packaging materials (1997 duty range: free-14.2%).

Zone procedures would exempt Hewlett-Packard from Customs duty payments on foreign components used in export production. On its domestic sales, Hewlett-Packard would be able to choose the lower duty rate that applies to the finished products (free-13.2%) for the foreign components noted above. The application indicates that the savings from zone procedures would help improve the plant's international competitiveness.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and three copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is August 29, 1997. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to September 15, 1997.

A copy of the application and the accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 3716, 14th and Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20230

U.S. Department of Commerce Export Assistance Center, 9th Floor, 7–45 Raymond Plaza, W., Newark, New Jersey 07102

Dated: June 20, 1997.

#### John J. DaPonte, Jr.,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-17055 Filed 6-27-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 50-97]

Foreign-Trade Zone 84—Houston, Texas; Application for Foreign-Trade Subzone Status: Lyondell Petrochemical Company (Petrochemical Complex), Harris County, TX

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Port of Houston Authority, grantee of FTZ 84, requesting special-purpose subzone status for the petrochemical complex of Lyondell Petrochemical Company (Lyondell), (subsidiary of Atlantic Richfield Company) located in Harris County, Texas. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on June 16, 1997.

The Lyondell petrochemical complex (2,236 acres, 700 employees) is located at 8280 Sheldon Road on the San Jacinto River (Harris County), 20 miles east of Houston. The complex consists of two olefins plants and related processing units which produce a variety of petrochemical feedstocks and motor fuel blendstocks. Petrochemical feedstocks include ethylene (3.8 billion-lb. capacity), propylene (2.2 billion-lb. capacity), butadiene (615 million-lb. capacity), benzene, toluene, xylene, methanol, ethane, propane, butylene, raw pyrolysis gasoline and natural gas. Motor fuel blendstocks include MTBE and alkylates. The petrochemical complex is integrated with the Lyondell-Citgo Refining Company, Ltd. (LCR), refinery in the Houston area, which has an application for subzone status pending with the Board (FTZ Doc. 32-97, 62 FR 24080, 5/2/97). The refinery will supply the petrochemical complex with up to 80 percent of its feedstock needs, including foreignstatus gas oils, naphtha, natural gas condensate, natural gasoline and raw pyrolysis gasoline.

Zone procedures would exempt the petrochemical complex from Customs duty payments on the foreign products used in its exports. On domestic sales, the company would be able to choose the Customs duty rates that apply to certain petrochemical feedstocks (dutyfree) by admitting incoming foreign inputs (e.g. gas oils, naphtha) in nonprivileged foreign status. The duty rates on inputs range from 5.25c/barrel to 10.5c/barrel. Under the FTZ Act, certain merchandise in FTZ status is exempt from ad valorem inventory-type taxes. The application indicates that the savings from zone procedures would help improve the refinery's international competitiveness.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is August 29, 1997. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing

period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to September 15, 1997).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

U.S. Department of Commerce Export
Assistance Center, Suite 1160, 500
Dallas, Houston, Texas 77002
Office of the Executive Secretary

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 3716, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230

Dated: June 18, 1997.

#### John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–17052 Filed 6–27–97; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

Foreign-Trade Zones Board [Docket 51–97]

Foreign-Trade Zone 207—Richmond, VA; Application For Foreign-Trade Subzone Status: Hewlett-Packard Company (Computer and Related Electronic Products), Richmond, Virginia, Area

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Capital Region Airport Commission, grantee of FTZ 207, requesting special-purpose subzone status for the manufacturing and distribution facilities (computers, printers, measurement devices, medical products and related products) of the Hewlett-Packard Company (Hewlett-Packard), located in the Richmond, Virginia area. The application was submitted pursuant to the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on June 19, 1997.

The Hewlett-Packard facilities are located at three sites totaling 92.4 acres (1,110,270 square feet at completion) in the Richmond, Virginia area: Site 1 (52.9 acres, 304,400 sq.ft. plus 293,820 proposed)—located at 500/600 Liberty Way, Chesterfield County, some eight miles south of Richmond; Site 2 (9.6 acres, 142,800 sq.ft.)—5900 Eastport Boulevard, Henrico County, 2 miles southeast of Richmond; Site 3 (30 acres, 369,250 sq.ft.)—4830 Eubank Road, Henrico County, 1 mile southeast of Richmond.

The facilities (200 employees) are used for storage, manufacture, and distribution for import and export of

computers and related devices, printers, electronic test and measurement devices, electronic medical products, and related electronic products and components. A number of components are purchased from abroad (an estimated 40% of value on manufactured products), including printed circuit boards, silicon wafers, rectifiers, integrated circuits, memory modules, CD-ROM drives, disk drives, scanners, hard drives, keyboards, monitors/displays (CRT and LCD type), LEDs, speakers, microphones, belts, valves, bearings, plastic materials, industrial chemicals, sensors, filters, resistors, transducers, fuses, plugs, relays, ink cartridges, toner cartridges, switches, fasteners, cards, transformers, DC/electric motors, magnets, modems, batteries, cabinets, power supplies, cables, copper wire, power cords, optical fiber, casters, cases, labels, and packaging materials (1997 duty range: free-14.2%)

Zone procedures would exempt Hewlett-Packard from Customs duty payments on foreign components used in export production. On its domestic sales, Hewlett-Packard would be able to choose the lower duty rate that applies to the finished products (free-13.2%) for the foreign components noted above. The application indicates that the savings from zone procedures would help improve the plant's international competitiveness.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and three copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is August 29, 1997. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to September 15, 1997.

A copy of the application and the accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 3716, 14th and Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230

U.S. Department of Commerce Export Assistance Center, Suite 550, 704 East Franklin Street, Richmond, Virginia 23219 Dated: June 20, 1997.

#### John J. DaPonte, Jr.,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–17053 Filed 6–27–97; 8:45 am]

# **International Trade Administration**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE** 

[A-201-504]

Porcelain-on-Steel Cookware From Mexico: Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.
EFFECTIVE DATE: June 30, 1997.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Katherine Johnson or Mary Jenkins, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W.,
Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone, (202) 482–4929 or (202) 482–1756, respectively.

#### **Scope of the Review**

The merchandise covered by this review is porcelain-on-steel cookware. including tea kettles that do not have self-contained electric heating elements. All of the foregoing are constructed of steel and are enameled or glazed with vitreous glasses. This merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheading 7323.94.00. Kitchenware currently entering under HTSUS subheading 7323.94.00.30 is not subject to the order. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

#### Amendment of Final Results

On May 12, 1997, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on porcelain-on-steel cookware from Mexico (62 FR 25908). This review covered Cinsa, S.A. de C.V. (Cinsa) the exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States. The period of review (POR) is December 1, 1993, through November 30, 1994.

On May 13, 1997, counsel for petitioner, General Housewares Corporation, filed an allegation of ministerial errors with regard to the final results in this review. We also received allegation of ministerial errors from counsel for respondent on May 16,

1997. On May 20, 1997, respondent submitted comments regarding petitioner's allegation of ministerial errors and on May 23, 1997, petitioner submitted comments regarding respondent's allegation of clerical errors (see May 28, 1997, memorandum to Louis Apple for a detailed description of petitioner's and respondent's allegations and the Department's responses). All submissions from both parties were filed in a timely manner.

## **Petitioner's Allegations**

Petitioner alleged that:

1. The Department added an incorrect amount for profit in the constructed value (CV) calculation.

# DOC Response

We agree that, in the calculation program for CINSA, we inadvertently transposed the sequence of commands to calculate the profit amount to be added to CV. We have corrected the ministerial error for the amended final results.

2. The final results computer program performed separate cost tests for first-and second-quality merchandise of each model.

## DOC Response

Petitioner has raised a methodological issue rather than a ministerial issue in reference to its cost test allegation. The record indicated that second quality POS cookware is normally sold as a physically different product group in a different channel of trade from first quality cookware. Accordingly, we concluded that combining first quality and second quality sales for cost comparisons would not accurately reflect Cinsa's selling practices for such merchandise. Since our calculation methodology properly reflects our intention, we have not made any changes for these final results.

#### Respondent's Allegations

Respondent alleged that:

1. The Department improperly limited its calculation of CV profit earned from above-cost home market sales, rather than all home market sales of the subject merchandise.

# DOC Response

In accordance with section 353.28(d) of the Department's regulations, we have considered the programming for the calculation of profit an unintentional ministerial error and have made a correction for the amended final results. *In the* Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube from