Activity/operator	Location	Date
Tatham Offshore, Inc., Structure Removal Operations, SEA No. ES/SR 96–043.	West Cameron Area, West Addition, Block 436, Lease OCS- 2539, 76 miles south of Cameron Parish, Louisiana.	03/07/96
Union Pacific Resources Company, Structure Removal Operations, SEA No. ES/SR 96–048.	Ship Shoal Area, Block 263, Lease OCS-G 10784, 47 miles from the Louisiana	03/01/96
Santa Fe Energy Resources, Inc., Structure Removal Operations, SEA No. ES/SR 96–67.		03/27/96

Persons interested in reviewing environmental documents for the proposals listed above or obtaining information about EA's and FONSI's prepared for activities on the Gulf of Mexico OCS are encouraged to contact the MMS office in the Gulf of Mexico OCS Region.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Public Information Unit, Information Services Section, Gulf of Mexico OCS Region, Minerals Management Service, 1201 Elmwood Park Boulevard, New Orleans, Louisiana 70123–2394, Telephone (504) 736–2519.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The MMS prepares EA's and FONSI's for proposals which relate to exploration for and the development/production of oil and gas resources on the Gulf of Mexico OCS. The EA's examine the potential environmental effects of activities described in the proposals and present MMS conclusions regarding the significance of those effects. Environmental Assessments are used as a basis for determining whether or not approval of the proposals constitutes major Federal actions that significantly affect the quality of the human environment in the sense of NEPA Section 102(2)(C). A FONSI is prepared in those instances where the MMS finds that approval will not result in significant effects on the quality of the human environment. The FONSI briefly presents the basis for that finding and includes a summary or copy of the EA. This notice constitutes the public notice of availability of environmental documents required under the NEPA Regulations.

Dated: April 23, 1996.

Chris C. Oynes,

Regional Director, Gulf of Mexico OCS Region. [FR Doc. 96–10721 Filed 4–30–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–MR–M

INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION AGENCY

Overseas Private Investment Corporation

Submission for OMB review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Overseas Private Investment Corporation, IDCA.

ACTION: Request for comments.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), agencies are required to submit information collection requests to OMB for review and approval, and to publish a notice in the Federal Register notifying the public that the Agency has made such a submission. The proposed form under review is summarized below.

DATES: Comments are being solicited on the need for the information, its practical utility, the accuracy of the Agency's burden estimate, and on ways to minimize the reporting burden. Comments must be received by May 31, 1996. If you anticipate commenting on the form but find that the time to prepare will prevent you from submitting comments promptly, you should advise the OMB Reviewer and the Agency Submitting Officer of your intent as early as possible.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the subject form and the request for review submitted to OMB may be obtained from the Agency Submitting Officer. Comments on the form should be submitted to the Agency Submitting Officer and the OMB Reviewer.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

OPIC Agency Submitting Officer: Lena Paulsen, Manager, Information Center, Overseas Private Investment Corporation, 1100 New York Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20527; 202/ 336–8565.

OMB Reviewer: Jeff Hill, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Docket Library, Room 3201, Washington, D.C. 20503; 202/395–7340. Summary of Form Under Review

Type of Request: Revised form.

Title: Application for Political Risk
Investment Insurance.

Form Number: OPIC-52.

Frequency of Use: Once per investor per project.

Type of Respondents: Business or other institutions (except farms); individuals.

Standard Industrial Classification Codes: All.

Description of Affected Public: U.S. companies or citizens investing overseas.

Reporting Hours: 5 hours per project. Number of Responses: 160 per year. Federal Cost: \$3,200 per year.

Authority for Information Collection: Sections 231, 234(a), 239(d), and 240A of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended.

Abstract (Needs and Users): The application is the principal document used by OPIC to determine the investor and project eligibility, assess the environmental impact and developmental effects of the project, measure the economic effects for the United States and the host country economy, and collect information for underwriting analysis.

Dated: April 26, 1996.

James R. Offutt,

Assistant General Counsel, Department of Legal Affairs.

[FR Doc. 96–10787 Filed 4–30–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3210–01-M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-371]

Certain Memory Devices With Increased Capacitance and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation on the Basis of a Finding of No Violation of Section 337

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Commission has determined not to review the initial determination (ID) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) on March 21, 1996, terminating the above-captioned investigation on the basis of a finding of no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark D. Kelly, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205– 3106

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 30, 1995, the Commission ordered that an investigation be instituted to determine whether there are violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, in the importation, sale for importation, or sale within the United States after importation of certain memory devices with increased capacitance and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Letters Patent 5,166,904 (the '904 patent), owned by complainants Emanuel Hazani and Patent Enforcement Fund, Inc., and whether there exists an industry in the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The Commission instituted an investigation of the complaint and published a notice of investigation in the Federal Register on February 6, 1995. 60 FR 7068. The following thirteen firms were named as respondents: Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, Tokyo, Japan; Mitsubishi Electronics America, Inc., Cypress, CA; NEC Corporation, Tokyo, Japan; NEC Electronics, Inc., Mountain View, CA; OKI Electronic Industry Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan; OKI America, Inc., Hackensack, NJ; Hitachi, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan; Hitachi America, Ltd., Tarrytown, NY; Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea; Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Ridgefield Park, NJ; Samsung Semiconductors, Inc., San Jose, CA; Hyundai Electronics Industries Co., Ltd, Seoul, Korea; and Hyundai Electronics America, Inc., San Jose, CA. The complaint alleged that the respondents manufactured and imported 16- and 64-Mbit dynamic random-access memories (DRAMs) that infringe certain claims of the '904 patent.

On October 13, 1995, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 63) granting a motion filed by the NEC respondents for summary determination of the invalidity of claims 1–2, 4–13, 15–17, 22 and 25 based on anticipation by U.S. Letters Patent 4,758,986 to Kuo (the

"Kuo patent"). On October 20, 1995, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 64) granting a motion filed by the Samsung respondents for summary determination of the invalidity of claims 18–20 and 26–28 also based on anticipation by the Kuo patent and terminating the investigation as to claim 21. On October 30, 1995, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 65) granting a motion filed by the Mitsubishi respondents for summary determination of non-infringement as to claim 14.

On December 14, 1995, the Commission determined not to review Orders Nos. 63 and 65, but determined to review in part and remand the ID (Order No. 64) issued by the ALJ on October 20, 1995.

On March 21, 1996, after further briefing from the parties, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 71) granting a motion filed by the Samsung respondents for summary determination of invalidity of claims 18–20 and 26–28 based on anticipation by the Kuo patent. Complainants filed a petition for review of the ID on March 28, 1996. The Samsung respondents and the Commission investigative attorney filed oppositions to the petition for review on April 12, 1996.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, and Commission rule 210.42, 19 C.F.R. § 210.42. Copies of the public versions of the ALJ's ID and all other public documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. Hearingimpaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205 - 1810.

Issued: April 22, 1996. By order of the Commission. Donna R. Koehnke, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–10818 Filed 4–30–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

[Investigation No. 337-TA-387]

In the Matter of: Certain Self-Powered Fiber Optic Modems; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on March 26, 1996, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, on behalf of Patton Electronics Co., 7622 Rickenbacker Drive, Gaithersburg, MD 20879-4773. An amendment to the complaint was filed on April 17, 1996. The complaint, as amended, alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain self-powered fiber optic modems that infringe claims 1, 2, 3, 7 and 8 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,161,650. The complaint further alleges that there exists an industry in the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after a full investigation, issue a permanent exclusion order and permanent cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–1802. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John M. Whealan, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205–2574.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Final Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. § 210.10.

SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on April 25, 1996, ORDERED THAT—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain self-powered fiber optic modems by reason of infringement of claims 1, 2, 3, 7 or 8 of U.S. Letters