

of automobile batteries while reducing their purchases from the subject firm during the time period relevant to the investigation. Other findings show that the subject firm's Louisville, Kentucky location did not import automobile batteries.

Other findings on reconsideration show that the value of U.S. imports of automobile batteries declined in 1994 compared to 1993, and in twelve-month period of October through September 1994–1995 compared to the same twelve-month time period of 1993–1994.

Additionally, the petitioner claims that the Department issued trade adjustment assistance (TAA) certifications for other Johnson Control locations. The Department's review of these TAA certifications shows that they were issued because all the worker group criteria necessary for certification were met. Each worker group petition is determined for certification on its own merits. The Trade Act was not intended to provide TAA benefits to everyone who is in some way affected by foreign competition but only to those who experienced a decline in sales or production and employment and an increase in imports of like or directly competitive products which "contributed importantly" to declines in sales or production and employment.

Conclusion

After reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of Johnson Controls Battery Group, Inc., Louisville, Kentucky.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 6th day of February 1996.

Russell T. Kile,

Acting Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 96–3855 Filed 2–20–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA–W) issued during the period of January and February 1996.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a

certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) that a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,

(2) that sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and

(3) that increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA–W–31,638; *Greenfield Research, Inc., Howe, IN*

TA–W–31,601; *Continental EMSCO Co., Garland, TX*

TA–W–31,674; *Columbia Natural Resources, Inc., Charleston, WV*

TA–W–31,632; *Mustang Fuel Corp., Oklahoma City, OK*

TA–W–31,655; *AT&T Microelectronics, Clark, NJ*

TA–W–31,565; *Eastland Woolen Mills, Inc., Corinna, ME*

TA–W–31,566; *Striar Textile, Orono, ME*

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

TA–W–31,687; *Mead School & Office Products Div., Salem, OR*

TA–W–31,650; *Carpenter Manufacturing; Mitchell, IN*

TA–W–31,503; *Charisma Chairs, A Div. of Flexsteel Industries, Inc., Sweetwater, TN*

TA–W–31,815, TA–W–31,816; *American National Can Co., St. Louis, MO & Pevely, MO*

TA–W–31,800, TA–W–31,801; *Rexam DSI, dba Shore Reboul, Freeport, NY*

TA–W–31,675; *Excell Products Corp., Clifton, NJ*

TA–W–31,705; *Sierra Technologies, Inc., Siera Research Div, Buffalo, NY*

TA–W–31,763; *US Enertek Production Equipment Div., Farmington, NM*

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA–W–31,567; *Bass Shoe Outlet, #302, Lebanon, MO*

TA–W–31,821; *Fantasia Assessories, New York, NY*

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA–W–31,714; *OSRAM/Sylvania, Warren, PA*

TA–W–31,673; *Central Operating Co (Appalachian Power Co), New Haven, WV*

The investigation revealed that criterion (2) and (3) have not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification. Increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have not contributed importantly to the separations or threat thereof, and the absolute decline in sales or production.

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name & location for each determination references the impact date for all workers for such determination.

TA–W–31,820; *Everest & Jennings, Earth City Manufacturing Facility, Earth City, MO: January 3, 1995.*

TA–W–31,662; *Grossman & Sons, Inc., Passaic, NJ: November 14, 1994.*

TA–W–31,872; *Lewistown Specialty Yarn, Inc., Lewistown, PA: January 22, 1995.*

TA–W–31,692; *Reatta Tenn-Partners, Inc., Maynardville, TN: November 13, 1994.*

TA–W–31,739; *International Paper, Peoria, IL: December 4, 1994.*

TA–W–31,864; *Adrian Manufacturing, Inc., El Paso, TX: January 5, 1995.*

TA–W–31,795; *Cutting Services, Inc., El Paso, TX: December 12, 1994.*

TA–W–31,849; *Tultex Corp., Marion NC: January 4, 1995.*

TA–W–31,827; *Major League, Inc., Jasper, GA: December 27, 1994.*

TA–W–31,618; *Count Romi, Ltd, New York, NY: October 30, 1994.*

TA–W–31,607; *Signal Apparel Co., Inc., Rutledge Div., Bean Station, TN: October 18, 1994.*

TA–W–31,649; *Columbia Sportswear Co., Portland, OR: November 8, 1994.*

TA–W–31,794; *SmithKline Beecham Consumer Healthcare, Clifton, NJ: December 20, 1994.*

TA–W–31,813; *Siemens Energy & Automation, Inc., Residential Products Div., El Paso, TX: December 15, 1994.*

TA–W–31,615; *Dalen Resource Oil & Gas Co., Dallas, TX & Operating in*

The Following States: A; TX; B; CA, C; LA, D; OK, E; UT, F; WY: October 24, 1994.

TA-W-31,703; *Carter & Mayes, Summerville, GA: November 10, 1994.*

TA-W-31,787; *The Lee Apparel Co., Inc., Fayetteville, TN: December 1, 1994.*

TA-W-31,634; *Carter Footwear, Inc., Wilkes Barre, PA: November 9, 1994.*

TA-W-31,755; *Marshall Electric Corp., Rochester, IN: December 8, 1994.*

TA-W-31,661; *Westchester Lace, Inc., West New York, NJ: November 14, 1994.*

TA-W-31,598; *CMC Manufacturing, Inc., Corinth, MS: October 17, 1994.*

TA-W-31,689 & A; *Fruit of The Loom, Panola Mills, Batesville, MS: November 8, 1994. & Princeton, KY: November 9, 1994.*

TA-W-31,676 & A; *Fluor Daniel (NSPOR), Inc., Casper WY & Rifle, CO: November 17, 1994.*

TA-W-31,612; *Rita's Sportswear, Moscow, PA: October 26, 1994.*

TA-W-31,653; *Akzo Nobel Salt, Inc., Manistee, MI: November 7, 1994.*

TA-W-31,696; *Josph T. Ryerson & Son, Inc., Jersey City, NJ: October 23, 1994.*

TA-W-31,697; *Superior Pants Co., Athens, GA: November 17, 1994.*

TA-W-31,620; *Elaine Sportswear, Inc., New York, NY: September 2, 1994.*

TA-W-31,672; *CMC Apparel, Evergreen, AL: November 17, 1994.*

TA-W-31,686; *Maxcess Technologies, Inc., aka Mult-A-Frame Corp., Pontiac, MI: November 13, 1994.*

TA-W-31,690; *Philips Consumer Electronics Co., Greenville, TN: November 11, 1994.*

TA-W-31,735; *American Hardwood, Inc., Taulatin, OR: December 3, 1994.*

TA-W-31,608; *Paxar Woven Label Group, Paxar Corp., Patterson, NJ: October 20, 1994.*

TA-W-31,764; *Elf Atochem North America (Ozark-Mahoning Co), Risiclar, IL: December 12, 1994.*

TA-W-31,704; *Parker & Parlsey Petroleum USA, Inc., Midland, TX: June 30, 1994.*

TA-W-31,592, TA--31,593; *Kentile, Inc., Chicago, IL & South Plainfield, NJ: October 9, 1994.*

TA-W-31,660; *The Elkins Co., Elkins, WV: November 14, 1994.*

TA-W-31,636; *Frank 1X and Sons, Inc., Charlottesville, VA: November 7, 1994.*

TA-W-31,667, TA-W-31,668; *Amity Leather Products, Albuquerque, NM and Goldsboro, NC: November 22, 1994.*

TA-W-31,694, TA-W-31,695; *Snyder Oil Corp., Headquartered in Fort Worth, TX, Operating Throughout the State of Texas & Operating Throughout the State of Colorado: November 17, 1994.*

Also, pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (P.L. 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA) and in accordance with Section 250(a) Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act as amended, the Department of Labor presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA issued during the month of January and February, 1996.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA the following group eligibility requirements of Section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—

(2) that sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,

(3) that imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increases in imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

(4) that there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

Negative Determination NAFTA-TAA

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

NAFTA-TAA-00704; *AT&T Microelectronics, Clark, NJ*
 NAFTA-TAA-00756; *U.S. Enertek, Production Equipment Div., Farmington, NM*
 NAFTA-TAA-00758 & A; *American National, NO & St. Louis, MO*

NAFTA-TAA-00677; *Triangle Wire & Cable, Inc., Glen Dale, WV*
 NAFTA-TAA-00687; *Americana Knitting Mills of Miami, Inc., Sweater Div., Opa Locka, FL*
 NAFTA-TAA-00729; *Rexam DSI, Inc., dba Shore Rebound, Freeport, NY*
 NAFTA-TAA-00676; *Greenfield Research, Inc., Howe, IN*
 NAFTA-TAA-00726; *EIS Brake Parts, Div. of Standard Motor Products, Inc. Rural Retreat, VA*
 NAFTA-TAA-00699; *McAllen Separation Co., Charlotte, NC*

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

NAFTA-TAA-00735; *Synergy Services, Inc., aka Synergy Maintenance Service, El Paso, TX*
 NAFTA-TAA-00765; *L.E. Matchett Trucking Co Ltd, Spokane, Div., Veradale, WA*

The investigation revealed that the workers of the subject firm do not produce an article with in the meaning of Section 250(a) of the Trade Act, as amended.

NAFTA-TAA-00724; *Gould Shawmut, Circuit Protection Div (CPD), Newburyport, MA*

Sales and production at Gould Shawmut, Circuit Protection Div (CPD), Newburyport, MA did not decline during the relevant periods.

Affirmative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name & location for each determination references the impact date for all workers for such determination.

NAFTA-TAA-00694 & A; *Flour Daniel (NPOS), Inc, Casper, WY and Rifle, CO: November 21, 1994.*
 NAFTA-TAA-00754; *Tultex Corp., Marion, NC: January 4, 1995.*
 NAFTA-TAA-00716; *Crown Cork & Seal Co., Inc., Aerosol and Sanitary Can Manufacturing Plant, Philadelphia, PA: December 8, 1994.*
 NAFTA-TAA-00774; *UCAR Carbon Co., Inc., Columbia, TN: January 15, 1995.*
 NAFTA-TAA-00755; *Omak Wood Products, Inc., Omak, WA: December 26, 1994.*
 NAFTA-TAA-00756; *SmithKline Beecham Consumer Healthcare, Clifton, NJ: December 20, 1994.*
 NAFTA-TAA-00772; *F.G. Montabert Co., Midland Park, NJ: December 16, 1994.*
 NAFTA-TAA-00742; *Lewistown Specialty Yarn, Inc., Lewistown, PA: September 29, 1994.*

NAFTA-TAA-00763; *Everest & Jennings, Earth City Manufacturing Facility, Earth City, MO: January 3, 1995.*

NAFTA-TAA-00705; *American Standard, Inc., Plumbing Products Div., Paintsville, KY: November 16, 1994.*

NAFTA-TAA-00732; *Cutting Services, Inc., El Paso, TX: December 13, 1994.*

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of January and February 1996. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-4318, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: February 7, 1996.

Russell Kile,

Acting Program Manager, Policy & Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 96-3856 Filed 2-20-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

Iowa Assemblies, Inc., Lucas, Iowa; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

[NAFTA-00303]

NAFTA-00303A Mt. Ayr, NAFTA-00303B Osceola

NAFTA-00303C Murray, NAFTA-00303D Lamoni

In accordance with Section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 USC 2273), the Department of Labor issued a Notice of Certification of Eligibility to Apply for NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance on January 12, 1995, applicable to all workers at Iowa Assemblies, Inc. in Lucas, Mt. Ayr and Osceola, Iowa. The certification was amended on December 5, 1995, to include workers of Iowa Assemblies in Murray, Iowa.

At the request of the State Agency, the Department reviewed the subject certification. The company reports that worker separations will occur at the Iowa Assemblies automotive wiring harnesses and wiring assembly plant in Lamoni, Iowa. Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to include these workers.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Iowa Assemblies, Inc. adversely affected by increased imports of wiring

harnesses and assembly from Mexico or Canada.

The amended notice applicable to NAFTA-00303 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Iowa Assemblies, Inc., Lucas (NAFTA-00303), Mt. Ayr (NAFTA-00303A), Osceola (NAFTA-00303B), Murray (NAFTA-00303C), and Lamoni, Iowa (NAFTA-00303D) engaged in employment related to the production of wiring harnesses and assembly who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 8, 1993 are eligible to apply for NAFTA-TAA under Section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington DC this 31st day of January 1996.

Russell T. Kile,

Acting Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 96-3857 Filed 2-20-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Documents Containing Reporting or Recordkeeping Requirements; Office of Management and Budget Review

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for collection of information under the provision of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35):

1. *Type of submission, new, revision, or extension:* Revision.

2. *The title of the information collection:* 10 CFR Parts 20, 30, 40, 61, 70, and 72, Termination or Transfer of Licensed Activities: Recordkeeping Requirements.

3. *The form number if applicable:* Not applicable.

4. *How often is the collection required:* A one-time transfer of records pertaining to decommissioning, offsite releases, and waste disposal to the responsible licensee when licensed activities are transferred or assigned to another licensee, in accordance with the terms of the license. A one-time forwarding of records pertaining to decommissioning, offsite releases, and waste disposal to the cognizant regulatory body once a license is terminated. There will also be a one-time forwarding of records concerning low-level waste facilities to the disposal site owner once the facility is closed

and the license transferred to the disposal site owner, and a one-time forwarding of records to the cognizant regulatory body and the party responsible for institutional control of the site once that body terminates the license.

5. *Who will be required or asked to report:* Part 30, 40, 61, 70 and 72 NRC and Agreement State licensees who are transferring, assigning, or terminating their licenses.

6. *An estimate of the number of responses:* 962.

7. *The estimated number of annual respondents:* 962 per year.

8. *An estimate of the number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 4,999 hours for all 962 licensees affected by the rule or an average of 5.2 hours per licensee.

9. *An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104-13 applies:* Applicable.

10. *Abstract:* The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is amending its regulations pertaining to the disposition of certain records when a licensee terminates licensed activities or licensed activities are transferred to another licensee. The final rule requires a licensee to transfer records pertaining to decommissioning, and certain records pertaining to offsite releases and waste disposal, to the new licensee if licensed activities will continue at the same site, and it requires all affected licensees to forward these records to the NRC when a license is terminated.

Submit by March 22, 1996, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the submittal may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (lower level), Washington, DC 20555-0001. Members of the public who are in the Washington, DC, area can access this document via modem on the Public Document Room Bulletin Board (NRC's Advanced Copy Document Library), NRC subsystem at FedWorld, 703-321-3339. Members of the public who are located outside of the Washington, DC, area can dial FedWorld, 1-800-303-9672, or use the FedWorld Internet address: fedworld.gov (Telnet). The