

(\$19,111 per airplane) and \$23,768,064 (\$20,632 per airplane).

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

McDonnell Douglas: Docket 96-NM-203-AD.

Applicability: Model DC-9-10, -20, -30, -40, and -50 series airplanes; Model DC-9-81

(MD-81), -82 (MD-82), -83 (MD-83), and -87 (MD-87) series airplanes; Model MD-88 airplanes; and C-9 (military) series airplanes; as listed in McDonnell Douglas DC-9 Service Bulletin 53-235, dated September 15, 1993; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (f) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent fatigue cracks in the skin and longerons of the fuselage, which could result in loss of the structural integrity of the fuselage and, consequently, lead to rapid depressurization of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Prior to the accumulation of 30,000 total landings, or within 8,000 landings after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, perform a high frequency eddy current (HFEC) inspection of the external areas of the fuselage to detect cracks of the skin and/or longeron between stations Y=160.000 and Y=218.000, in accordance with McDonnell Douglas DC-9 Service Bulletin 53-235, dated September 15, 1993.

(b) *Condition 1 (No Cracks).* If no crack is detected during any inspection required by this AD, accomplish either paragraph (b)(1) or (b)(2) of this AD, in accordance with McDonnell Douglas DC-9 Service Bulletin 53-235, dated September 15, 1993.

(1) *Condition 1, Option I (Repetitive Inspection).* Repeat the HFEC inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, and the aided visual inspection specified in paragraph 2.E. of the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin, at intervals not to exceed 10,000 landings.

(2) *Condition 1, Option II (Terminating Action Modification).* Accomplish the preventative modification installation of clips and doublers between stations Y=160.000 and Y=218.000, in accordance with the service bulletin. Accomplishment of the modification constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirements of this AD.

(c) *Condition 2 (Skin Cracks).* If any skin crack is detected during any inspection required by this AD, prior to further flight, repair it in accordance with McDonnell Douglas DC-9 Service Bulletin 53-235, dated September 15, 1993. After repair, accomplish either paragraph (b)(1) or (b)(2) of this AD.

(d) *Condition 3 (Longeron Cracks).* If any longeron crack is detected during any inspection required by this AD, prior to further flight, repair it in accordance with McDonnell Douglas DC-9 Service Bulletin 53-235, dated September 15, 1993. After

repair, accomplish either paragraph (b)(1) or (b)(2) of this AD.

(e) Prior to the accumulation of 100,000 total landings, or within 4 years after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, accomplish the preventative modification specified in paragraph 2.J. of the Accomplishment Instructions of McDonnell Douglas DC-9 Service Bulletin 53-235, dated September 15, 1993. Accomplishment of the modification constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD.

(f) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

(g) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on February 28, 1997.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
[FR Doc. 97-5572 Filed 3-6-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

RIN 1076-AD14

25 CFR Part 290

Tribal Revenue Allocation Plans

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed Rule; Extension of Comment Period; Correction.

SUMMARY: This notice corrects a discrepancy in the notice published on February 20, 1997, that extended the comment period for the proposed rule. The proposed rule would establish procedures for submission, review, and approval of tribal plans for distributing revenues from gaming activities.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 24, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Mail comments to George Skibine, Director, Indian Gaming Management Staff Office, Bureau of Indian Affairs, 1849 C Street NW, MS 2070-MIB, Washington, DC 20240.

Comments may be hand delivered to the same address from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Monday through Friday or sent by facsimile to 202-273-3153.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Pierskalla, Management Analyst, Indian Gaming Management Staff Office, at 202-219-4068.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On Friday, June 7, 1996, the Bureau of Indian Affairs published a proposed rule, 61 FR 29044, concerning Tribal Revenue Allocation Plans. The deadline for receipt of comments was August 6, 1996. On Thursday, February 20, 1997, the Bureau published a notice at 62 FR 7742 to extend the comment period until March 24, 1997. The notice published on February 20 incorrectly stated in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of the preamble that the deadline for receipt of comments was March 7, 1997. Accordingly, on page 7742, in the first and second column, the final sentence in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section is corrected to read: "The comment period is reopened to allow consideration of the comments received after August 6, 1996, and additional comments received on or before March 24, 1997."

Dated: March 3, 1997.

George Skibine,

Director, Indian Gaming Management Staff.
[FR Doc. 97-5588 Filed 3-6-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-02-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

28 CFR Part 16

[AAG/A Order No. 130-97]

Exemption of Records Systems Under the Privacy Act

AGENCY: Department of Justice.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice proposes to exempt a Privacy Act system of records from subsections (c)(3) and (4); (d); (e)(1), (2), (3), (5) and (8); and (g) of the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. 552a. This system of records is maintained by the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) and is entitled "Office of Internal Audit Investigations Index and Records, JUSTICE/INS-002." Information in this system relates to official Federal investigations and law enforcement matters of the Office of Internal Audit of the INS, pursuant to the Inspector General Act of 1978, 5 U.S.C. App., as amended by the Inspector General Act amendments of 1988. The exemptions

are necessary to avoid interference with certain internal law enforcement functions of the INS for which records falling within the scope of subsections (j)(2) and (k)(2) may be generated. Specifically, the exemptions are necessary to prevent subjects of investigations from frustrating the investigatory process; to preclude the disclosure of investigative techniques; to protect the identities and physical safety of confidential informants and of law enforcement personnel; to ensure OIA's ability to obtain information from information sources; and to protect the privacy of third parties.

DATES: Submit any comments by April 7, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Address all comments to Patricia E. Neely, Program Analyst, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530 (Room 850, WCTR Building).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patricia E. Neeley 202-616-0178.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the notice section of today's Federal Register, the Department of Justice provides a description of the "Office of Internal Audit Investigations Index and Records, JUSTICE/INS-002."

This order relates to individuals rather than small business entities. Nevertheless, pursuant to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601-602, it is hereby stated that the order will not have "a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities."

List of Subjects in Part 16

Administrative practices and procedures, Courts, Freedom of Information Act, Government in the Sunshine Act, and the Privacy Act.

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Attorney General by 5 U.S.C. 552a and delegated to me by Attorney General Order No. 793-78, it is proposed to amend part 16, of title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations as set forth below.

Dated: February 11, 1997.

Stephen R. Colgate,

Assistant Attorney General for Administration.

1. The authority for part 16 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 401, 552, 552a, 552b(g), 553; 18 U.S.C. 4203(a)(1); 28 U.S.C. 509, 510, 534, 31 U.S.C. 3717, 9701.

2. It is proposed to amend 28 CFR 16.99 by adding paragraphs (g) and (h) to read as follows:

§ 16.99 Exemption of the Immigration and Naturalization Service Systems-limited access.

* * * * *

(g) The Office of Internal Audit Investigations Index and Records (Justice/INS-002) system of records is exempt under the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552a(j)(2) from subsections (c) (3) and (4); (d); (e) (1), (2), (3), (5) and (8); and (g), but only to the extent that this system contains records within the scope of subsection (j)(2), and to the extent that records in the system are subject to exemption therefrom. In addition, this system of records is also exempt under the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(2) from subsections (c)(3); (d); and (e)(1), but only to the extent that this system contains records within the scope of subsection (k)(2), and to the extent that records in the system are subject to exemption therefrom.

(h) The following justifications apply to the exemptions from particular subsections:

(1) From subsection (c)(3) because the release of the disclosure accounting for disclosure could permit the subject of an actual or potential criminal or civil investigation to obtain valuable information concerning the existence and nature of the investigation, the fact that individuals are subjects of the investigation, and present a serious impediment to law enforcement.

(2) From subsection (c)(4) to the extent that the exemption from subsection (d) is applicable. Subsection (c)(4) will not be applicable to the extent that records in the system are properly withholdable under subsection (d).

(3) From the access and amendment provisions of subsection (d) because access to the records contained in this system of records could inform the subject of a criminal or civil investigation of the existence of that investigation; of the nature and scope of the information and evidence obtained as to their activities; of the identity of confidential sources, witnesses and law enforcement personnel; and of information that may enable the subject to avoid detection or apprehension. Such disclosures would present a serious impediment to effective law enforcement where they prevent the successful completion of the investigation; endanger the physical safety of confidential sources, witnesses, and law enforcement personnel; and/or lead to the improper influencing of witnesses, the destruction of evidence, or the fabrication of testimony. In addition, granting access to these records could result in a disclosure that would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the privacy of third parties.