Central Hudson states that a copy of its filing was served on Con Edison, Niagara Mohawk and the State of New York Public Service Commission.

Comment date: May 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

19. Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corporation

[Docket No. ER96-1566-000]

Take notice that on April 15, 1996. Central Hudson Gas and Electric Corporation (Central Hudson), tendered for filing its development of actual costs for 1995 related to substation service provided to Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (Con Edison) in accordance with the provisions of its Rate Schedule FERC No. 43.

Central Hudson indicates that the actual cost amounted to \$232,083 for 1995 will be the basis on which estimated charges for 1996 will be billed. Central Hudson requests waiver on the notice requirements set forth in 18 CFR 35.11 of the Regulations to permit charges to become effective January 1, 1996, as agreed by the parties.

Central Hudson states that a copy of its filing was served on Con Edison and the State of New York Public Service Commission.

Comment date: May 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

20. PSI Energy, Inc.

[Docket No. ER96-1567-000]

Take notice that on April 15, 1996, PSI Energy, Inc. (PSI), tendered for filing pursuant to the Service Agreement between the Town of Veedersburg and PSI a revised Exhibit A (Service Specifications).

Said Exhibit A provides for revised service characteristics at the Municipal's delivery point(s).

Copies of the filing were served on the Town of Veedersburg and the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission.

Comment date: May 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

21. Illinois Power Company

[Docket No. ER96-1568-000]

Take notice that on April 15, 1996, Illinois Power Company (Illinois Power), 500 South 27th Street, Decatur, Illinois 62526, tendered for filing a Power Sales Tariff, Service Agreement under which Delhi Energy Services, Inc. will take service under Illinois Power Company's Power Sales Tariff. The agreements are based on the Form of Service Agreement in Illinois Power's tariff.

Illinois Power has requested an effective date of April 1, 1996.

Comment date: May 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

22. Illinois Power Company

[Docket No. ER96-1569-000]

Take notice that on April 15, 1996, Illinois Power Company (Illinois Power), 500 South 27th Street, Decatur, Illinois 62526, tendered for filing a Power Sales Tariff, Service Agreement under which QST Energy Trading Inc. will take service under Illinois Power Company's Power Sales Tariff. The agreements are based on the Form of Service Agreement in Illinois Power's tariff.

Illinois Power has requested an effective date of April 1, 1996.

Comment date: May 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

23. Maine Public Service Company

[Docket No. ER96-1570-000]

Take notice that on April 15, 1996, Maine Public Service Company submitted an agreement under its Umbrella Power Sales tariff.

Comment date: May 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

24. Central Power and Light Company and West Texas Utilities Company

[Docket No. ER96-1571-000]

Take notice that on April 15, 1996, Central Power and Light Company (CPL) and West Texas Utilities Company (WTU) (jointly, the Companies), submitted two Transmission Service Agreements, dated April 4, 1996, providing for transmission to, from and over both the East and North HVDC Ties, establishing Texas Utilities Electric Company (TU) as a customer under the terms of the ERCOT Interpool Transmission Service Tariff.

The Companies request waiver of the Commission's notice requirements. Copies of this filing were served upon

Comment date: May 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

25. Public Service Company of Oklahoma and Southwestern Electric **Power Company**

[Docket No. ER96-1572-000]

Take notice that on April 15, 1996, Public Service Company of Oklahoma (PSO) and Southwestern Electric Power Company (SWEPCO) (jointly, the Companies) submitted (1) two Transmission Service Agreements dated

April 4, 1996, providing for transmission to, from and over both the East and North HVDC Ties, establishing Texas Utilities Electric Company (TU) as a customer under the terms of the Companies' Southwestern Power Pool (SPP) Interpool Tariff; and (2) an Agreement between the Companies and TU to prevent double counting.

The Companies request waiver of the Commission's notice requirements. Copies of this filing were served upon TU and the Public Utility Commission of Texas.

Comment date: May 3, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-10352 Filed 4-25-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5415-9]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared April 08, 1996 Through April 12, 1996 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at $(202)\ 564-7167.$

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 05, 1996 (61 FR 15251).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-COE-D35057-MD Rating EC2, Poplar Island Restoration Project, Dredging, Construction and Placement of Dredged Materials, Implementation, Chesapeake Bay, Talbot County, MD.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the monitoring, maintenance, and remedial action components of the project, as well as the lack of information regarding these issues.

ERP No. D-COE-K01074-CA Rating EO2, Morrison Creek Mining Reach Upstream North of Jackson Highway, Implementation, Community Plan Amendment, Rezoning, Use Permit Amendment to Existing Use Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Sacramento County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over potential impacts to wetlands resources, including vernal pools, as well as potential adverse impacts to air quality.

ERP No. D-COE-K39040-CA Rating EC2, San Diego County Water Authority Emergency Water Storage Project, Construction and Operation, COE Section 404 Permit and Permit Application, San Diego County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over potential cumulative impacts to fish and wildlife and riparian corridors due to construction of new reservoirs proposed under certain alternatives, and strongly recommended that the Corps not select an alternative that provides for construction of new dams or reservoirs. EPA also requested additional information regarding pollution measures and cumulative impacts.

ERP No. D–COE–K90029–ĈA Rating EC2, Delta Wetlands Project, Construction and Operation Water Storage Project on Four Islands in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, Approval of Permits, San Joaquin and Contra Costa Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns because of the potential for movement of optimum salinity conditions upstream, which represents a possible significant adverse impact to key components of the Bay Delta ecosystem. EPA is also concerned that diversions onto the islands may significantly attenuate pulse flows associated with spring storms and that Delta Wetlands operations are not integrated with operation of the State Water Project and Central Valley Project.

ERP No. D-COE-L23001-WA Rating EO2, Resource Investments Landfill Facility Construction, COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Pierce County, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding potential adverse impacts to surface water, air quality, groundwater and wetlands. Additional information is needed regarding the definition of the project's purpose and need, as well as the alternatives analysis.

ERP No. D-FRC-L05214-WA Rating LO, Priest Rapids Project (FERC No. 2114–024), Evaluation of Downstream Fish Passage Facilities, New License Issuance with Conditions to Protect the Migratory Juvenile Salmon (Smolts), Columbia River Basin, Grant County, WA

Summary: EPA had no environmental concerns regarding the proposed project.

ERP No. DA-COE-L39045-AK Rating LO, Chignik Small Boat Harbor Development and Construction, Updated Information concerning Alternatives, Anchorage Bay, Alaska Peninsula, AK.

Summary: EPA's review has revealed no potential environmental concerns that would require substantive changes to the proposal.

ERP No. DS-COE-K32028-CA Rating EC2, Richmond Harbor Deep Draft Navigation Improvements, Updated and Additional Information to Improve Navigation Efficiency into the Potrero Reach Channel, San Francisco Bay, Contra Costa County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over: (1) the lack of an adequate economic analysis on which to evaluate the practicability of different disposal alternatives presented in the DEIS, and (2) the need to address potential mitigation for the losses of shallow water habitat that would be associated with widening of the navigation channels and creating the new turning circle.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-L65246-WA First Creek Basin Restoration Project, Implementation, Wenatchee National Forest, Chelan Ranger District, Chelan County, WA.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–DOE–L09807–WA Hanford Site K Basins Management of Spent Nuclear Fuel, Storage and Disposal, Application for Approval of Construction and NPDES Permit Issuance, Columbia River, Richland, Benton County, WA.

Summary: Řeview of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-GSA-L40195-WA Pacific Highway Port of Entry (POE) Facility Expansion, Construction of WA-543 in Blaine, near the United States/Canada Border in Blaine, Whatcom County, WA.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-IBR-K39037-CA Cachuma Water Supply Project, Implementation, Long-Term Contract Renewal, Santa Ynez Valley, Bradbury Dam, Santa Barbara County, CA.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: April 22, 1996.

B. Katherine Biggs,

Associate Director, NEPA Compliance
Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96–10390 Filed 4–25–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

[ER-FRL-5415-8]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 OR (202) 564–7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed April 15, 1996 Through April 19, 1996 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 960177, Draft EIS, AFS, AK, King George Timber Sale Project, Timber Harvesting and Road Construction, Implementation, Tongass National Forest, Stikine Area, Etolin Island, AK, Due: June 10, 1996, Contact: Meg Mitchell (907) 874– 2323.

EIS No. 960178, Final EIS, COE, CA, Morrison Creek Mining Reach Upstream North of Jackson Highway, Implementation, Community Plan Amendment, Rezoning, Use Permit Amendment to Existing Use Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Sacramento County, CA, Due: May 28, 1996, Contact: Larry Vinzant (916) 557–5263.

EIS No. 960179, Draft EIS, FHW, TN, TN–385 (Collierville-Arlington Parkway) Improvement Project, Construction from Mt. Pleasant Road to South of Interstate 40, Funding, Shelby and Fayette Counties, TN, Due: June 10, 1996, Contact: Dennis C. Cook (615) 736–5394.

EIS No. 960180, Final EIS, FHW, WV, VA, Appalachian Corridor H Construction, Funding, Eklins, WV to