exposure to a member of the public (BPC employee not involved in the remediation project) from an accident scenario would be 0.07 mSv (7 mrem) internal exposure. This potential exposure would result when a truck, transporting contaminated soil, tipped over, spread fuel over the spilled soil, and caught fire. The exposed individual was assumed to be standing downwind of the accident at the controlled access area boundary. The calculated dose is a small fraction of the annual dose limit to the public of 1.0 mSv/yr (100 mrem/ yr) in 10 CFR Part 20. The NRC staff verified these calculations used by the licensee.

The predicted maximum exposure to a worker from an accident scenario, other than the above truck accident, would be 7.7E-04 mSv (7.7E-02 mrem). This is based on an explosion of the pug mill mixer, where the worker was immersed in a "contaminated" cloud of suspended sludge for 10 seconds while leaving the immediate area of the explosion. This resultant exposure is a small fraction of the 50 mSv/yr (5000 mrem/yr) annual exposure limit for radiation workers and would not significantly add to the worker's annual exposure. The NRC staff verified calculations used by the licensee.

Because no wastes are expected to be shipped offsite to a licensed low-level waste disposal site, there are no expected impacts from the transportation or offsite disposal of radioactive materials.

The NRC staff also considered nonradiological impacts and concluded that all such impacts are negligible.

The NRC staff examined the distribution of minority and low-income communities near the BPC site. Based on the data, there is no potential for environmental justice issues because of race, because no minority exceeds 20 percent of the total population. Because the site represents an insignificant risk to the public health and safety, and the human environment, any residual radioactivity left at the site is not expected to disproportionately impact minority or low income populations near the BPC site. The staff concludes that no environmental justice potential occurs at the BPC site.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Six alternatives were investigated that resulted in the selection of onsite disposal as the recommended and preferred option by BPC. They are:

- No action;
- Pond water treatment only;
- Disposal at an existing commercial low-level radioactive waste disposal site;

• On-site temporary storage followed by off-site permanent disposal at a future, commercial low-level radioactive waste disposal site;

• Treatment of the mixed waste to remove the hazardous constituents and disposal of the remaining low-level radioactive waste at a commercial lowlevel radioactive waste disposal site;

• On-site disposal under 10 CFR Part 20.2002 (BPC's preferred option). The advantages and disadvantages of these alternatives, are described in the EA.

Conclusions

The onsite permanent disposal under 10 CFR Part 20.2002 (the BPC's preferred option) consists of removing and stabilizing the contaminated material, and disposing of the wastes in up to three closure cells designed and constructed according to the RCRA criteria. This disposal option complies with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 20.2002.

The environmental and public health impacts would be minimized to ALARA standards. No additional lands are required. There will be no adverse impacts caused by off-site waste transportation because no off-site waste transport is involved. Also, occupational exposures will be minimized. The estimated cost for the mixed waste pond closure project is \$6 million, plus a contingency factor of 25 percent.

The NRC staff concludes that there are no reasonably available alternatives, to the BPC's preferred action, that are obviously superior.

Agencies and Persons Consulted, and Sources Used

This EA was prepared entirely by NRC's Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards staff in Rockville, Maryland, and Region III staff in Lisle, Illinois. Review comments were solicited on the draft EA from the Ohio Department of Health, the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, and the Allen County Combined Health District, Lima, Ohio.

Finding of No Siginficant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

Additional Information

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see: (1) BPC's license

amendment application submittals dated August 15, 1991, February 28, 1992, and February 7, 1994; and (2) the complete Environmental Assessment. The documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20555.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 1st day of May 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Robert A. Nelson,

Acting Chief, Low-Level Waste and Decommissioning Projects Branch, Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards. [FR Doc. 96–11291 Filed 5–6–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

DATE: Weeks of May 6, 13, 20, and 27, 1996.

PLACE: Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Week of May 6

Friday, May 10

10:00 a.m.

- Briefing on Severe Accident Master Integration Plan (Public Meeting)
- (Contact: Themis Speis, 301–415–6802) 11:30 a.m.
 - Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (if needed)
- Week of May 13-Tentative
- Monday, May 13
- 2:00 p.m.

Briefing by Commonwealth Edison (Public Meeting)

Wednesday, May 15

2:00 p.m.

- Briefing on Performance Assessment Program in HLW, LLW, and SDMP
- (Public Meeting)
- (Contact: Norman Eisenberg, 301–415– 7285)

3:30 p.m.

- Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (if needed)
- Week of May 20-Tentative
- Wednesday, May 22

10:00 a.m.

- Briefing on Status of NRC Operator Licensing Initial Examination Pilot
- Process (Public Meeting) (Contact: Stuart Richards, 301–415–1031) 11:30 a.m.
- Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (if needed)
- 2:00 p.m.

Briefing by International Programs (Closed—Ex. 1)

- 9:30 a.m.
- Meeting with Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) (Public Meeting) (Contact: John Larkins, 301–415–7360)
- (Contact: John Larkins, 501 410 73

Week of May 27—Tentative

Thursday, May 30

2:00 p.m.

Briefing on Status of Dry Cask Storage Issues (Public Meeting) (Contact: William Travers, 301–415–8500)

Friday, May 31

10:00 a.m.

Briefing on NRC Inspection Activities (Public Meeting)

11:30 a.m.

Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (if needed)

*The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings call (Recording)—(301) 415–1292.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Bill Hill (301) 415–1661.

This notice is distributed by mail to several hundred subscribers: if you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to it, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Attn: Operations Branch, Washington, D.C. 20555 (301–415–1963).

In addition, distribution of this meeting notice over the internet system is available. If you are interested in receiving this Commission meeting schedule electronically, please send an electronic message to alb@nrc.gov or gkt@nrc.gov.

* * * * *

Dated: May 3, 1996.

Andrew L. Bates,

Senior Level Advisor, Office of the Secretary. [FR Doc. 96–11529 Filed 5–3–96; 3:51 pm] BILLING CODE 7590–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

National Motor Carrier Advisory Committee; Charter Renewal

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of charter renewal.

SUMMARY: The charter for the National Motor Carrier Advisory Committee (the Committee) has been renewed for a twoyear period of time from 1996 through 1998, effective on January 29, 1996. The Committee acts in an advisory capacity to the Federal Highway Administrator. It makes recommendations intended to improve the safety and productivity of the motor carrier industry and the effectiveness of the FHWA's programs and policies. The Committee reviews research projects, regulations, and programs including those involving commercial motor vehicle licensing and taxation, uniformity, and safety. Meetings of the Committee are open to the public and must be announced in the Federal Register. Copies of the Committee's charter are available upon request.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Jill L. Hochman, HIA–20, Room 3104, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington 20590, (202) 366–1861. Office hours are from 7:45 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. e.t., Monday through Friday, except for Federal holidays.

(23 U.S.C. 315; 49 CFR 1.48)

Issued on: April 29, 1996.

Rodney E. Slater,

Federal Highway Administrator. [FR Doc. 96–11371 Filed 5–6–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-22–P

Surface Transportation Board 1

[STB Finance Docket No. 32857]

Burlington Northern Railroad Company—Lease Exemption— Union Pacific Railroad Company

AGENCY: Surface Transportation Board. **ACTION:** Notice of exemption.

SUMMARY: The Board exempts under 49 U.S.C. 10502 from the prior approval requirements of 49 U.S.C. 11323–25 the lease by Burlington Northern Railroad Company of Union Pacific Railroad Company's 1.0-mile line of railroad from milepost 32.0 to milepost 33.0, at Valmont, CO, subject to standard employee protective conditions.

DATES: This exemption is effective on June 6, 1996. Petitions to stay must be filed by May 17, 1996. Petitions to reopen must be filed by May 28, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Send pleadings referring to STB Finance Docket No. 32857 to: (1) Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Branch, 1201 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20423; and (2) Michael E. Roper, 3800 Continental Plaza, 777 Main Street, Fort Worth, TX 76102– 5384. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beryl Gordon, (202) 927–5610. [TDD for the hearing impaired: (202) 927–5721.] SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Additional information is contained in the Board's decision. To purchase a copy of the full decision, write to, call, or pick up in person from: DC NEWS & DATA, INC., Room 2229, 1201 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20423. Telephone: (202) 289–4357/4359. [Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through TDD services (202) 927–5721.]

Decided: April 23, 1996. By the Board, Chairman Morgan, Vice Chairman Simmons, and Commissioner Owen. Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–11315 Filed 5–6–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4915–00–P

[STB Finance Docket No. 32899]

Owensville Terminal Company, Inc.— Acquisition and Operation Exemption—Poseyville & Owensville Railroad Company, Inc. [9623]

Owensville Terminal Company, Inc. (OTC), a noncarrier, filed a notice of exemption to acquire from Poseyville & Owensville Railroad Company, Inc. (P&O), and operate approximately 11.2 miles of rail branch line in Gibson and Posey Counties, IN, between milepost 271.0 in Poseyville and milepost 282.2 in Owensville.² The transaction was to be consummated on or after April 19, 1996.³

This notice is filed under 49 CFR 1150.31. If the notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to reopen the

² P&O owns the line and operates it as a branch line, using equipment and labor supplied under contract by Garden Spot & Ohio Railroad (GS&O). The line connects with GS&O at Poseyville.

³P&O was placed into receivership by the Gibson County Superior Court, Gibson County, IN, in Cause No. 26001–9303–CP–0010. On March 19, 1993, the court appointed Robert W. Musgrave (Musgrave) receiver for P&O. Pursuant to a March 26, 1996 court order, Musgrave agreed to sell the line (real estate, leases and licenses, track, ties, and other track materials) to RailAmerica, Inc. (RailAmerica), a Delaware corporation. RailAmerica, in turn, will assign its rights and interests in the line to OTC, and Huron and Eastern Railway Company, Inc. (Huron), will supply the labor and equipment, as needed, for OTC to operate the line. OTC and Huron are Michigan corporations and RailAmerica subsidiaries.

Friday, May 24

¹ The ICC Termination Act of 1995, Pub. L. No. 104–88, 109 Stat. 803 (the Act), which was enacted on December 29, 1995, and took effect on January 1, 1996, abolished the Interstate Commerce Commission and transferred certain functions to the Surface Transportation Board (Board). This notice relates to functions that are subject to Board jurisdiction pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 11323.

¹The ICC Termination Act of 1995, Pub. L. No. 104–88, 109 Stat. 803, which was enacted on December 29, 1995, and took effect on January 1, 1996, abolished the Interstate Commerce Commission and transferred certain functions to the Surface Transportation Board (Board). This notice relates to functions that are subject to Board jurisdiction pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 10901.