The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

### **Regulatory Impact**

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative. on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

## List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

## The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Airbus Industrie: Docket 96-NM-248-AD.

Applicability: Model A310 series airplanes on which Airbus Modifications 8888 and 8889 have not been accomplished; certificated in any category.

**Note 1:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability

provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To detect and correct fatigue cracking and corrosion around and under chafing plates of the wing root between fuselage frames (FR) 36 and FR 39, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Except as provided by paragraph (b) of this AD: Within 4 years since date of manufacture, or within 12 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, perform an inspection to detect discrepancies around and under the chafing plates of the wing root, in accordance with paragraph B. of the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A310-53-2069, Revision 1, dated September 19, 1995. If any discrepancy is found, prior to further flight, accomplish follow-on corrective actions (i.e. removal of corrosion, corrosion protection, high frequency eddy current inspection, x-ray inspection) as applicable, in accordance with the service bulletin. Repeat the inspections, as applicable, thereafter, at intervals specified in the service bulletin.

(b) If any discrepancy is found as a result of an inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, and Airbus Service Bulletin A310–53–2069, Revision 1, dated September 19, 1995, specifies to contact Airbus for an appropriate action: Prior to further flight, repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Where differences in the compliance times or corrective actions exist between the service bulletin and this AD, the AD prevails.

(c) Accomplishment of the replacement of the chafing plates in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A310–53–2070, dated October 3, 1994, constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirement of this AD.

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM-116.

(e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199

of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

**Note 3:** The subject of this AD is addressed in French airworthiness directive 96–008–175(B), dated January 3, 1996.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on February 12, 1998.

#### Gilbert L. Thompson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 98–4249 Filed 2–23–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

# Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

30 CFR Part 931

[SPATS No. NM-038-FOR]

#### **New Mexico Regulatory Program**

**AGENCY:** Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior. **ACTION:** Proposed rule; public comment period and opportunity for public hearing on proposed amendment.

**SUMMARY:** Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is announcing receipt of a proposed amendment to the New Mexico regulatory program (hereinafter, the "New Mexico program") under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). The proposed amendment consists of recodification of the New Mexico Surface Coal Mining Regulations. The amendment is intended to revise the New Mexico program to improve operational efficiency and assure that the New Mexico Surface Coal Mining Regulations are codified according to the New Mexico administrative procedures.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by 4 p.m., m.s.t., March 26, 1998. If requested, a public hearing on the proposed amendment will be held on March 23, 1998. Requests to present oral testimony at the hearing must be received by 4 p.m., m.s.t. on March 11, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be mailed or hand delivered to Willis Gainer at the address listed below.

Copies of the New Mexico program, the proposed amendment, and all written comments received in response to this document will be available for public review at the addresses listed below during normal business hours, Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. Each requester may receive

one free copy of the proposed amendment by contacting OSM's Albuquerque Field Office.

Willis Gainer, Director, Albuquerque Field Office, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 505 Marquette Avenue, NW., Suite 1200, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102. Mining and Minerals Division, New

Mining and Minerals Division, New Mexico Energy & Minerals Department, 2040 South Pacheco Street, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505, Telephone: (505) 827–5970.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Willis Gainer, Telephone: (505) 248–5096.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# I. Background on the New Mexico Program

On December 31, 1980, the Secretary of the Interior conditionally approved the New Mexico program. General background information on the New Mexico program, including the Secretary's findings, the disposition of comments, and the conditions of approval of the New Mexico program can be found in the December 31, 1980, **Federal Register** (45 FR 86459). Subsequent actions concerning New Mexico's program and program amendments can be found at 30 CFR 931.11, 931.15, 931.16, and 931.30.

## **II. Proposed Amendment**

By letter dated January 6, 1998, New Mexico submitted a proposed amendment (administrative record No. NM–795) to its program pursuant to SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1201 et seq.). New Mexico submitted the proposed amendment at its own initiative. New Mexico proposes to recodify the New Mexico Surface Coal Mining Regulations.

Specifically, New Mexico proposes to recodify its regulations from Coal Surface Mining Code Rule 80–1 (CSMC Rule 80–1), sections 1 through 15 and sections 19 through 34, to Title 19 (Natural Resources and Wildlife), Chapter 8 (Coal Mining), Part 2 (Coal Surface Mining) of the New Mexico Administrative Code (19 NMAC 8.2), Subparts 1 through 34. No substantive changes to the text of the regulations is proposed.

## **III. Public Comment Procedures**

In accordance with the provisions of 30 CFR 732.17(h), OSM is seeking comments on whether the proposed amendment satisfies the applicable program approval criteria of 30 CFR 732.15. If the amendment is deemed adequate, it will become part of the New Mexico program.

#### 1. Written Comments

Written comments should be specific, pertain only to the issues proposed in this rulemaking, and include explanations in support of the commenter's recommendations.

Comments received after the time indicated under DATES or at locations other than the Albuquerque Field Office will not necessarily be considered in the final rulemaking or included in the administrative record.

## 2. Public Hearing

Persons wishing to testify at the public hearing should contact the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT by 4:00 p.m., m.s.t. on March 11, 1998. Any disabled individual who has need for a special accommodation to attend a public hearing should contact the individual listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. The location and time of the hearing will be arranged with those persons requesting the hearing. If no one requests an opportunity to testify at the public hearing, the hearing will not be held.

Filing of a written statement at the time of the hearing is requested as it will greatly assist the transcriber.

Submission of written statements in advance of the hearing will allow OSM officials to prepare adequate responses and appropriate questions.

The public hearing will continue on the specified date until all persons scheduled to testify have been heard. Persons in the audience who have not been scheduled to testify, and who wish to do so, will be heard following those who have been scheduled. The hearing will end after all persons scheduled to testify and persons present in the audience who wish to testify have been heard.

## 3. Public Meeting

If only one person requests an opportunity to testify at a hearing, a public meeting, rather than a public hearing, may be held. Persons wishing to meet with OSM representatives to discuss the proposed amendment may request a meeting by contacting the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. All such meetings will be open to the public and, if possible, notices of meetings will be posted at the locations listed under ADDRESSES. A written summary of each meeting will be made a part of the administrative record.

#### **IV. Procedural Determinations**

#### 1. Executive Order 12866

This rule is exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review).

## 2. Executive Order 12988

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (Civil Justice Reform) and has determined that this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments since each such program is drafted and promulgated by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15, and 732.17(h)(10), decisions on proposed State regulatory programs and program amendments submitted by the States must be based solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR Parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

### 3. National Environmental Policy Act

No environmental impact statement is required for this rule since section 702(d) of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d)) provides that agency decisions on proposed State regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)).

## 4. Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 *et seq.*).

## 5. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). The State submittal that is the subject of this rule is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, this rule will ensure that

existing requirements previously promulgated by OSM will be implemented by the State. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the counterpart Federal regulations.

## 6. Unfunded Mandates

This rule will not impose a cost of \$100 million or more in any given year on any governmental entity or the private sector.

### List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 931

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: February 17, 1998.

#### Richard J. Seibel,

Regional Director, Western Regional Coordinating Center.

[FR Doc. 98–4619 Filed 2–23–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–05–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

### Office of the Secretary

## 32 CFR Part 212

[DoD Instruction 1000.15]

RIN 0790-AG53

# Private Organizations on DoD Installations

**AGENCY:** Assistant Secretary of Defense for Force Management Policy, DoD.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The proposed revision of this part will ensure that private organizations operating on DoD installations do so in accordance with parameters established for their authorization and support. Private organizations are self-sustaining, non-Federal entities which operate on DoD installations outside the scope of any official capacity as officers, employees, or agents of the Federal Government.

**DATES:** Comments are requested by April 27, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Forward comments to: ODASD (PSF&E), Room 1B700, 4000 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–4000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martin S. Thomas III, LTC, USA, (703) 614–3112.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# Executive Order 12866, "Regulatory Planning and Review"

I, Francis M. Rush, Jr., Acting Assistant Secretary of Defense for Force Management Policy, hereby determine that 32 CFR part 212 is not a significant regulatory action. The rule does not:

(1) Have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more or adversely affect in a material way the economy; a sector of the economy; productivity; competition; jobs; the environment; public health or safety; or State, local, or tribal governments or communities:

(2) Create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency;

(3) Materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs, or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or

(4) Raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in this Executive Order.

# Public Law 96-354, "Regulatory Flexibility Act" (5 U.S.C. 601)

I, Frank M. Rush, Jr., Acting Assistant Secretary of Defense for Force Management Policy, hereby certify that this rule is not subject to the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601) because it would not, if promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The primary effect of this rule will not be on small businesses, but on private organizations operating on DoD installations as the procedures for their authorization and support have been redefined and reestablished in this proposed rule.

## Public Law 104-13, "Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995" (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35)

I, Francis M. Rush, Jr., Acting Assistant Secretary of Defense for Force Management Policy, hereby certify that CFR part 212 does not impose any reporting or recordkeeping requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

## List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 212

DoD installations, Federal buildings and facilities, Private organizations.

Accordingly, 32 CFR part 212 is proposed to be revised to read as follows:

# PART 212—PRIVATE ORGANIZATIONS ON DOD INSTALLATIONS

Sec.

- 212.1 Reissuance and purpose.
- 212.2 Applicability.
- 212.3 Definitions.
- 212.4 Policy.
- 212.5 Responsibilities.
- 212.6 Procedures.

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 301.

### § 212.1 Reissuance and purpose.

This part:

- (a) Revises 32 CFR part 212.
- (b) Implements policy in DoD Directive 5124.5.1
- (c) Updates responsibilities and procedures to define and reestablish parameters for private organizations located on DoD installations for their authorization and support.

# § 212.2 Applicability.

This part applies to:

- (a) The Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD), the Military Departments, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the Combatant Commands, the Defense Agencies, and DoD Field Activities (hereafter referred to collectively as the "DoD Components").
- (b) Private organizations authorized to operate on DoD installations.

#### § 212.3 Definitions.

- (a) *DoD Installation.* A location, facility, or activity owned, leased, assigned to, controlled, or occupied by a DoD Component.
- (b) Private Organizations. Self-sustaining and non-Federal entities, incorporated or unincorporated, which are operated on DoD installations with the written consent of the installation commander or higher authority, by individuals acting exclusively outside the scope of any official capacity as officers, employees, or agents of the Federal Government.

## § 212.4 Policy.

It is DoD policy under DoD Directive 5124.5 that procedures be established for the operation of private organizations on DoD installations to prevent the official sanction, endorsement, or support by DoD Components except as in 32 CFR part 84. Private organizations are not entitled to sovereign immunity and privileges accorded to Federal entities and instrumentalities. Private organizations are not Federal entities and are not be treated as such, in order to avoid conflicts of interest and unauthorized expenditures of appropriated, commissary surcharge, or nonappropriated funds.

## § 212.5 Responsibilities.

(a) The Assistant Secretary of Defense for Force Management Policy, under the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, shall be responsible for all policy matters and OSD oversight for the monitoring of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Copies may be obtained, if needed, from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS), 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.