compete for the allocation on an equal basis. Nonetheless, the Commission believes that there may be legitimate reasons why an unlisted company may want to remain with the related company's specialist unit or may believe it is more appropriate to be allocated to a new specialist unit rather than the one that has dealings with the related company. For the same reasons, the Commission believes that the provisions which allow a listing company to choose Option 2 and request that the Allocation Committee include or exclude the specialist unit registered in the related listed stock are reasonable. Accordingly, the Commission finds these provisions are consistent with the Act.

The Commission finds good cause for approving proposed Amendment No. 1 prior to the thirtieth day after the date of publication of notice of filing thereof in the Federal Register. The Commission notes that Amendment No. 1 further clarifies the process by which listings are allocated to specialist units and raises no new regulatory issues. Specifically, Amendment No. 1 interprets the Policy's provisions relating to interviews between listing companies and specialist units, written materials provided to listing companies by specialist units, and specialist units' contact with listing companies during the six month period prior to the solicitation of allocation applications and helps to strengthen the proposal and ensure compliance with the Policy. Regarding the deletion of the proposal to permit listing companies to state their preference not to be traded by units trading competitors' stock, the Commission notes that the elimination of this provision, which would have further restricted the pool of specialist units to be allocated a particular listing, raises no issues of regulatory concern. Finally, the Commission notes that no comments were received on the publication of the proposal or at the time of the approval and subsequent extensions of the pilot program. Accordingly, the Commission believes that good cause exists, consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,²³ to approve Amendment No. 1 to the NYSE's proposed rule change on an accelerated basis.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning Amendment No. 1. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange

²³ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. Copies of all such filings will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the NYSE. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-NYSE-97-30 and should be submitted by February 18, 1998.

V. Conclusion

The Commission believes that the Exchange's amended pilot program, which allow greater listing company input, has been crafted to ensure that allocation decisions continue to be based primarily on specialist performance and objective criteria. In addition, the Commission believes that the procedures adopted by the NYSE in the Policy will help to identify, minimize and penalize potential conflicts arising out of the relationships between specialist units and listing companies and ensure the continued integrity of the allocation process. Based on this, we believe the permanent approval of Option 2, along with the amendments to the Policy, are reasonable and consistent with the requirements of the Act applicable to a national securities exchange, and in particular, with the requirements of Section 6 of the Act 24 and the rules and regulations thereunder.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act,²⁵ that the proposed rule change (SR–NYSE–97–30), including Amendment No. 1, is approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority. 26

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–1974 Filed 1–27–98; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Deadline for Submission of Application for Airport Grant Funds Under the Airport Improvement Program (AIP) for Fiscal Year 1998

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announces May 1, 1998, as the deadline for having on file with the FAA an acceptable application for airport grant funds under the AIP for fiscal year 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Stanley Lou, Manager, Programming Branch, Airports Financial Assistance Division, Office of Airport Planning and Programming, APP–520, on (202) 267– 8809.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 47105(f) of Title 49, United States Code, provides that the sponsor of each airport to which entitlement funds are apportioned shall notify the Secretary, by such time and in a form as prescribed by the Secretary, of the sponsor's intent to apply for passenger and cargo entitlement funds. Notification of the sponsor's intent to apply during fiscal year 1998 for any of its entitlement funds, including those unused from prior years, shall be in the form of a project application (SF 424) submitted to the FAA field office no later than May 1, 1998.

This notice is promulgated to expedite and prioritize grants in the final quarter of the fiscal year. Absent an acceptable application by May 1, FAA intends to defer an airport's entitlement funds until the next fiscal year.

Issued in Washington, DC, January 22, 1998.

Stan Lou,

Manager, Programming Branch.
[FR Doc. 98–2015 Filed 1–27–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) Approvals and Disapprovals

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. ACTION: Monthly Notice of PFC Approvals and Disapprovals. In December 1997, there were seven applications approved. This notice also includes information on two

²⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

^{25 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

^{26 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

applications, approved in November 1997, inadvertently left off the November 1997 notice. Additionally, six approved amendments to previously approved applications are listed.

SUMMARY: The FAA publishes a monthly notice, as appropriate, of PFC approvals and disapprovals under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158). This notice is published pursuant to paragraph d of § 158.29.

PFC Applications Approved

Public Agency: City of Lawton/ Lawton Municipal Airport Authority, Lawton, Oklahoma.

Application Number: 97–02–C–00–LAW.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$393,200.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: January 1, 1998.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: March 1, 2000.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: None.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:

Relocate guidance signs.

Correct ponding on runway 17/35.

Commute-a-walk system.

Conduct ecological study.

Correct structural problems with aircraft rescue and firefighting (ARFF) station and snow plow bay.

Decision Date: November 7, 1997. For Further Information Contact: Ben Cuttery, Southwest Region Airports Division, (817) 222–5614.

Public Agency: Central Wisconsin Joint Airport Board acting on behalf of the Counties of Marathon and Portage, Mosinee, Wisconsin.

Application Number: 97–03–C–00–CWA.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$3,529,500.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: November 1, 2012.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: November 1, 2021.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: On-demand air taxi operators operating aircraft with less than 20 seats.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public

agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Central Wisconsin Airport.

Brief Description of Project Approved for Collection and Use: Runway 17/35 and taxiway extension.

Decision Date: November 18, 1997. For Further Information Contact: Nancy M. Nistler, Minneapolis Airports District Office, (612) 713–4361.

Public Agency: Bloomington-Normal Airport Authority, Bloomington, Illinois.

Application Number: 97–02–C–00–BMI.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$5,752,503.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: December 1, 2010.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: November 1, 2021.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: Air taxi/commercial operators filing FAA Form 1800–31.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Central Illinois Regional Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use: PFC program development.

Runway 20 Federal Aviation Regulation Part 150 land acquisition. Terminal building addition. Terminal jetway facility. Mobile air stairs.

Extend runway 2/20 600 feet. Purchase airfield deicing truck. Purchase two commutal walks. Expand auto parking facility. Baggage claim improvements. Passenger lift device.

Brief Description of Project Approved for Collection Only: Construct new terminal development area.

Decision Date: December 5, 1997. For Further Information Contact: Denis Rewerts, Chicago Airports District Office, (847) 294–7195.

Public Agency: Luzerne and Lackawanna Counties Bi-County Board of Commissioners, Avoca, Pennsylvania (Wilkes-Barre/Scranton Airport).

Application Number: 97–02–U–00–AVP.

Application Type: Use PFC revenue. PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total PFC Revenue to be Used in This Decision: \$3,312,694.

Charge Effective Date: December 1, 1993/December 1, 1997.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: March 1, 2000.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFC's: No change from previous decision.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Use:

Design passenger terminal.

Design passenger terminal apron.

Design ARFF building.

Construct parallel taxiway—runway 10/28.

Construct ARFF building.

Construct phase I-air cargo apron.

Decision Date: December 10, 1997. For Further Information Contact: John Carter, Harrisburg Airports District Office, (717) 782–4548.

Public Agency: Greater Orlando Aviation Authority, Orlando, Florida. Application Number: 98–05–C–00–

MCO. *Application Type:* Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$231,750,000.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: June 1, 1998.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: April 1, 2005.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFC's: None

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use at Orlando International Airport (MCO):

Replace four additional high mast light poles.

North crossfield taxiway construction. Upgrade ARFF vehicle Crash-84 and replace ARFF vehicle Crash-82. Loop road taper improvement.

Airside 2—final design and construction.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection at MCO and Use at Orlando Executive Airport:

West quadrant improvements (phase III C).

Construct Taxiway C-2 and fillet joiner. Rehabilitate north west quadrant ramp. Parallel taxiway west of runway 13/31. Replace direct buried airfield lights.

Rehabilitate runway 13/31 and pave taxiway shoulders.

Decision Date: December 11, 1997. For Further Information Contact: Vernon Rupinta, Orlando Airports District Office, (407) 812–6331, extension 24.

Public Agency: Houghton County Memorial Airport Committee, Hancock, Michigan.

Application Number: 97–05–C–00–CMX.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$71,634.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: January 1, 1998.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: July 1, 1999.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: July 1, 1999.

Člass of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFC's: Air taxi/commercial operators filing FAA Form 1800–31.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Houghton County Memorial Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:

Construct security fence (phase I). Rehabilitate high intensity runway lighting—runway 13/31.

General aviation apron expansion—200 feet by 600-fee.

Sanitary sewer upgrade—phase I lift station.

Terminal building heating, ventilating, and air conditioning system rehabilitation.

Snow removal equipment (front end loader).

Rehabilitate runway 13/31/ engineering only.

Brief Description of Project Approved in Part for Collection and Use: Reimbursement of charges for PFC application preparation.

Determination: Partially approved. The public agency requested reimbursement for costs associated with both the 95–03–U–00–CMX and 96–04–C–00–CMX applications. However, the public agency was previously reimbursed for consultant services for the 95–03–U–00–CMX application under the 96–04–C–00–CMX approval. Cost overruns for the 95–03–U–00–CMX have been determined to not be eligible. Therefore, the approval for this project was limited to costs associated the 96–04–C–00–CMX application.

Decision Date: December 16, 1997. For Further Information Contact: Jon Gilbert, Detroit Airports District Office, (313) 487–7281.

Public Agency: City of Manchester, New Hampshire.

Application Number: 97–05–C–00–MHT.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$2,331,162.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: February 1, 1998.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: October 1, 1998.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFC's: On-demand air taxi/commercial operators that (1) do not enplane or deplane passengers at the airport's main passenger terminal building and (2) enplane less than 200 passengers per year at the airport.

Determination: Approved. Based on information submitted by the public agency, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Manchester Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:

Acquire snow removal equipment. Construct two remote aircraft parking aprons.

Brief Description of Project Withdrawn: Acquire snow removal equipment storage building.

Determination: This project was withdrawn by the public agency by letter dated December 3, 1997. Therefore, the FAA did not rule on this project in this decision.

Decision Date: December 17, 1997. For Further Information Contact: Priscilla Scott, New England Region Airports Division, (617) 238–7614.

Public Agency: Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport Board, Dallas/Fort Worth, Texas.

Application Number: 97–03–C–00–DFW.

Application Type: Impose and use a

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total Approved Net PFC Revenue: \$249,093,427.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: October 1, 1998.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: September 1, 2001.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFC's: Air taxi/commercial operators operating under a certificate authorizing transport of passengers for hire under Part 135 that file FAA Form 1800–31.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:

High speed exits E3 and M3 and cross taxiways EL and WL.

Runway 17C ARFF road realignment. Taxilane 23 (west support). ARFF vehicles.

Drainage rehabilitation—diagonal runways.

Replace airfield concrete slabs taxiways 18, 19, and G.

Rehabilitate Carbon Road.

West Airfield Drive improvements. Industrial waste system study.

East Airfield Drive extension.

West air freight (west 18th Street) improvements.

Terminal 4E apron rehabilitation.
Drainage/rehabilitation of runway 17R/35L.

Conduct final environmental impact statement revalidation on runway 16/34.

Conduct airport pavement conditions study.

Brief Description of Projects Approved in Part for Collection and Use: Terminal 2W–A, gate expansion, renovation, and associated development.

Determination: Approved in part. Project elements such as ramp services areas, flight services areas, flight operations areas, and airline employee office space have been identified as ineligible through an FAA analysis and have been excluded from the amount approved for collection and use. In addition, costs associated with other items such as mechanical rooms, electrical rooms, wire closets, and facilities maintenance rooms have been prorated based on the eligible area of the terminal.

General aviation and 3W hardstand relocation.

Relocation: Approved in part. The portion of the project for the in-kind replacement of the general aviation terminal is limited in eligibility to the estimated cost to demolish and remove the existing building, minus any salvage value in accordance with paragraph 595(a) of FAA Order 5100.38A, AIP Handbook (October 24, 1989).

Brief Description of Project Approved for Collection Only: Runway 16/34, west development.

Brief Description of Projects Disapproved: Fiber optics communication system.

Determination: Disapproved. This project was determined to exceed the requirements necessary to serve the security system mandated by Part 107.14. Therefore, this project is ineligible in accordance with paragraph 563 of FAA Order 5100.38A, AIP Handbook (October 24, 1989).

Terminal 2E/3E graphics.

Determination: Disapproved. This project was determined to benefit terminals which are leased on a long-term, exclusive use basis. Therefore, this project is ineligible in accordance with Part 158, Appendix A(5).

Decision Date: December 18, 1997.

For Further Information Contact: Ben Guttery, Southwest Region Airports Division, (817) 222–5614.

Public Agency: City of Manchester, New Hampshire.

Application Number: 98–06–U–00–MHT.

Application Type: Use PFC revenue. *PFC Level:* \$3.00.

Total PFC Revenue To Be Used in This Decision: \$1,626,000.

Charge Effective Date: January 1, 1993.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: October 1, 1998.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFC's: No change from previous decision.

Brief Description of Project Approved for Use: Upgrade runway 6/24.

Decision Date: December 30, 1997.

For Further Information Contact: Priscilla Scott, New England Region Airports Division, (617) 238–7614.

AMENDMENTS TO PFC APPROVALS

Amendment No., city, state	Amendment approved date	Original approved net PFC revenue	Amended approved net PFC revenue	Original estimated charge expiration date	Amended estimated charge expi- ration date
96-02-C-02-DFW, Dallas/Forth Worth, TX 97-02-C-01-LAW, Lawton, OK 92-01-C-03-MHT, Manchester, NH 96-02-U-01-MHT, Manchester, NH 96-03-U-01-MHT, Manchester, NH 94-01-C-01-ICT, Wichita, KS		\$96,803,051 393,200 5,679,523 1,100,000 177,000 4,259,535	\$97,530,051 405,200 4,394,523 1,400,000 0 4,468,400	05/01/98 03/01/00 09/01/97 09/01/97 09/01/97 11/01/97	05/01/98 04/01/00 10/01/98 10/01/98 10/01/98 11/01/97

Issued in Washington, DC., on January 20, 1998

Eric Gabler,

Manager, Passenger Facility Charge Branch. [FR Doc. 98–2014 Filed 1–27–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Senior Executive Service; Departmental Performance Review Board

AGENCY: Treasury Department. **ACTION:** Notice of members of the Departmental Performance Review Board (PRB).

SUMMARY: Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4), this notice announces the appointment of members of the Departmental PRB. The purpose of this PRB is to review and make recommendations concerning proposed performance appraisals, ratings, bonuses and other appropriate personnel actions for incumbents of SES positions for which the Secretary or Deputy Secretary is the appointing authority. These positions include SES bureau heads, deputy bureau heads and certain other positions. The Board will perform PRB functions for other key bureau positions if requested.

COMPOSITION OF DEPARTMENTAL PRB: The Board shall consist of at least three members. In the case of an appraisal of a career appointee, more than half the members shall consist of career appointees. The names and titles of the PRB members are as follows:

Nancy Killefer, Assistant Secretary for Management and Chief Financial Officer— Chairperson Kay Frances Dolan, Deputy Assistant Secretary (Human Resources) John P. Simpson, Deputy Assistant Secretary (Regulatory, Tariff & Trade Enforcement) Alex Rodriguez, Deputy Assistant Secretary (Administration)

William H. Gillers, Project Coordinator, Bureau of Engraving and Printing James E. Johnson, Assistant Secretary (Enforcement)

David A. Lebryk, Assistant Fiscal Assistant Secretary

Margrethe Lundsager, Deputy Assistant Secretary (Trade & Investment Policy) Mary E. Chaves, Director, Office of International Debt Policy

Jane L. Sullivan, Director, Office of Information Resources Management Joan Affleck-Smith, Director, Office of Financial Institutions Policy

John W. Magaw, Director, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

Samuel H. Banks, Deputy Commissioner, U.S. Customs Service

Vincette L. Goerl, Assistant Commissioner (Finance)/CFO, U.S. Customs Service Douglas M. Browning, Assistant

Commissioner (International Affairs), U.S. Customs Service

Lewis C. Merletti, Director, U.S. Secret Service

W. Ralph Basham, Assistant Director, Administration, U.S. Secret Service John P. Mitchell, Deputy Director, U.S. Mint Richard B. Calahan, Deputy Inspector General

Richard L. Gregg, Acting Commissioner, Financial Management Service

Thomas A. Ferguson, Acting Director, Bureau of Engraving and Printing

Michael P. Dolan, Deputy Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service

David A. Mader, Chief Officer, Management and Administration, Internal Revenue Service

Frederick V. Zeck, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of the Public Debt

Kenneth R. Schmalzbach, Assistant General Counsel (General Law & Ethics) Roberta K. McInerney, Assistant General Counsel (Banking & Finance)

DATES: Membership is effective January 28, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ronald A. Glaser, Department of the Treasury, Acting Director, Office of Personnel Policy, Annex Building, Room 4150, Pennsylvania Avenue at Madison Place, N.W., Washington, DC 20220, Telephone: (202) 622–1890.

This notice does not meet the Department's criteria for significant regulations.

Ronald A. Glaser,

Acting Director, Office of Personnel Policy. [FR Doc. 98–2052 Filed 1–27–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4810–25–M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Customs Service

TECRO/AIT Carnet Issuing and Guaranteeing Association

AGENCY: Customs Service, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: General Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice informs the public that Customs has selected the United States Council for International Business as the organization which will issue and guarantee TECRO/AIT carnets. These carnets are being issued pursuant to the TECRO/AIT Carnet Agreement which has been entered into between the Taipei Economic and Cultural Representative in the United States (TECRO) and the American Institute in Taiwan (AIT) for the temporary admission of goods,