

**Procedural Requirements****A. Review Under Executive Order 12866**

This rule is issued with respect to a military function of the Defense Department and the provisions of Executive Order 12866 do not apply.

**B. Review Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act**

These rules have been reviewed under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (Pub. L. 96-354), which requires the preparation of a regulatory flexibility analysis for any regulation that will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities (i.e., small businesses and small Governments). The Corps expects that the economic impact of the establishment of this restricted area would have practically no impact on the public, no anticipated navigational hazard or interference with existing waterway traffic and accordingly, certifies that this proposal if adopted, will have no significant economic impact on small entities.

**C. Review Under the National Environmental Policy Act**

An environmental assessment has been prepared for this action. We have concluded, based on the minor nature of the proposed additional restricted area regulations, that this action will not have a significant impact to the human environment, and preparation of an environmental impact statement is not required. The environmental assessment may be reviewed at the District Office listed at the end of **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**, above.

**D. Unfunded Mandates Act**

This rule does not impose an enforceable duty among the private sector and, therefore, is not a Federal private sector mandate and is not subject to the requirements of Section 202 or 205 of the Unfunded Mandates Act. We have also found under Section 203 of the Act, that small Governments will not be significantly and uniquely affected by this rulemaking.

**E. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General of the General Accounting Office**

Pursuant to Section 801(a)(1)(A) of the Administrative Procedure Act as amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, the Army has submitted a report containing this rule to the U.S. Senate,

House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the General Accounting Office. This rule is not a major rule within the meaning of Section 804(2) of the Administrative Procedure Act, as amended.

**List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 334**

Danger zones, navigation (water), transportation.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Corps amends 33 CFR Title 334, as follows:

**PART 334—DANGER ZONE AND RESTRICTED AREA REGULATIONS**

1. The authority citation for Part 334 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 40 Stat. 266 (33 U.S.C. 1) and 40 Stat. 892 (33 U.S.C. 3).

2. Section 334.200 is amended by revising the section heading; by revising the last sentence in paragraph (a)(1); and by revising paragraphs (b)(2) and (b)(3) and (c) to read as follows:

**§ 334.200 Chesapeake Bay, Point Lookout to Cedar Point; aerial and surface firing range and target area, U.S. Naval Air Station, Patuxent River, Maryland, danger zones.**

(a) \* \* \*  
(1) \* \* \* Aerial and surface firing and dropping of nonexplosive ordnance will be conducted throughout the year.

\* \* \* \* \*  
(b) \* \* \*  
(2) *The area.* A circular area with a radius of 1000 yards having its center at latitude 38 degrees 02 minutes 18 seconds longitude 76 degrees 09 minutes 26 seconds identified as Hannibal Target.

(3) *The regulations.* Nonexplosive projectiles and bombs will be dropped at frequent intervals in the target areas. Hooper and Hannibal target areas shall be closed to navigation at all times, except for vessels engaged in operational and maintenance activities as directed by the Commanding Officer of the U.S. Naval Air Station, Patuxent River, Maryland. No person in the waters, vessel, or other craft shall enter or remain in the closed area or climb on targets except on prior written approval of the Commanding Officer, U.S. Naval Air Station, Patuxent River, Maryland.

(c) *Enforcement.* The regulations in this section shall be enforced by the Commanding Officer of the Naval Air Station, Patuxent River, Maryland and such agencies as he or she may designate.

Dated: February 17, 1999.

**Eric R. Potts,**

*Colonel, Corps of Engineers, Executive Director of Civil Works.*

[FR Doc. 99-8609 Filed 4-14-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-41-P

**GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION****41 CFR Chapter 301**

[FTR Amdt. 75—1998 Edition]

RIN 3090-AG86

**Federal Travel Regulation; General and Temporary Duty (TDY) Travel Allowances (Maximum Per Diem Rates)**

**AGENCY:** Office of Governmentwide Policy, GSA.

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects entries listed in the prescribed maximum per diem rates for locations within the continental United States (CONUS) contained in a final rule correction appearing in the **Federal Register** of Wednesday, February 10, 1999 (64 FR 6550).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 1, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jim Harte, Travel and Transportation Management Policy Division, telephone (202) 501-1538.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In rule document 99-3085 beginning at 64 FR 6550 in the issue of Wednesday, February 10, 1999, make the following corrections:

**Appendix A to Chapter 301—[Corrected]**

1. On page 6555, under the State of Florida, under the entry Vero Beach, revise the seasonal date of "(May 1–December 31)" to read "(May 1–January 31)".

2. On page 6563, under the State of Virginia, under the entry Virginia Beach\*, revise the seasonal dates "(June 1–August 31)" to read "(May 1–September 30)" and "(September 1–May 31)" to read "(October 1–April 30)", respectively.

The corrected text should read as follows:

**Appendix A to Chapter 301—Prescribed Maximum per Diem Rates for CONUS**

\* \* \* \* \*

Per diem locality: key city <sup>1</sup>	County and/or other defined location <sup>2,3</sup>	Maximum lodging amount (room rate only—no taxes)	+	M&IE rate	=	Maximum per diem rate <sup>4</sup>
		(a)		(b)		(c)
FLORIDA						
Vero Beach	Indian River					
(February 1–April 30)		72		38		110
(May 1–January 31)		50		38		88
VIRGINIA						
Virginia Beach *	Virginia Beach (also Norfolk, Portsmouth and Chesapeake) *					
(May 1–September 30)		97		38		135
(October 1–April 30)		54		38		92

Dated: April 9, 1999.

**William T. Rivers,**

*Acting Director, Travel and Transportation Management Policy Division.*

[FR Doc. 99–9407 Filed 4–14–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820–34–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### 50 CFR Part 648

[Docket No. 981014259–8312–02; I.D. 040599E]

#### Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Fisheries; Adjustments to the 1999 Summer Flounder Commercial Quota

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Commercial quota adjustment for 1999.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains adjustments to the 1999 commercial

summer flounder quotas. This action complies with the regulations that implement the Fishery Management Plan for the Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Fisheries (FMP) that requires landings in excess of a state's individual quota be deducted from a state's respective quota for the following year. The public is advised that preliminary adjustments have been made and is informed of the revised quotas for the affected states.

**DATES:** Effective April 9, 1999, through December 31, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul H. Jones, Fisheries Policy Analyst, (978) 281–9273.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

NMFS published a document in the **Federal Register** on February 3, 1999 (64 FR 5196), announcing preliminary adjustments to the 1999 summer flounder commercial quotas. The document also corrected errors in Rhode Island's commercial summer flounder allocation specified in Table 1.—1999 State Commercial Quotas that was published on December 31, 1998 (63 FR 72203). Portions of the text describing

revisions made to Table 1 of the December 31 publication contained errors and some text was omitted. Therefore, NMFS published an additional document in the **Federal Register** on February 24 1999 (64 FR 9088), which corrected only the text portion of the preamble to the February 3, 1999, correction document related to the Rhode Island commercial summer flounder allocation.

Adjustment to the 1999 commercial summer flounder quotas is necessary because NMFS received data late from some state agencies. State data collections are incomplete for Connecticut, Maryland, Virginia, and North Carolina; therefore, further adjustments may be necessary for those states.

The preliminary 1998 landings and resulting overages for all states are given in Table 1. The resulting adjusted 1999 commercial quota for each state is given in Table 2. In Table 3, the adjustment has been made to maintain the incidental component of the commercial quota at 32.7 percent of the total (as recommended in the final specifications).

TABLE 1.—SUMMER FLOUNDER PRELIMINARY 1998 LANDINGS BY STATE

State	1998 quota		Preliminary 1998 landings		1998 overage	
	Lb	Kg <sup>1</sup>	Lb	Kg <sup>1</sup>	Lb	Kg <sup>1</sup>
ME	4,791	2,173	5,626	2,552	835	379
NH	51	23	0	0	0	0
MA	721,899	327,448	709,387	321,778	0	0
RI	1,742,583	790,422	1,715,716	778,249	0	0
CT	250,457	113,605	249,398	113,127	0	0