

Issue Number 3

Whether Powerex's Request is a "good faith transmission request" within the meaning of Subsection 10.4.1 of the NRTA Governing Agreement, the currently applicable standards and policies of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) regarding such requests and Section 213 of the Federal Power Act (FPA).

Issue Number 4

Whether the NRTA Governing Agreement, Subsection 212(i)(1)(ii) of the FPA and FERC's currently applicable standards and policies, require BPA to render firm and non-firm point-to-point service to Powerex over BPA's Northern Intertie and Network facilities, effective October 1, 1996, at rates under the PTP-96, RNF-96 and ET-96 and successor rate schedules that:

A. Reflect the roll-in of the costs of the Northern Intertie to Network revenue requirements;

B. Treat the points of interconnection between the Federal Columbia River Transmission System and the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority System on the United States-Canada border near Blaine, Washington and Nelway, British Columbia as Points of Integration and Points of Delivery for the Network; and

C. Are subject to the Short Distance Discount for firm service and to nondiscriminatory discounting for nonfirm service.

Bonneville and Powerex have agreed on a qualified arbitrator who will also serve as the Hearing Officer (Hearing Officer) in the Special Proceeding to resolve such issues. Bonneville and Powerex agree to the Arbitrator deciding Issue Number 1 and Issue Number 2 at the initial prehearing conference to be scheduled in the Federal Register notice prescribed by Subsection 12.5.3.ii of the NRTA Governing Agreement (this Notice). Bonneville and Powerex further agree:

1. If the Hearing Officer at such prehearing conference makes affirmative findings with respect to both Issue Number 1 and Issue Number 2, the Hearing Officer shall also determine the procedural effect of the ruling, including but not limited to:

(a) Suspension of further arbitration procedures with respect to Issue Number 3 and Issue Number 4 until after the Administrator issues his decision in WP-96/TR-96; or

(b) Termination of this arbitration, without prejudice to Powerex pursuing such other and further rights as may be available to it under the NRTA governing agreement, and

2. That if the Arbitrator at such prehearing conference fails to make affirmative findings with respect to both Issue Number 1 and Issue Number 2, then the Arbitrator shall establish a procedural schedule for a separate proceeding under Subsection 7(i) of the Northwest Power Act to resolve Issue Number 3 and Issue Number 4.

BPA and Powerex agree that the remaining issues arising from Powerex's Request shall not be subject to arbitration at this time, without prejudice to Powerex seeking at a later date to invoke the dispute resolution provisions of the NRTA governing Agreement in the event:

1. Ongoing discussions between Bonneville and Powerex do not result in a satisfactory mutual agreement regarding available transmission capacity on the Northern Intertie; or

2. The transmission service issues raised by Powerex in the Request are not resolved to Powerex's satisfaction in BPA Docket TC-96.

If the Hearing Officer determines that the proceeding shall address the substantive issues, the relevant documents and testimony from WP/TR-96 will be identified and made available to all parties to the proceeding.

Issued in Portland, Oregon, on February 7, 1996.

Sue F. Hickey,

Chief Operating Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-3189 Filed 2-12-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center; Notice of Non-Competitive Financial Assistance Award

AGENCY: Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center, Department of Energy.

ACTION: Determination of Non-Competitive award of a Cooperative Agreement with the Electric Power Research Institute.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center (PETC) announces that pursuant to 10 CFR 600.7(b)(2)(i), criteria (D), it intends to award a Cooperative Agreement to the Electric Power Research Institute for "DOE/EPRI Cooperation on Indian Greenhouse Gas Pollution Prevention Project". The Electric Power Research Institute has been determined to be a unique organization with unique qualifications in accordance with 10 CFR 600.7(b)(2)(i), criteria (D) and a competitive solicitation would be inappropriate.

ADDRESSES: U. S. Department of Energy, Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center,

Acquisition and Assistance Division, P.O. Box 10940, MS 921-118, Pittsburgh, PA 15236-0940.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William R. Mundorf, Contract Specialist, 412/892-4483.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Cooperative Agreement No.

DE-FC22-96PC9

Title of Effort:

DOE/EPRI Cooperation on Indian Greenhouse Gas Pollution Prevention Project

Awardee

Electric Power Research Institute

Term of Assistance Award

Five (5) Years

Cost of Assistance Effort

The total estimated project value is \$2,300,000.

Objective

The objectives of this project are to: (1) Reduce carbon dioxide emissions per kilowatt-hour generated in existing Indian coal-fired power plants, and (2) encourage Indian sugar mills to use of biomass fuels, rather than fossil fuels, year round in higher efficiency cogeneration plants. The effort will require extensive training, plant efficiency studies, and technology transfer.

Richard D. Rogus,

Contracting Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-3188 Filed 2-12-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP85-221-061]

Frontier Gas Storage Company; Notice of Sale Pursuant to Settlement Agreement

February 7, 1996.

Take notice that on January 31, 1996, Frontier Gas Storage Company (Frontier), c/o Reid & Priest, Market Square, 701 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Suite 800, Washington, D.C. 20004, in compliance with provisions of the Commission's February 13, 1985, Order in Docket No. CP82-487-000, *et al.*, submitted an executed Service Agreement under Rate Schedule LVS-1 providing for the possible sale of up to a daily quantity of 50,000 MMBtu, not to exceed 5 Bcf of Frontier's gas storage inventory on an "as metered" basis to WBI Gas Services, Company, for term ending January 31, 1997.

Under Subpart (b) of Ordering Paragraph (F) of the Commission's February 13, 1985, Order, Frontier is "authorized to commence the sale of its inventory under such an executed service agreement fourteen days after filing the agreement with the Commission, and may continue making such sale unless the Commission issues an order either requiring Frontier to stop selling and setting the matter for hearing or permitting the sale to continue and establishing other procedures for resolving the matter."

Any person desiring to be heard or to make a protest with reference to said filing should, within 10 days of the publication of such notice in the Federal Register, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (888 1st Street N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426) a motion to intervene or protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures, 18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-3102 Filed 2-12-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP96-138-000]

Koch Gateway Pipeline Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

February 7, 1996.

Take notice that on February 2, 1996, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch Gateway) tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Fifth Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheet to be effective March 3, 1996:

Third Revised Sheet No. 403

Koch Gateway states that this filing is submitted as a limited application pursuant to Section 4 of the Natural Gas Act, 15 U.S.C. § 717c (1988), and Part 154 of the Rules and Regulations of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

Koch Gateway states the above tariff sheet is being submitted to provide a more flexible injection schedule for its firm storage customers by increasing its injection capability near the end of the injection season at its Bistineau Storage Facility.

Koch Gateway also states that copies of its filing are being served upon Koch Gateway customers, state commissions and other interested parties.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's regulations. All such motions or protest must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-3105 Filed 2-12-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-167-000]

Northwest Pipeline Corporation; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

February 7, 1996.

Take notice that on February 1, 1996, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest), 295 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84158, filed in Docket No. CP96-167-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.211 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211 and 157.216) for authorization to abandon certain facilities at the Glenns Ferry Meter Station in Elmore County, Idaho and to construct and operate upgraded replacement facilities at this station to more efficiently accommodate existing firm maximum daily delivery obligations at this delivery point to Intermountain Gas Company under Northwest's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-433-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northwest states that since the existing regulators can not efficiently accommodate the existing peak hourly flow rates, it proposes to upgrade the Glenns Ferry Meter Station by replacing the two existing 1-inch regulators and appurtenances with two new 1-inch regulators and appurtenances.

In addition, Northwest states that, as a result of this replacement, the maximum design capacity of the meter station will increase from 1,117 Dth per day (as limited by the existing

regulators) to approximately 1,867 Dth per day at 150 psig (as limited by the existing meters).

Northwest also states that no abandonment of service will occur and no impact on Northwest's system peak day or annual deliveries is projected to result from the proposed facility replacements at the Glenns Ferry Meter Station.

Northwest further states that the total cost of the proposed facility replacement at the Glenns Ferry Meter Station is estimated to be approximately \$16,600; comprised of \$15,600 for installation of the new facilities and \$1,000 for removal of the old facilities.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-3103 Filed 2-12-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. GT96-40-000]

Southern Natural Gas Company; Notice of Refund Report

February 7, 1996.

Take notice that on December 14, 1995, Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern) tendered for filing with the Commission a Refund Report reflecting its refund of certain amounts to its eligible firm shippers. These amounts represent a flowthrough of refunds received from the Gas Research Institute (GRI). The report states that Southern refunded \$943,835 to its eligible shippers on October 17, 1995, which represents the amount received from GRI as required by the Commission's Order dated February 22, 1995.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211