III. Applications

This Notice of Availability is issued pursuant to DOE regulations contained in 10 CFR Part 602: Epidemiology and Other Health Studies Financial Assistance Program, as published in the Federal Register on January 31, 1995 (60 FR 5841). The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance number for 10 CFR part 602 is 81.108, and its solicitation control number is EOHSFAP 10 CFR part 602. 10 CFR 602 contains the specific requirements for applications, evaluation, and selection criteria. Only those applications following these specific criteria and forms will be considered. Application forms may be obtained at the address cited above. Applications will be peer reviewed by evaluators apart from DOE employees and contractors as described under section 10 CFR 602.9(c), and submission of an application constitutes agreement that this is acceptable to the investigator(s) and the submitting institution.

IV. Proposal Format

The proposal shall contain two sections, technical and cost. Technical proposals shall be no more than fifty (50) pages in length; resumes of proposed key personnel should be submitted as an appendix to the technical proposal and will not be counted against the page limit. Cost proposals shall have no page limit. Because each project will be conducted in two phases, and the scope of phase II is dependent on the results of phase I, the technical description for phase II may be less specific than that for phase I, but must clearly demonstrate a capability to conduct phase II. It is left to the proposer to determine how best to structure the proposal. However, the following information shall be included:

a. Proposals shall include a detailed project description that discusses the specific tasks to be performed under the proposed project. At a minimum, the tasks listed under section II above must be described. The project description must include clear statements of what is not known and what is uncertain, as well as statements of what is known. The project description must describe how independent, external peer review of the results of the project will be conducted. The project description must demonstrate that the offeror has the ability to integrate their work with the activities of other organizations conducting medical surveillance activities.

b. Proposals must demonstrate the competency of research personnel and the adequacy of resources. Proposals must demonstrate that the offeror is perceived as neutral and credible, and is capable of conducting scientifically valid and responsible medical surveillance projects.

Proposals must demonstrate that the offeror has the experience and capability to plan, organize, manage, and facilitate worker and union participation in planning and execution. Proposals must also demonstrate that the offeror has the experience and ability to effectively communicate complicated scientific information on potential risks and uncertainties, to workers, local and national stakeholders, concerned citizens, and decision makers at all levels. Proposals must demonstrate that the offeror presently has or is capable of obtaining staff with the training, expertise, and experience needed to conduct scientifically complex needs, assessments and medical surveillance programs. Proposals must identify the technical and scientific staff that will actually conduct the studies and detail their professional experience, as well as their level of program involvement. Proposals must demonstrate that the offeror has capability, for both financial and scientific management, and a demonstrated skill in planning and scheduling projects of comparable magnitude to those proposed under this Request for Applications.

c. The cost proposal must include a summary breakdown of all costs, and provide a detailed breakdown of costs on a task-by-task basis for each task contained in the project description. Any expectation concerning cost sharing must be clearly stated. Cost sharing is encouraged, but it will not be considered in the selection process.

V. Evaluation Criteria

DOE will evaluate applications based upon the following criteria in 10 CFR 602.9(d) that are listed in descending order of importance:

1. The scientific and technical merit of the proposed research;

2. The appropriateness of the proposed method or approach;

3. Competency of research personnel and adequacy of proposed resources; and

4. Reasonableness and appropriateness of the proposed budget.

VI. DOE's Role

In order for DOE to utilize cooperative agreements for these medical surveillance projects, there must be substantial involvement between DOE and any awardee(s). DOE established the core tasks for these projects and prepared this Federal Register Notice of

Availability. DOE will conduct the selection and award process, which will include evaluations by persons outside the Federal government. DOE will evaluate the results of phase I and, where warranted, authorize and fund phase II. DOE will facilitate awardee access to the target sites and exposure records. DOE will establish requirements and controls for data collection and handling. DOE will consult with project investigators and coordinate semiannual meetings. DOE will interact with an independent advisory group that will provide advice to DOE and to project investigators.

Finally, DOE will monitor and evaluate the results of the projects, including the participant's level of satisfaction, to determine how these pilots could be expanded to other groups of former workers both at the project sites and at other DOE sites. In addition to helping former workers, information gained from these projects will contribute to DOE's ongoing efforts to improve health and safety programs for current workers.

VII. Applicants

Applicants for the cooperative agreements could include domestic nonprofit and for profit organizations, universities, medical centers, research institutions, other public and private organizations, including State and local governments, labor unions and other employee representative groups, and small, minority and/or women-owned businesses. Consortiums of interested organizations are encouraged to apply. Awardees for each project will work cooperatively with former workers, DOE site officials, DOE operating contractors, labor organizations, health officials, and designated community representatives.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 23, 1996.

Paul J. Seligman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Health Studies. [FR Doc. 96–4826 Filed 2–29–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EG96-45-000, et al.]

Yichange CMI Power Development Company, Ltd., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

February 23, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission

1. Yichang CMI Power Development Company, Ltd.

[Docket No. EG96-45-000]

On February 20, 1996, Yichang CMI Power Development Company, Ltd. ("Applicant"), whose business address is Yichang Economic and Technological Development Zone, Yichang, Hubei Province, People's Republic of China, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's Regulations.

Applicant intends, directly or indirectly, to own or operate all or part of eligible facilities, including without limitation a 24 MW electric generating facility located in Hubei Province in the People's Republic of China.

Comment date: March 15, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

2. Jamaica Energy Partners

[Docket No. EG96–46–000] On February 20, 1996, Jamaica Energy Partners, c/o Wartsila Power Development, Inc., 116 Defense Highway, Suite 301, Annapolis, Maryland 21491, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for redetermination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's Regulations.

Applicant will own an approximately 76 MW floating diesel-engine-powered electric generating facility located at Old Harbour Bay, Jamaica. The Facility's electricity will be sold exclusively at wholesale, with the possible exception of some retail sales in Jamaica. None of the electric energy generated by the Facility will be sold to consumers in the United States.

Redetermination of exempt wholesale generator status is sought to reflect that Montana Power Company and Illinois Power Company have become affiliate and associate companies of Applicant.

Comment date: March 15, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

3. Duquesne Light Company

[Docket No. ER96-1018-000]

Take notice that on February 5, 1996, Duquesne Light Company (DLC) filed a Service Agreement dated January 9, 1996, with Allegheny Electric Cooperative, Inc. under DLC's FERC Coordination Sales Tariff (Tariff). The Service Agreement adds Allegheny Electric Cooperative, Inc. as a customer under the Tariff. DLC requests an effective date of January 9, 1996 for the Service Agreement.

Comment date: March 8, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Montaup Electric Company

[Docket No. ER96-1090-000]

Take notice that on February 20, 1996. Montaup Electric Company (Montaup), tendered for filing tariffs providing for point-to-point and network transmission service which Montaup states are consistent in all substantive respects with the terms and conditions of service contained in the draft pro forma tariffs included in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in "Promoting Wholesale Competition Through Open-Access Non-Discriminatory Transmission Services by Public Utilities," Docket No. RM95-8-000. Montaup also tendered for filing amendments to its system sales tariff to place the transmission of those sales under its proposed point-to-point tariff. Montaup asks that the Commission allow the filing to become effective on April 21, 1996.

Comment date: March 8, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. IES Utilities Inc.

[Docket No. ER96-1091-000]

Take notice that on February 20, 1996, IES Utilities Inc. (IES), tendered for filing proposed changes in its FERC Electric Service Tariff, Original Volume 1. The proposed changes would amend the IES and Central Iowa Power Cooperative (CIPCO) Operating and Transmission Agreement by adding Appendix 14.

Appendix 14 deals with the division of revenues received via the Mid-America Power Pool (MAPP) Transmission Service Change. IES and CIPCO operate a combined control area and are recognized as one entity by MAPP. Power sales/purchases that utilize the IES/CIPCO transmission system will result in a revenue stream to IES/CIPCO from MAPP. The method in Appendix 14 divides these revenues on the basis of installed rules of high voltage transmission line averaged with installed MVA of transmission voltage transformers for each of the parties.

Copies of the filing were served upon CIPCO and the Iowa State Utilities Board.

Comment date: March 8, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Entergy Power, Inc.

[Docket No. ER96-1092-000]

Take notice that on February 20, 1996, Entergy Power, Inc. (Entergy Power), tendered for filing a unit power sale agreement between Entergy Power and City of Tallahassee. Entergy Power requests an effective date for the Agreement of March 1, 1996, and respectfully requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirements under § 35.11 of the Commission's Regulations.

Comment date: March 8, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. Cinergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER96-1093-000]

Take notice that on February 20, 1996, Cinergy Services, Inc. (Cinergy), tendered for filing on behalf of its operating companies, The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company (CG&E) and PSI Energy, Inc. (PSI), an Electric Sales Agreement, dated February 1, 1996, between Cinergy, CG&E, PSI and WestPlains Energy-Kansas (WPE–KS).

The Electric Sales Agreement provides for the following service between Cinergy and WPE–KS:

- 1. Service Schedule A—Emergency Service
- Service Schedule B—System Energy
 Service Schedule C—Negotiated
- Service Schedule C—Negotiatec Capacity and Energy

Cinergy and WPE–KS have requested an effective date of March 1, 1996.

Copies of the filing were served on WestPlains Energy-Kansas, the State Corporation Commission, the Kentucky Public Service Commission, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio and the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission.

Comment date: March 8, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

8. Louisville Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER96-1094-000]

Take notice that on February 20, 1996, Louisville Gas and Electric Company, tendered for filing copies of service agreements between Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Rainbow Energy Marketing Corporation under Rate GSS.

Comment date: March 8, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. Louisville Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER96-1095-000]

Take notice that on February 20, 1996, Louisville Gas and Electric Company, tendered for filing copies of a service agreement between Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Louis Dreyfus Electric Power Inc. under Rate GSS. *Comment date:* March 8, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

10. Louisville Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER96-1096-000]

Take notice that on February 20, 1996, Louisville Gas and Electric Company, tendered for filing copies of a service agreement between Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Koch Power Services Inc. under Rate GSS.

Comment date: March 8, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

11. Louisville Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER96-1097-000]

Take notice that on February 20, 1996, Louisville Gas and Electric Company, tendered for filing copies of service agreements between Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Catex Vitol Electric under Rate GSS.

Comment date: March 8, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

12. Louisville Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER96-1098-000]

Take notice that on February 20, 1996, Louisville Gas and Electric Company, tendered for filing copies of service agreements between Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Noram Energy Services under Rate GSS.

Comment date: March 8, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

13. PECO Energy Company

[Docket No. ER96-1099-000]

Take notice that on February 20, 1996, PECO Energy Company (PECO), filed a Service Agreement dated February 1, 1996, with Commonwealth Edison Company (Commonwealth Edison) under PECO's FERC Electric Tariff Original Volume No. 1 (Tariff). The Service Agreement adds Commonwealth Edison as a customer under the Tariff.

PECO requests an effective date of February 1, 1996, for the Service Agreement.

PECO states that copies of this filing have been supplied to Commonwealth Edison and to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

Comment date: March 8, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

14. Illinois Power Company

[Docket No. ER96-1100-000]

Take notice that on February 20, 1996, Illinois Power Company (Illinois Power), 500 South 27th Street, Decatur, Illinois 62526, tendered for filing firm and non-firm transmission agreements under which MidCon Power Services Corp. will take transmission service pursuant to its open access transmission tariff. The agreements are based on the Form of Service Agreement in Illinois Power's tariff.

Illinois Power has requested an effective date of January 17, 1996.

Comment date: March 8, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

15. John E. Lobbia

[Docket No. ID-2478-001

Take notice that on December 28, 1995, John E. Lobbia, (Applicant) tendered for filing an application under Section 305(b) of the Federal Power Act to hold the following positions: Chief Executive Officer, Chairman and

Director—Detroit Edison Company Director—NBD Bank, Detroit

Comment date: March 8, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

16. Terence E. Adderley

[Docket No. ID-2930-000]

Take notice that on December 28, 1995, Terence E. Adderley, (Applicant) tendered for filing an application under Section 305(b) of the Federal Power Act to hold the following positions: Director—Detroit Edison Company

Director—First Chicago NBD Corporation

Comment date: March 8, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–4767 Filed 2–29–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P [Project No. 2170-003 Alaska]

Chugach Electric Association, Inc.; Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment

February 26, 1996.

An environmental assessment (EA) is available for public review. The EA is for an application to amend the license for the Cooper Lake Project. The application is to relocate an approximately 1.7-mile-long section of the project's existing 115-kilovolt transmission line and associated 100foot-wide right-of-way. The EA finds that approval of the application would not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. The Cooper Lake Project is located on Cooper Lake, Cooper Creek, Kenai Lake in the Municipality of Anchorage, Alaska.

The EA was written by staff in the Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Copies of the EA are available for review at the Commission's Reference and Information Center, Room 2–A, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426.

For further information, please contact Jon Cofrancesco at (202) 219–0079.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary. [FR Doc. 96–4765 Filed 2–29–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. CP96-153-000]

Southern Natural Gas Company; Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed North Alabama Pipeline Project, Request for Comments on Environmental Issues, and Notice of Public Scoping Meeting

February 26, 1996.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) that will discuss the environmental impacts of the construction and operation of the facilities proposed in the North Alabama Pipeline Project.¹ This EIS will be used by the Commission in its decision-making process to determine whether to approve the project.

We are asking a number of Federal agencies to indicate whether they wish

¹ Southern Natural Gas Company's application was filed with the Commissioner under Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations.