

increases as a result of other factors, including market changes, will not require FPI to initiate the guidelines process. The fact that 25% may "trigger" the guidelines does not necessarily mean the Board of Directors cannot approve an FPI production level resulting in a federal market share above 25%.

The prior three years' data will be used to determine the share of the federal government market, to ensure that annual fluctuations are taken into account and normalized.

FPI may produce at the rate of previously achieved annual sales levels, adjusted for inflation, without initiating the guidelines process.

In cases where FPI sales inadvertently or insubstantially exceed Board authorized levels, FPI will make every effort to adjust its production by a corresponding amount among the following year. If FPI plans call for continued growth, it will invoke the guidelines process without delay and seek Board approval of future production levels. Should the Board decide on a production level lower than that which FPI already achieved, FPI will adjust its future plans and, if necessary scale back, to comply with the Board's decision.

In cases of extreme public exigency, such as national disaster or national defense emergency, such as during Operation Desert Storm, FPI may exceed guidelines thresholds, provided FPI receives specific orders or requests from senior Department of Defense and/or Executive Branch officials. Increased sales resulting from national exigencies will not be considered a violation of guidelines ceilings in the year which they occurred. In such cases, the higher production levels achieved by FPI will be temporary, and will not be used as part of FPI's baseline for future calculations of significant expansion. Such exceptional events will be subject to approval by FPI's Chief Operating Officer, with concurrence of FPI's Board of Directors.

Subject to other provisions noted in this procedure, FPI's sales for the current fiscal year will be utilized as the base year for future application.

Prior decisions of FPI's Board of Directors will remain unaffected by these changes to the definitions.

These proposed rules have been reviewed by FPI's Growth Strategies Implementation Committee. The following officials are represented on the Committee:

Executive Vice President, Envelope Manufacturers Association of America

Vice President—Government Affairs, Screen Printing and Graphic Imaging Association International
Manager, Break-Out Procurement Center Representative Program, Small Business Administration
Former Senior Staff Member, Brookings Institution
Head of Office of Wages and Industrial Relations, AFL-CIO
President, State/Federal Correctional Vendors Association

Their comments and suggestions have been incorporated into this proposed procedure.¹

All comments received in response to this proposed procedure have been provided to the FPI Board of Directors, which has approved these procedures for publication and implementation on an interim basis.

Robert Grieser,
Manager, Planning, Research and Activation Branch.

[FR Doc. 97-6143 Filed 3-11-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-05-M

Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Office of Justice Programs, Justice.

ACTION: Notice of Information Collection Under Review; Evaluation of the Comprehensive Community-Wide Approach to Gang Prevention, Intervention, and Suppression Program—"Aggregate Data Forms: Police and School."

Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval is being sought for the information collection listed below. This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register and allowed 60 days for public comment.

The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comments. Public comments are encouraged and will be accepted until April 11, 1997. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 Code of Federal Regulation, Part 1320.10. Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory

Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC, 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to 202-395-7285. Comments may also be submitted to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Justice Management Division, Information Management and Security Staff, Attention: Department Clearance Officer, Suite 850, 1001 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530. Additionally, comments may be submitted to DOJ via facsimile to 202-514-1534. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies should address one or more of the following points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency/component, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies/components estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

(1) Type of information collection: New collection.

(2) The title of the form/collection: Evaluation of the Comprehensive Community-Wide Approach to Gang Prevention, Intervention, and Suppression Program—"Aggregate Data Forms: Police and School."

(3) The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection. Form: None. Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Justice Programs, United States Department of Justice.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract. Primary: Not-for-Profit Institutions. Other: State, Local, or Tribal Government. The study will obtain interview and test information on youth background, social adjustment, deviancy/crime activity, self-esteem, and depression/personality adjustment. It will determine the effectiveness of the program, comparing program subjects to non-program gang youth of the same

¹ Of course, these officials and these organizations are not precluded from making further comment at this time.

ages, approximately 13 to 20 years old, and their backgrounds.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: 31 (11 police+20 schools@11.88 hrs. per response.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: 368.28 annual burden hours.

Public comment on this proposed information collection is strongly encouraged.

Dated: March 6, 1997.

Robert B. Briggs,
Department Clearance Officer, United States
Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 97-6072 Filed 3-11-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

All Items Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers United States city Average

Pursuant to Section 604(c) of the Motor Vehicle Information and cost Savings Act, which was added to the Motor Vehicle Theft Law enforcement Act of 1984, and the delegation of the Secretary of Transportation's responsibilities under that Act to the Administrator of the Federal Highway Administration (49 CFR 501.2(f)), the Secretary of Labor has certified to the Administrator and published this notice in the Federal Register that the United States City Average All Items Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (1967=100) increased 51.0 percent from its 1984 base period annual average of 311.1 to its 1996 annual average of 469.9.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on the 19th day of February 1997.

Cynthia A. Metzler,
Acting Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc. 97-6146 Filed 3-11-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING 4510-24-M

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment

assistance for workers (TA-W) issued during the period of February, 1997.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,

(2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and

(3) That increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-32,949; *Barclay Home Products, Cherokee, NC*

TA-W-32,950; *Barclay Home Products, Robbinsville, NC*

TA-W-33,045; *Union City Body Co L.P., Union City Body Co., Union City Div., Union City, IN*

TA-W-32,090; *SGL Carbon Corp., St. Marys, PA*

TA-W-33,014; *Remington Arms Co., Inc., Ammunition Div., Lonoke, AR*

TA-W-32,927; *Lucent Custom Manufacturing Services, Whitsett, NC*

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

TA-W-32,900; *Pacificorp, Portland, OR*

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-32,919; *Ferris Industries, Inc., Vernon, NY*

TA-W-32,992; *Concast Metal Products Co., Dailey, WV*

The investigation revealed that criteria (2) and criteria (3) have not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification. Increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have not contributed importantly to the

separations or threat thereof, and the absolute decline in sales or production.

TA-W-32,886; *Practical Peripherals—A Hayes Div., Thousand Oaks, CA*
TA-W-33,141; *Xerox Corp., Oklahoma City, OK*

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-32,834; *BP Exploration, Inc., Houston, TX & Operating at Various Locations in the Following States; A: TX, B: LA, C: MS, D: GA*

The investigation revealed that criteria (1) and criteria (2) have not been met. A significant number or proportion of the workers did not become totally or partially separated as required for certification. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification.

TA-W-32,994; *Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co (3-M), St. Paul, MN*

The investigation revealed that criteria (1) has not been met. A significant number or proportion of the workers did not become totally or partially separated as required for certification.

TA-W-32,939; *Eaton Corp, Automotive Controls Div., Wauwatosa, WI*

In early 1996, the parent company of the Automotive Control Div. of Eaton Corp made a corporate decision to transfer production to another domestic facility.

TA-W-33,039; *Brunswick Marine, Nappene, IN*

Production of fishing boats at the subject plant was transferred to a successor firm, which is located domestically.

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name & location for each determination references the impact date for all workers for such determination.

TA-W-33,094; *Amphenol Corp., Amphenol Aerospace Operations, Sidney, NY: February 23, 1997.*

TA-W-33,019; *Kenneth Fox Supply Co., Fox Packaging, McAllen, TX: November 25, 1995.*

TA-W-33,028; *Fun-Tees, Inc., Concord, NC: December 4, 1995.*

TA-W-33,078; *Westinghouse Electric Corp., Ft. Payne, AL: December 18, 1995.*

TA-W-33,159; *AMP, Inc., Roanoke, VA: January 17, 1996.*

TA-W-33,048; *Hamilton Beach-Proctor Silex, Inc., Washington, NC: November 27, 1995.*