covered in this or any previous review, the cash deposit rate will be 8.10 percent, the "all others" rate established in the LTFV investigation. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review. Furthermore, The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: February 2, 1998.

#### Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary, Import Administration. [FR Doc. 98–3200 Filed 2–6–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-427-812]

Calcium Aluminate Flux From France; Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Intent To Revoke Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of initiation and preliminary results of changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review, and intent to revoke order.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 9, 1998.

SUMMARY: In response to a December 12, 1997 request from Lafarge Aluminates and Lafarge Calcium Aluminates (Lafarge), the sole respondent in this case, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is initiating a changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review and issuing an intent to revoke the order on calcium aluminate flux from France. Based on the fact that Lehigh Portland Cement, the petitioner, has expressed no interest

in the importation and sales of calcium aluminate flux, we have preliminarily determined to revoke the antidumping duty order on calcium aluminate flux from France.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Maureen McPhillips or Linda Ludwig, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–0193 or (202) 482–3833.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Applicable Statute and Regulations**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (62 FR 27296, May 19, 1997).

#### **Background**

On March 25, 1994, the Department published the final determination in the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation on calcium aluminate flux from France, and subsequently published an antidumping duty order on June 13, 1994 (59 FR 30337). On December 12, 1997, Lafarge, the respondent, requested that the Department conduct a changed circumstances administrative review to determine whether a Lehigh Portland Cement (Lehigh), the petitioner in the original investigation, continues to have an interest in the antidumping duty order on calcium aluminate flux. Based on information provided by Lafarge's customers and contacts in the industry, Lafarge asserts that Lehigh is not currently producing calcium aluminate flux and that it does not intend to continue to supply calcium aluminate flux to U.S. customers in the future. If we find that Lehigh is no longer a producer of calcium aluminate flux and therefore has no further interest in the underlying order, Lafarge requests that the Department revoke the antidumping duty order based on these changed circumstances.

Subsequent to Lafarge's request for a changed circumstances administrative review, Lehigh, the petitioner and the sole U.S. producer of the subject merchandise during the original investigation, informed the Department that it had no interest in continuing the

antidumping duty order on calcium aluminate flux from France (see Memorandum to the File, January 28, 1998).

## **Scope of the Review**

Imports covered by this review are shipments of CA flux, other than white, high purity CA flux. This product contains by weight more than 32 percent but less than 65 percent alumina and more than one percent each of iron and silica.

CA flux is currently classifiable under the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS) subheading 2523.10.0000. The HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and U.S. Customs' purposes only. The written description of the scope of this order remains dispositive.

## Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Intent to Revoke

In accordance with Section 751(b) of the Act and section 351.216 of the Department's regulations, the Department is initiating a changed circumstances review on calcium aluminate flux from France to determine whether revocation of the order is warranted. Section 782(h)(2) of the Act and section 351.222(g)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations further provide that the Department may revoke an order if it determines that producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product have no further interest in the order. In addition, in the event the Department determines that expedited action is warranted, section 351.221(c)(3)(ii) of the regulations permits the Department to combine the notices of initiation and preliminary results. We believe that expedited action is warranted in this case due to Lafarge's assertion that Lehigh has ceased production of the subject merchandise altogether in the United States.

Based on an affirmative statement of no interest in the order by the Petitioner, as memorialized in our January 28, 1998 Memorandum to the File, we have preliminarily determined that the order on calcium aluminate flux is no longer of interest to domestic interested parties. Because we have concluded that expedited action is warranted, we are combining these notices of initiation and preliminary results. Therefore, we are hereby notifying the public of our intent to revoke the antidumping duty order on calcium aluminate flux from France.

Interested parties may submit case briefs and/or written comments no later

than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in such briefs or comments, may be filed no later than 37 days after the date of publication. The Department will issue the final results of this changed circumstances review, which will include the results of its analysis raised in any such written comments, no later than 270 days after the date on which this review was initiated, or within 45 days if all parties agree to our preliminary determination. See section 351.216(e) of the Department's regulations.

If final revocation occurs, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to end the suspension of liquidation and to refund, with interest, any estimated antidumping duties collected for all unliquidated entries of calcium aluminate flux from France. The current requirement for a cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties on all subject merchandise will continue unless and until it is modified pursuant to the final results of this changed circumstances review.

This initiation of review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(b) of the Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(b)), and 19 C.F.R. 351.216.
Dated: February 3, 1998.

#### Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98–3211 Filed 2–6–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## **International Trade Administration**

[A-588-824]

Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Japan: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limit for preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the review of certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Japan. This review covers the period August 1, 1996 through July 31, 1997.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 9, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Doreen Chen, Robert Bolling or Stephen Jacques at 202 482–0413, 482–3434 or 482–1391, respectively; Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230.

## The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Rounds Agreements Act.

## **Extension of Preliminary Results**

The Department has determined that it is not practicable to issue its preliminary results within the original time limit. (See Decision Memorandum from Joseph A. Spetrini, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Enforcement Group III to Robert LaRussa, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, January 30, 1998). The Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until July 2, 1998 in accordance with Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. The Department is also extending the time limit for submission of factual information up to an additional 60 days.

The deadline for the final results of this review will continue to be 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: January 30, 1998.

#### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 98–3197 Filed 2–6–98; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## **International Trade Administration**

[A-201-802]

Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Gray Portland Cement From Mexico

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 9, 1998.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for the final results of the 1996–1997 administrative review for the antidumping order on Gray Portland Cement from Mexico, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (hereinafter, "the Act").

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kirsten Smith, Kristen Stevens, or Steven Pressing, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W.,

Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone (202) 482–3793.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Act, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of an administrative review if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within the statutory time limit of 365 days. In the instant case, the Department has determined that it is not practicable to complete the review within the statutory time limit.

Since it is not practicable to complete this review within the time limits mandated by the Act (245 days from the last day of the anniversary month for preliminary results, 120 additional days for final results), in accordance with Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time limit as follows:

Product	Country	Review period	Initiation date	Prelim due date	Final due date*
Gray Portland Cement (A-201-802)	Mexico	8/1/96–7/31/98	9/25/97	8/31/98	12/30/98

<sup>\*</sup>The Department shall issue the final determination 120 days after the publication of the preliminary determination.