judge's (ALJ's) initial determination (ID) (Order No. 17) in the above-captioned investigation terminating the investigation based on withdrawal of the complaint.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Neal J. Reynolds, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205–3093. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 31. 1996, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by Michelin North America, Inc. ("MNA"). The complaint alleged that the importation and sale of certain transport vehicle tires violated section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 by infringing claims of U.S. Letters Patent 4,480,671 ("the '671 patent'') covering tread on a heavy duty tire. The companies named as respondents are Kumho Tire Co., Ltd. and Kumho Tire U.S.A., Inc. ("Kumho").

On November 27, 1996, Kumho filed a motion for summary determination of non-infringement. MNA opposed the motion. On December 12, 1996, Kumho also filed two motions requesting the ALJ to compel MNA to discover certain information relating to MNA's tire compounding and manufacturing processes. MNA opposed the discovery motions, arguing that the information requested by Kumho was extremely sensitive and highly sought-after proprietary information of MNA and that it was not relevant to the subject matter of the investigation. On December 24, 1996, the ALJ ordered MNA to produce the information (Order No. 12).

On January 7, 1997, pursuant to Commission rule 210.21(a), MNA moved for an order terminating the investigation based on withdrawal of the complaint. MNA stated that it was withdrawing the complaint and requesting termination of the investigation in order to protect its highly proprietary tire compounding information from discovery. Kumho opposed the motion, arguing that the ALJ should rule on the pending motion for summary determination before addressing the motion to terminate. On January 30, 1997, the ALJ granted MNA's motion to terminate and issued an ID terminating the investigation "with prejudice" (Order No. 17). The ALJ declined to issue a decision on Kumho's motion for summary determination.

On February 6, 1997, Kumho filed a petition for review of the ID terminating the investigation. In its petition, Kumho requested that the Commission vacate the ID and remand the investigation to the ALJ with instructions to rule on Kumho's pending motion for summary determination and its motion for discovery sanctions before ruling on MNA's motion to terminate. MNA and the IA have opposed the petition for review.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. §1337, and Commission rule 210.42, 19 C.F.R. §§ 210.42. Copies of the ALJ's ID, and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation, are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission. 500 E Street. SW. Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

Issued: February 28, 1997.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–5465 Filed 3–5–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

Information Security Oversight Office; National Industrial Security Program Policy Advisory Committee; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.2) and implementing regulation 41 CFR 101–6, announcement is made of the following committee meeting:

Name of Committee: National Industrial Security Program Policy Advisory Committee (NISPPAC).

Date of Meeting: March 25, 1997.

Time of Meeting: 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon. *Place of Meeting:* National Archives and Records Administration, 700 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC.

Purpose: To discuss National Industrial Security Program policy matters.

This meeting will be open to the public. However, due to space limitations and access procedures, the names and telephone numbers of individuals planning to attend must be submitted to the Information Security Oversight Office (ISOO) no later than March 20, 1997.

For Further Information Contact: Steven Garfinkel, Director, ISOO, National Archives Building, 700 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Room 100, Washington, DC 20408, telephone (202) 219–5250. Dated: February 28, 1997. Mary Ann Hadyka, *Policy and Communications Staff.* [FR Doc. 97–5509 Filed 3–5–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7515–01–P

NATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM

National Security Telecommunications Advisory Committee

AGENCY: National Communications System (NCS).

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: A meeting of the President's National Security Telecommunications Advisory Committee will be held on Tuesday, March 18, 1997, from 8:30 a.m. to 3:15 p.m. The Business Session will be held at the Department of State, 2101 C Street NW, Washington, DC. The Executive Session will be held at the Department of Treasury, 15th and Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC. The agenda is as follows:

- —Call to Order/Welcoming Remarks
- —Manager's Report
- —IES Report of Activities
- –Information Assurance Task Force Report
- –National Information Infrastructure Task Force Report
- -Network Security Group Report
- —Global Information Infrastructure and Information Assurance Topics
- —Adjournment

Due to the potential requirement to discuss classified information, in conjunction with the issues listed above, the meeting will be closed to the public in the interest of National Defense.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Telephone (703) 607–6221 or write the Manager, National Communications System, 701 S. Court House Rd., Arlington, VA 22204–2198.

Dennis Bodson,

Chief, Technology and Standards. [FR Doc. 97–5474 Filed 3–5–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5000–03–M

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

National Endowment for the Arts; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

March 3, 1997.

The National Endowment for the Arts (NEA) has submitted the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 [P.L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35]. Copies of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the National Endowment for the Arts' Director of Guidelines & Panel Operations, A.B. Spellman [(202) 682– 5421]. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TTY/TDD) may call (202) 682–5496 between 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

Comments should be sent to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, *Attn:* OMB Desk Officer for the National Endowment for the Arts, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 [(202) 395–7316], within 30 days from the date of this publication in the Federal Register.

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) is particularly interested in comments which:

• Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

• Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

• Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and

• Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Endowment request the review of all of its funding application guidelines. This entry is issued by the Endowment and contains the following information: (1) The title of the form; (2) how often the required information must be reported; (3) who will be required or asked to report; (4) what the form will be used for; (5) an estimate of the number of responses; (6) the average burden hours per response; (7) an estimate of the total number of hours needed to prepare the form. This entry is not subject to 44 U.S.C. § 3504(h).

Agency: National Endowment for the Arts.

Title: Blanket Justification for NEA Funding Application Guidelines FY 1998–FY 2001. OMB Number: 3135–0112. Frequency: Annually. Affected Public: Nonprofit organizations, state and local arts agencies, and individuals.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 5,000.

Estimated Time Per Respondent: 19.29 hours.

Total Burden Hours: 96,450. Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs: 0.

Total Annual Costs (Operating/ Maintaining Systems or Purchasing Services): 0.

Description: Guideline instructions and applications elicit relevant information from individuals, nonprofit organizations, and state and local arts agencies that apply for funding from the NEA. Current Endowment categories include, but are not limited to: Grants to Organizations, Partnership Agreements, Literature Fellowships, American Jazz Masters, National Heritage Fellowships in the Folk & Traditional Arts, and Leadership Initiatives (including Millennium). This information is necessary for the accurate, fair and thorough consideration of competing proposals in the review process.

ADDRESSES: A.B. Spellman, National Endowment for the Arts, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Room 516, Washington, DC 20506–0001, telephone (202) 682–5421 (this is not a toll-free number), fax (202) 682–5049.

Murray Welsh,

Director, Administrative Services, National Endowment for the Arts. [FR Doc. 97–5538 Filed 3–5–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7537-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-348 and 50-364]

Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc.; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-2 and NPF-8, issued to Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. (the licensee), for operation of the Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, located in Houston County, Alabama.

The proposed amendments would revise and clarify surveillance requirements for the Control Room Emergency Filtration System, the Penetration Room Filtration System, and the Containment Purge Exhaust Filter System.

This requested Technical Specification (TS) change is a followup to a Notice of Enforcement Discretion (NOED) granted to the licensee that is in effect for the period from 1:27 p.m. Eastern Standard Time on February 26, 1997, until approval of this exigent TS request and full implementation of the amendments within 30 days of its issuance. NRC Inspection Manual, Part 9900, "Operations—Notices of Enforcement Discretion," requires that a followup TS amendment be issued within 4 weeks from the issuance of the NOED.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendments, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91(a)(6) for amendments to be granted under exigent circumstances, the NRC staff must determine that the requested amendments involve no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes to convert from ANSI N510–1980 to ASME N510–1989 for specific FNP [Farley Nuclear Plant] filtration surveillance testing requirements do not affect the probability of any accident occurring. The consequences of any accident will not be affected since the proposed change will continue to ensure that appropriate and required surveillance testing for FNP filtration systems will be performed. Relocating specific testing requirements to the FNP FSAR [Final Safety Analysis Report] has no effect on the probability or consequences of any accident previously evaluated since required testing will continue to be performed.

Therefore, the proposed TS changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.