

A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of the denied person any item subject to the Regulations;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the denied person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the denied person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the denied person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the denied person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and that is owned, possessed or controlled by the denied person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the denied person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

III. After notice and opportunity for comment as provided in Section 766.23 of the Regulations, any person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to Tex-Co by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be subject to the provisions of this Order.

IV. This Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the Regulations where the only items involved that are subject to the Regulations are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

V. This Order is effective immediately and shall remain in effect until June 24, 2006.

VI. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to Tex-Co. This Order shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: July 15, 1997.

**Eileen M. Albanese,**

*Director, Office of Exporter Services.*

[FR Doc. 97-19515 Filed 7-23-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-M

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Bureau of Export Administration

#### Materials Processing Equipment Technical Advisory Committee; Notice of Open Meeting

A meeting of the Materials Processing Equipment Technical Advisory Committee will be held September 4, 1997, 9:00 a.m., in the Herbert C. Hoover Building, Room 1617M-2, 14th Street between Pennsylvania and Constitution Avenues, N.W., Washington, D.C. The Committee advises the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration with respect to technical questions that affect the level of export controls applicable to materials processing and related technology.

#### Agenda

1. Opening remarks by the Chairman.
2. Presentation of papers or comments by the public.
3. Preview of Wassenaar List format.
4. Review of "white paper" on machine tools.
5. Review of Nuclear Suppliers Group activities.
6. Discussion on post-shipment visit procedures.
7. Discussion on definition of "specially designed".

The meeting will be open to the public and a limited number of seats will be available. To the extent that time permits, members of the public may present oral statements to the Committee. Written statements may be submitted at any time before or after the meeting. However, to facilitate distribution of public presentation materials to Committee members, the Committee suggests that presenters forward the public presentation materials two weeks prior to the meeting date to the following address: Ms. Lee Ann Carpenter, OAS/EA MS: 3886C, Bureau of Export Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

For further information or copies of the minutes, contact Lee Ann Carpenter at 202-482-2583.

Dated: July 18, 1997.

**Lee Ann Carpenter,**

*Director, Technical Advisory Committee Unit.*

[FR Doc. 97-19441 Filed 7-23-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-M

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 60-97]

#### Foreign-Trade Zone 124—Gramercy, LA; Application for Subzone Status, Halter Marine, Inc. (Shipbuilding)

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the South Louisiana Port Commission, grantee of FTZ 124, requesting special-purpose subzone status for the shipbuilding facility of Halter Marine, Inc. (HMI), located in Lockport, Louisiana. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR Part 400). It was formally filed on July 16, 1997.

The HMI shipyard (133 acres, 270 employees) is located on State Highway 308, north of the City of Lockport (LaFourche Parish), Louisiana, and is used in the construction, repair, and conversion of commercial and military vessels for domestic and international customers. Foreign components used at the HMI shipyard (up to 20% of total) include propulsion units, main engines, casting plates, bow thrusters, and pilot chairs (1997 duty rate range: free-10%, *ad valorem*).

FTZ procedures would exempt HMI from Customs duty payments on the foreign components used in export activity. On its domestic sales, the company would be able to choose the duty rate that applies to finished oceangoing vessels (duty free) for the foreign-origin components noted above. The manufacturing activity conducted under FTZ procedures would be subject to the "standard shipyard restriction" applicable to foreign-origin steel mill products, which requires that full duties be paid on such items. Foreign-sourced steel mill products, such as pipe and plate, would be subject to the full Customs duties applicable to those items. The application indicates that the savings from FTZ procedures would help improve the facility's international competitiveness.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and three copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is September 22, 1997. Rebuttal

comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to October 7, 1997).

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the following locations:

Office of the Port Director, U.S. Customs Service, P.O. Box 490, 110 North Airline Avenue, Gramercy, LA 70052  
Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 3716, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: July 17, 1997.

**John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 97-19551 Filed 7-23-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-580-812]

#### Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Determination Not To Revoke Order In Part: Dynamic Random Access Memory Semiconductors of One Megabyte or Above From the Republic of Korea

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On March 18, 1997, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order and notice of intent not to revoke, in part, the antidumping duty order on dynamic random access memory semiconductors (DRAMs) of one megabyte or above from the Republic of Korea (61 FR 36029). The review covers exports of the subject merchandise to the United States by LG Semicon Co., Ltd. (LGS, formerly Goldstar Electron Co., Ltd.) and Hyundai Electronics Industries, Inc. (Hyundai). The period of review (POR) is May 1, 1995 through April 30, 1996. This is the third review period.

As a result of our analysis of the comments received, the antidumping margins have changed from those presented in our preliminary results.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 24, 1997.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas F. Futtner, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group II, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution

Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-3814.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR Part 353 (1997).

##### Background

On May 10, 1993, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (58 FR 27250) the antidumping duty order on DRAMs from the Republic of Korea. On May 8, 1996, the Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" of this antidumping duty order for the period May 1, 1995, through April 30, 1996 (61 FR 20791). In accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(a)(2), in May 1996, LGS and Hyundai (collectively the respondents) requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of their shipments of DRAMs to the United States during this period. In addition, both respondents requested that the Department revoke the antidumping order, in part, pursuant to section 353.25(a)(2) of the Department's regulations. We also received a request from the petitioner, Micron Technologies Inc., that an administrative review of these same two Korean manufacturers of DRAMs be conducted. On June 25, 1996, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review (61 FR 32771). Based upon the fact that we disregarded sales found to have been made below the cost of production (COP) in the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, which was the most recent period for which final results were available when this review was initiated, on the same date we automatically initiated an investigation to determine whether Hyundai and LGS made sales of subject merchandise below the COP during the POR.

On March 18, 1997, the Department published a notice of preliminary results of administrative review and intent not to revoke the order on DRAMs of one megabyte or above from the Republic of Korea (62 FR 12794). Case and rebuttal briefs were submitted on April 18, 1997, and April 29, 1997, respectively, by the petitioner, both respondents and the following interested parties: (1) Compaq Computer

Corporation (Compaq); (2) Digital Equipment Corporation (Digital), and (3) Dell Computer Corporation (Dell). At the request of LGS and Hyundai, a public hearing was held on May 5, 1997. The Department has now completed its administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

##### Scope of the Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of DRAMs of one megabyte and above from the Republic of Korea (Korea). Included in the scope are assembled and unassembled DRAMs of one megabyte and above. Assembled DRAMs include all package types. Unassembled DRAMs include processed wafers, uncut die and cut die. Processed wafers produced in Korea, but packaged, or assembled into memory modules in a third country, are included in the scope; wafers produced in a third country and assembled or packaged in Korea are not included in the scope.

The scope of this review includes memory modules. A memory module is a collection of DRAMs, the sole function of which is memory. Modules include single in-line processing modules (SIPs), single in-line memory modules (SIMMs), or other collections of DRAMs, whether unmounted or mounted on a circuit board. Modules that contain other parts that are needed to support the function of memory are covered. Only those modules which contain additional items which alter the function of the module to something other than memory, such as video graphics adapter (VGA) boards and cards, are not included in the scope.

The scope of this review also includes video random access memory semiconductors (VRAMs), as well as any future packaging and assembling of DRAMs.

The scope of this review also includes removable memory modules placed on motherboards, with or without a central processing unit (CPU), unless the importer of motherboards certifies with the Customs Service that neither it, nor a party related to it or under contract to it, will remove the modules from the motherboards after importation. The scope of this review does not include DRAMs or memory modules that are reimported for repair or replacement.

The DRAMs subject to this review are classifiable under subheadings 8542.11.0001, 8542.11.0024, 8542.11.0026, and 8542.11.0034 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Also included in the scope are those removable Korean DRAMs contained on or within products classifiable under subheadings 8471.91.0000 and 8473.30.4000 of the