Sunshine Act Meeting

DATE: Weeks of March 17, 24, 31, and April 7, 1997.

PLACE: Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Week of March 17

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of March 17.

Week of March 24—Tentative

Tuesday, March 25

10:00 a.m. Briefing on High-Burnup Fuel Issues (PUBLIC MEETING) (Contact: Ralph O. Meyer, 301–415– 6789)

11:30 a.m. Affirmation Session (PUBLIC MEETING) (if needed)

Week of March 31—Tentative

Monday, March 31

11:30 a.m. Affirmation Session (PUBLIC MEETING) (if needed) 2:00 p.m. Classified Security Briefing (Closed—Ex. 1)

2:30 p.m. Meeting with DOE on External Regulation of DOE Facilities (PUBLIC MEETING)

Week of April 7—Tentative

Wednesday, April 9

11:30 a.m. Affirmation Session (PUBLIC MEETING) (if needed)

Note: The schedule for commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings call (recording)—(301) 415–1292. Contact person for more information: Bill Hill (301) 415–1661.

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the Internet at: http://www.nrc.gov/SECY/smj/schedule.htm.

This notice is distributed by mail to several hundred subscribers; if you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to it, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Attn: Operations Branch, Washington, DC 20555 (301–415–1661).

In addition, distribution of this meeting notice over the internet system is available. If you are interested in receiving this Commission meeting schedule electronically, please send an electronic message to wmh@nrc.gov or dkw@nrc.gov.

Dated: March 14, 1997.
William M. Hill, Jr.,
SECY Tracking Officer, Office of the
Secretary.
[FR Doc. 97–6938 Filed 3–14–97; 2:26 pm]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket 70-3091]

Notice of Availability of Memorandum of Understanding Between the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the Department of Energy Concerning the Cooperation and Support for Demonstration Phase (Phase I) of DOE Hanford Tank Waste Remediation System Privatization Activities

SUMMARY: On January 29, 1997, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and the Department of Energy (DOE) signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) to provide a basis for cooperation and support during the demonstration phase (Phase I) of the DOE Hanford Tank Waste Remediation System (TWRS) Privatization Activities. The MOU establishes a cooperative process to support DOE in developing a regulatory program consistent with the NRC's regulatory approach.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Amy L. Bryce, Special Projects Branch, Division of Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, (301) 415–5848.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Memorandum of Understanding Between the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the Department of Energy

Cooperation and Support for Demonstration Phase (Phase I) of DOE Hanford Tank Waste Remediation System Privatization Activities

I. Purpose

The purpose of this Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and the Department of Energy (DOE) is to establish the basis for cooperation and mutual support during the demonstration phase (defined as Phase I) of DOE's Tank Waste Remediation System (TWRS) Privatization activities. An objective of this DOE/NRC interaction is the development and execution of a comprehensive regulatory program by DOE that is consistent with NRC's regulatory approach for protecting workers, the general public, and the environment. DOE's regulatory program is to be structured to facilitate the possible transition of regulatory responsibilities from DOE to NRC at the start of the full-scale operations phase (defined as Phase II). During Phase I, DOE is responsible for implementing the TWRS Privatization regulatory program. This MOU provides for cooperation and mutual support in an integrated effort that provides for:

1. DOE to acquire capability to implement a program of nuclear safety and safeguards regulation consistent with NRC's regulatory approach.

2. NRC to acquire sufficient knowledge and understanding of the physical and operational situation at the Hanford waste tanks and the processes, technology and hazards involved in Phase I activities, to enable NRC (a) to assist DOE in performing reviews in a manner consistent with NRC's regulatory approach and (b) to be prepared to develop an effective and efficient regulatory program for the licensing of DOE contractor-owned and contractor-operated facilities that will process waste at Hanford during Phase

II. Introduction

A. Background

During 1991, the Department of Energy (DOE) established the TWRS Program at the Hanford Site to manage, retrieve, treat, immobilize, and dispose of certain radioactive waste in a safe, environmentally-sound, and costeffective manner. The requirements and commitments for the TWRS cleanup activities are documented in the Hanford Federal Facilities Agreement and Consent Order, also known as the Tri-Party Agreement (TPA). Under the TPA, DOE, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the Washington State Department of Ecology have agreed to a timetable for cleanup of the Hanford Site.

DOE, through the TWRS Program, is making a fundamental change in its contracting approach at Hanford, utilizing privately-owned facilities on the Hanford Site for processing waste which contains special nuclear material. This change in contracting approach also necessitates a fundamental change in DOE's approach to regulation and

oversight.

To accomplish the TWRS requirements, DOE plans to privatize treatment operations for the Hanford tank wastes. The TWRS Privatization is divided into two phases, a demonstration phase (defined as Phase I) and a full-scale operations phase (defined as Phase II). During both phases, DOE will purchase waste treatment services from a DOE contractor-owned, contractor-operated facility under a fixed-price type of contract; DOE will provide the feedstock to be processed. The DOE TWRS Privatization Contractor must finance the project; design the equipment and facility; apply for and receive required permits and licenses; construct the facility and bring it on line; operate the

facility to treat waste; and deactivate the facility.

DOE will undertake nuclear safety and safeguards regulatory responsibility associated with the TWRS Privatization activities during Phase I. The EPA and the State of Washington have responsibility to regulate environmental issues and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration has responsibility to regulate occupational safety. NRC's participation during Phase I will primarily be of a cooperative nature for the purposes of information transfer and assisting DOE in the establishment of a regulatory program that is consistent with NRC's regulatory approach for protecting workers, the general public, and the environment.

This MOU describes the relationship between NRC and DOE for activities conducted during Phase I only. The relationship between NRC, DOE, and the DOE TWRS Privatization Contractors during Phase II remains to be clarified by legislation and/or regulatory requirements.

B. Phase Descriptions

Phase I

Phase I is a proof-of-concept/commercial demonstration-scale effort. The objectives of Phase I are to: (a) demonstrate the technical and business viability of using privatized facilities to treat Hanford tank waste; (b) define and maintain required levels of safety and safeguards; (c) maintain environmental protection and compliance; and (d) substantially reduce life-cycle costs and time required to treat Hanford tank waste.

Phase II

Phase II will be the full-scale production phase, in which the facilities are to be configured so that all the remaining tank waste can be processed. The objectives of Phase II are to (a) implement the lessons learned from Phase I, and (b) process all tank waste into forms suitable for final disposal. The current DOE proposal is to have NRC assume full regulatory responsibility (consistent with the manner in which NRC regulates its licensees) for Phase II, although certain operational, statutory, and regulatory issues must be clarified before the proposed Phase II regulation by NRC can be implemented. Current estimates are that DOE procurement documents and NRC regulatory requirements for Phase II would be needed by the year 2004.

This MOU does not apply to Phase II activities.

III. Authority

A. Department of Energy

Sections 31, 91 and 161 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended; Section 104 of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974; and, Section 301 of the DOE Organization Act authorize DOE to provide for the safe storage, processing, transportation and disposal of hazardous waste, including radioactive waste, resulting from nuclear materials production and weapons production. In addition, with regard to activities under DOE's jurisdiction, Section 161.i.(3) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, permits DOE to prescribe such regulations or orders as it may deem necessary to govern DOE activities authorized by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, including standards and restrictions governing the design, location, and operation of facilities used in the conduct of such activity, in order to protect health and to minimize danger to life or property.

B. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Sections 53, 57, 62, 63, 81, 103, 104, and 161b, of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and Section 201(f) of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974 authorize NRC to license and establish by rule, regulation, or order, standards and instructions to govern the possession and use of special nuclear material, source material, or byproduct material to protect health or to minimize danger to life or property, or to promote the common defense and security. This agreement is entered into pursuant to these and other applicable authorities, including the Economy Act of 1932, as amended.

IV. Foundation Understandings

1. This MOU applies to Phase I only.

2. DOE will regulate the DOE TWRS Privatization Contractors during Phase I under the terms and conditions agreed upon by DOE and the DOE TWRS Privatization Contractors, and will be responsible for the regulatory oversight of all design, construction, operational, and event-response activities. NRC will have no regulatory authority over the DOE TWRS Privatization Contractors during Phase I.

3. No regulatory action, process, or practice established by DOE during Phase I will be binding on NRC during any possible NRC regulatory oversight of DOE TWRS Privatization Contractors during Phase II.

4. NRC's regulatory approach is based (a) on reviewing the applicant's systematic and integrated identification of potential accidents and interactions

resulting from radiological and related process chemical and fire hazards, and (b) on ensuring adequate protection against those hazards which could impact on the safety of the worker, the general public and the protection of the environment.

V. Agreements Between Parties

A. Responsibilities

Department of Energy

The Manager, Richland Operations Office, will be responsible for implementing the terms of this agreement. The TWRS Regulatory Official, who reports to the Manager, Richland Operations Office, will be the DOE point of contact for all communications relating to carrying out the provisions of this agreement.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission

The Director of Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards (NMSS) will be responsible for implementing the terms of this agreement. The Chief of the responsible Branch within NMSS will be the NRC point of contact for all communications related to carrying out the provisions of this agreement.

B. General Provisions

1. At the foundation of the DOE privatization approach is a predictability and reliability feature embedded in DOE's contracts with the TWRS Privatization Contractors "namely contractual commitments for DOE regulatory actions within specific time periods. Essential to timely and orderly DOE regulatory actions is the awareness by NRC of these contractual commitments and the need for timely interaction between DOE and NRC at all levels.

2. If an issue arises in the implementation of this MOU which cannot be resolved at the agency point-of-contact level, the NRC and DOE agree to refer the matter within 30 days to the Director, NMSS, and the Manager, Richland Operations Office, for

appropriate action.

3. It is the intent of both parties to conduct the TWRS Regulatory Program in an open, public, and professional manner. NRC and DOE recognize the importance of providing timely and accurate information to the public regarding regulatory matters that may affect the protection of workers, the general public, and the environment. Meetings between NRC and DOE staff in connection with this MOU will be governed by NRC policy on open meetings (59 FR48340; September 20, 1994). NRC will participate with DOE in public meetings and other public

interactions, as appropriate. All transmittals between DOE and NRC regarding TWRS Privatization activities will be made publicly available, consistent with NRC and DOE policies and requirements, at an established local public document room.

- 4. Each agency recognizes that it is responsible for the protection, control, and accounting of classified, proprietary, and procurement-sensitive information; Safeguards Information (SGI); and Unclassified Controlled Nuclear Information (UCNI).
- 5. Each agency will be responsible for processing, under its established program(s), allegations—declarations or statements or assertions of impropriety or inadequacy whose validity has not been established— associated with the regulated TWRS Privatization activities covered by this Memorandum of Understanding. Each agency will keep the other agency informed, as appropriate, of such allegations, the allegations" status, and the allegations" resolution. Each agency will assure that allegations are promptly referred to the agency or entity that has jurisdiction over the allegation.
- 6. In support of the DOE TWRS
 Privatization activities, DOE will
 provide private office space and
 equipment, if needed, for NRC in the
 vicinity of the TWRS Regulatory Unit in
 the Richland, Washington area. DOE
 will provide the NRC with ready access
 to current TWRS regulatory information;
 access to key individuals in the
 Regulatory Unit for consistency
 discussions; access to TWRS general
 information, tank farm status and
 operational issues, and safety
 perspectives; and access to Hanford Site
 safety perspectives.

C. Regulatory Interaction Activities

1. Site Familiarization

NRC will need to acquire knowledge of the physical and operational situation for the Hanford waste tanks and of the processes, technologies, and hazards involved in processing the tank wastes. The following activities will be performed to provide this familiarization: a. NRC will visit the Hanford Site, as necessary, to examine the conditions of the tank farms as they may relate to TWRS Privatization. As part of NRC's orientation, DOE will provide NRC information on:

• The physical conditions and operational requirements necessary for safe storage, retrieval, transfer, and processing of the tank waste,

Evaluations of the criticality potential for TWRS Privatization activities,

- Radiation levels of the waste and chemical forms of the waste,
- Contamination levels in the areas of the planned TWRS Privatization facilities and tanks,
- Hydrogen generation/flammable gas situation of tanks,
- Organic complexant/nitrate oxidizer situation of tanks,
- Other possible hazards associated with the waste,
- Available or planned waste movement systems, and
- The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), and Atomic Energy Act of 1954 for the TWRS.
- b. DOE will provide NRC access to the tank farms, tank farm records and documentation, and other information concerning operational conditions and events that NRC may desire in order to understand the TWRS Privatization project and associated hazards, processes, and conditions.
- c. Upon request by NRC, DOE will brief or hold discussions with NRC on issues related to the TWRS Privatization effort. The locations, timing, and content of these meetings will be agreed upon by the points of contact for each agency.
- d. NRC may occasionally conduct reviews and special audits or inspections at DOE's request to provide objective perspective on selected regulatory issues.

2. Regulatory Familiarization

To assist the DOE in establishing the capability to regulate consistent with NRC concepts and principles, the NRC will provide detailed briefings, guidance documents, and support in developing important administrative and technical program elements of a regulatory program. NRC will provide DOE access to regulatory training provided by NRC to its staff on a space available basis and, with specific agreement, will provide DOE opportunity to observe NRC's regulatory activities.

3. Development of DOE TWRS Regulatory Program

DOE guidance specific to the regulation of DOE TWRS Privatization Contractors will be prepared and issued by DOE. The guidance is for use by the DOE's TWRS Regulatory Unit in its execution of the regulatory reviews and resulting regulatory actions and is provided as information to the DOE TWRS Privatization Contractors for their preparation of regulatory submittals. The guidance will cover those submittals required of the Contractors

by DOE such as the Quality Assurance (QA) program, essential set of safety standards and requirements (including the site-specific design basis), integrated safety management plan, safety assessment, construction authorization request, operating authorization request, operational reports and assessments, and deactivation authorization. DOE will be responsible for issuing this guidance in its final form.

The following activities will be performed by NRC and DOE to develop

the guidance:

a. NRC will provide DOE with established and evolving NRC guidance and position documents as input for DOE to consider in the development and updating of its guidance for the DOE regulatory review. NRC will assist DOE in developing a DOE inspection program that will be applied during design, fabrication, construction (e.g. acceptable codes and standards for concrete, electrical, welding, etc.), installation, and qualification testing.

b. DOE will develop guidance for the review of Contractor submittals and DOE reviews of TWRS Privatization activities. NRC will review and provide a basis for its comments on DOE's draft guidance to identify areas that may not be consistent with NRC's regulatory

approach.

c. NRC will participate, as appropriate, with DOE in the joint development of guidance, based on industry standards, e.g., ANS/ANSI, for issuance by DOE as guidance for the DOE TWRS Privatization Program.

4. Regulatory Program Implementation

Specific DOE regulatory activities are planned: these include design basis review, QA program evaluation, standards approval, initial safety evaluation, construction authorization and inspection, operating authorization oversight, and deactivation authorization. These actions will begin in FY 1997 and continue throughout Phase I. The following activities will be performed by DOE and NRC in fulfillment of their respective responsibilities under this MOU:

a. DOE will be responsible for safety (e.g. design basis) and safeguards reviews and determining acceptability of DOE TWRS Privatization Contractors' submittals against the DOE TWRS guidance. DOE will have final decision authority for regulatory implementation during Phase I and for all interactions with the DOE TWRS Privatization Contractors.

b. NRC will review and provide a basis for its comments on DOE TWRS Privatization Contractors' submittals to identify any areas that are not consistent with NRC's regulatory approach. These submittals will include all documents which address the technical and quality basis for the TWRS facilities and which could affect nuclear and process safety and safeguards in design, construction and operation.

NRC will assist DOE in evaluating submittals and in verifying effective implementation of:

- Design—design basis, design verification, level of design detail and documentation, design specifications, calculations and drawings, and procurement specifications,
- Quality assurance—for design, procurement, construction, preoperational testing and operation,
 - · Operator training and qualification,
 - Human factors,
 - Emergency response.

VI. Other Provisions

- 1. Nothing in this MOU will limit the authority of either agency to independently exercise its authority with regard to matters that are the subject of this MOU.
- 2. Nothing in this MOU will be deemed to establish any right nor provide a basis for any action, either legal or equitable, by any person or class of persons challenging a government action or a failure to act.
- 3. This MOU will be effective upon signature and upon satisfaction of conditions in Section VI.4 and will remain in effect until the end of Phase I. This agreement may also be terminated by mutual agreement or by written notice of either party submitted six months in advance of termination. Amendments or modifications to this agreement may be made upon written agreement of the parties.
- 4. This MOU will become effective, and remain in effect during such time periods when Congress authorizes, and provides appropriate funding (or when there is another acceptable form of reimbursement) for NRC's participation in this project.
- 5. Activities within the scope of this MOU and within the scope of appropriated resources are mutually agreed to be without reimbursement of cost for either organization. Special

activities such as described in Sections V.C.1.d and V.C.2 may be negotiated for cost reimbursement as needed.

John Wagoner, Manager, Richland Operations Office, Department of Energy

Carl Paperiello, Director, Office of Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

This Memorandum of Understanding was signed by the Manager of the Department of Energy's Richland Operations Office on January 15, 1997 and the Director of the Office of Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards, U. S. Nuclear Rgulatory Commission on January 29, 1997.

Dated at Rockvile, Maryland, this 7th day of March 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Robert C. Pierson,

Chief, Special Projects Branch, Division of Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards, NMSS. [FR Doc. 97–6759 Filed 3–17–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

Cumulative Report on Rescissions and Deferrals

March 1, 1997.

This report is submitted in fulfillment of the requirement of Section 1014(e) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93–344). Section 1014(e) requires a monthly report listing all budget authority for the current fiscal year for which, as of the first day of the month, a special message had been transmitted to Congress.

This report gives the status, as of March 1, 1997, of nine rescission proposals and seven deferrals contained in two special messages for FY 1997. These messages were transmitted to Congress on December 4, 1996, and on February 10, 1997.

Rescissions (Attachments A and C)

As of March 1, 1997, nine rescission proposals totaling \$397 million had

been transmitted to the Congress. Attachment C shows the status of the FY 1997 rescission proposals.

Deferrals (Attachments B and D)

As of March 1, 1997, \$3,420 million in budget authority was being deferred from obligation. Attachment D shows the status of each deferral reported during FY 1997.

Information From Special Messages

The special messages containing information on the rescission proposals and deferrals that are covered by this cumulative report is printed in the editions of the Federal Register cited below.

61 FR 66172, Monday, December 16, 1996

62 FR 8045, Friday, February 21, 1997 Franklin D. Raines, *Director.*

ATTACHMENT A.—STATUS OF FY 1997 RESCISSIONS

[In millions of dollars]

	Budgetary resources
Rescissions proposed by the President	\$397.1
Currently before the Congress	397.1

ATTACHMENT B.—STATUS OF FY 1997 DEFERRALS

[In millions of dollars]

	Budgetary resources
Deferrals proposed by the President	\$3,544.3
Routine Executive releases through March 1, 1997	
(OMB/Agency releases of	
\$124.3 million.) Overturned by the Congress	– 124.3
Currently before the Con-	
gress	3,420.0

ATTACHMENT C.—STATUS OF FY 1997 RESCISSION PROPOSALS—AS OF MARCH 1, 1997 [Amounts in thousands of dollars]

Agency/Bureau/Account	Re- scis- sion num- ber	Amounts pending before Congress		Date of	Pre- viously withheld	Date	Amount	Congres-
		Less than 45 days	More than 45 days	message	and made available	made available	rescinded	sional action
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE								
Foreign Agricultural Service:								