensees), for operation of the Duane Arnold Energy Center (DAEC) located in Linn County, Iowa.

The proposed amendment would revise Technical Specification (TS) Surveillance Requirement (SR) 3.8.1.7 to better match plant conditions during testing by clarifying which voltage and frequency limits are applicable during the transient and steady state portions of the diesel generator start.

The licensee requested that this proposed amendment be processed as an exigent request, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91(a)(6). The exigency is created by the existing TS surveillance, SR 3.8.1.7,

⁴Chairman Bragg dissenting.

⁵ Commissioners Crawford and Askey dissenting.