### Final Results of Review

As a result of this review, we find that the following margins exist for the period February 1, 1996, through January 31, 1997:

Manufacturer/ exporter	Period	Margin (percent)
Panchmahal Facor	2/1/96–1/31/97 2/1/96–1/31/97	0

Parties to the proceeding may request disclosure within five days of the date of publication of this notice. The results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the review and for future deposits of estimated duties for the manufacturers/ exporters subject to this review. We have calculated an importer-specific duty assessment rate based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales made during the period of review ("POR") to the total value of subject merchandise entered during the POR. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results of this new shipper administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed companies will be the rates established in the final results of this new shipper review; (2) for companies not covered in this review, but covered in previous reviews or the original lessthan-fair-value investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the most recent rate established for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review or the original investigation, the cash deposit rate will be the "all others" rate of 12.45 percent established in the final determination of sales at less than fair value (59 FR 66915, December 28, 1994).

These deposit requirements will remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their

responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APOs") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d)(1). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This new shipper review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)), 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: April 13, 1998.

### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98–10415 Filed 4–20–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

# The Ohio State University, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 97–103. Applicant: The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model CM200. Manufacturer: Philips, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 63 FR 5364, February 2, 1998. Order Date: July 10, 1997.

Docket Number: 98–005. Applicant: University of California, Davis, Davis, CA 95618. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model LEEM III. Manufacturer: Elmitec Elektronenmikroskopie GmbH, Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 63 FR 11870, March 11, 1998. Order Date: December 3, 1996.

Docket Number: 98–012. Applicant: University of New Orleans, New Orleans, LA 70148. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model JEM–2010. Manufacturer: JEOL, Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 63 FR 12451, March 13, 1998. Order Date: January 8, 1998.

Docket Number: 98–014. Applicant: University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire, Eau Claire, WI 54702–4004. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model JEM–2010. Manufacturer: JEOL, Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 63 FR 12452, March 13, 1998. Order Date: December 1, 1997.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. Reasons: Each foreign instrument is a conventional transmission electron microscope (CTEM) and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring a CTEM. We know of no CTEM, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States either at the time of order of each instrument or at the time of receipt of application by the U.S. Customs Service.

### Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 98–10412 Filed 4–20–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

## University of Nebraska-Lincoln; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 97–102. Applicant: University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE 68588–0347. Instrument: Scanning Acoustic Microscope, Model KSI SAM 2000. Manufacturer: Kramer Scientific Instruments, Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 63 FR 5364, February 2, 1998.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides micron-scale resolution using operation at 1.0 GHz for local stiffness analysis of materials. The National Aeronautics and Space Administration advised March 26, 1998 that (1) this capability is pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

### Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 98–10411 Filed 4–20–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

### Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 98–019. Applicant: University of Minnesota, Department of Neurosurgery, Lions Research Building, 2001 Sixth Street, S.E., #421, Minneapolis, MN 55455. Instrument: Eye Tracking System. Manufacturer: Thomas Recording, Germany. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to record eye movements in studies of how the brain processes visual information to move our limbs.

The experiments require recording eye movements and arm movements and at the same time recording from brain cells in a monkey during visually guided movements. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: March 31, 1998.

Docket Number: 98-020. Applicant: North Carolina State University, Campus Box 7212, Raleigh, NC 27695-7212. Instrument: Mini 4-Pocket E-Beam Evaporator, Model EGC04. Manufacturer: Oxford Applied Research, United Kingdom. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be incorporated into a new type of electron microscope system which will be used for studies of the phenomena of epitaxial layer growth of electronic materials. The research will explore how films nucleate on different surfaces, and how strain is relaxed between films of different lattice constant. In addition, the instrument will be used in the course PY 699, Independent Research Studies to introduce graduate students into the techniques of research. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: April 1, 1998.

### Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 98–10413 Filed 4–20–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### **International Trade Administration**

### Environmental Technologies Trade Advisory Committee (ETTAC); Meeting

**AGENCY:** International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Technologies Trade Advisory Committee will hold a plenary meeting on May 1, 1998. The ETTAC was created on May 31, 1994, to advise the U.S. government on policies and programs to help expand U.S. exports for environmental products and services.

Date and Place: May 1, 1998, 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. The meeting will take place in Room 1414 of the Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

This is the first formal meeting of the newly appointed ETTAC. The focus of the meeting will be an orientation and introduction of new members. Among anticipated discussion items include committee priorities (eg., setting a work

plan), selection of new officers, and the status of ongoing priorities (eg., APEC trade liberalization, Climate Change, and water strategy and finance).

This program is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Sage Chandler, Department of Commerce, Room 1004, Washington, DC 20230. Seating is limited and will be on a first-come, first-served basis.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sage Chandler with The Office of Environmental Technologies Exports, Room 1003, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230, phone (202) 482–5225, facsimile (202) 482–5665, TDD (800) 833–8723.

Dated: April 9, 1998.

### Anne L. Alonzo,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Environmental Technologies Exports.

[FR Doc. 98–10407 Filed 4–16–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DR–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

### North American Free-Trade Agreement, Article 1904 NAFTA Panel Reviews; Notice of Termination of Panel Review

**AGENCY:** NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of termination of panel review.

**SUMMARY:** A Notice of Consent Motion to Terminate the Panel Review of the final countervailing determination made by the Secretaria de Comercio y Fomento Industrial, respecting Hydrogen Peroxide Originating in the USA was filed by Electroquimica Mexicana S.A. de C.V. on November 24, 1997. The same company filed the First Request for Panel Review with the Mexican Section of the NAFTA Secretariat pursuant to Article 1904 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement. This panel review was assigned Secretariat File Number MEX-97–1904–01 by the Mexican Section. Pursuant to Rule 71(2) of the Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Review, this panel review was terminated on December 22, 1997.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James R. Holbein, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue,