Department should use the UPIS "U.S. Base Price Indicator" as one of the indicating variables for the long term price as opposed to the UPIS "Base Price Indicator," because the former represents U.S. utility purchases, while the latter represents purchases worldwide. As a result of the comment, we have used the UPIS "U.S. Base Price Indicator" in our calculation.

After analysis of the above comment, we have determined that the observed market price for uranium is \$12.25/lb. The Department invites parties to provide pricing information for use in the next price determination. Any such information should be provided for the record and should be submitted to the Department by September 5, 1996.

Dated: April 1, 1996.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.

[FR Doc. 96–8509 Filed 4–5–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

# Indiana University, Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 95–117. Applicant: Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47402. Instrument: Noninvasive Blood Pressure Measurement Monitor. Manufacturer: TNO Biomedical Instrumentation, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 61 FR 4768, February 8, 1996.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides: (1) noninvasive monitoring preventing sleep disruption, (2) hydrostatic height correction, and (3) discrimination of increased cardiac output versus increased peripheral resistance. The National Institutes of Health advises in its memorandum dated January 31, 1996 that (1) these capabilities are pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

Frank W. Creel

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff
[FR Doc. 96–8514 Filed 4–5–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–F

# University of California, Davis; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This is a decision pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 AM and 5:00 PM in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

DECISION: Denied. Applicant has failed to establish that domestic instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the intended purposes are not available.

REASONS: Section 301.5(e)(4) of the regulations requires the denial of applications that have been denied without prejudice to resubmission if they are not resubmitted within the specified time period. This is the case for the following docket.

Docket Number: 95–078. Applicant: University of California, Davis, Exercise Science Department, 264 Hickey Gym, Davis, CA 95616. Instrument: Nitrogen Analyzer, Model N2-TEST.

Manufacturer: Erich Jaeger, Germany.
Date of Denial without Prejudice to Resubmission: December 4, 1995.
Frank W. Creel

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff [FR Doc. 96–8515 Filed 4–5–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-F

### Tulane University Hospital and Clinic, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 95–116. Applicant: Tulane University Hospital and Clinic, New Orleans, LA 70112. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model H7100. Manufacturer: Hitachi Scientific Instruments, Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 61 FR 4767, February 8, 1996. Order Date: December 17, 1993.

Docket Number: 95–118. Applicant: The Colorado College, Colorado Springs, CO 80903. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model CM 100. Manufacturer: Philips, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 61 FR 4768, February 8, 1996. Order Date: May 4, 1995.

Docket Number: 95–119. Applicant: California State University, Los Angeles, CA 90032. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model JEM-1200EX II. Manufacturer: JEOL Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 61 FR 4768, February 8, 1996. Order Date: February 28, 1994.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. Reasons: Each foreign instrument is a conventional transmission electron microscope (CTEM) and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring a CTEM. We know of no CTEM, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States either at the time of order of each instrument or at the time of receipt of application by the U.S. Customs Service.

Frank W. Creel

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff
[FR Doc. 96–8523 Filed 4–5–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS-F

## National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 032996A]

# **Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council will convene public meetings.

**DATES:** See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for specific dates and times.

ADDRESSES: The Mackerel AP and all SSC meetings will be held at the Pontchartrain Hotel, 2031 St. Charles

Avenue, New Orleans, LA 70104; telephone: (800) 777–6193.

Council address: Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, 5401 West Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 331, Tampa, FL 33609.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Wayne E. Swingle (for Mackerel related issues), and Steven Atran (for reef fish related issues), Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, telephone: (813) 228–2815.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meetings are scheduled as follows: Mackerel Advisory Panel (AP), April 29, 1996, 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.; Standing and Special Mackerel Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC), April 30, 1996, 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.; Standing and Special Shrimp Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC), May 1, 1996, 8:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m.; and Standing and Special Reef Fish Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC), May 1, 1996, 12:00 noon to 4:00 p.m.

The Mackerel AP during its meeting on April 29 and the SSC during its meeting on April 30, will review the following mackerel assessment information and develop their recommendations to the Council: A stock assessment for the fishery prepared by NMFS; a report of a scientific stock assessment panel which will recommend the range of allowable biological catch (ABC) for the 1996-1997 season; and a report of a scientific socioeconomic panel which examines social and economic impacts of various levels of total allowable catch (TAC), for the 1996-1997 season.

The Council will use these recommendations when they set TAC and trip and bag limits for king and Spanish mackerel for the 1996–1997 mackerel season at the Council meeting on May 13–16, 1996 in Houston, TX.

The Shrimp SSC during its meeting on May 1, will review a regulatory amendment for royal red shrimp allowing TAC to be exceeded to collect information for respecifying maximum sustainable yield, a discussion paper on availability of data and analyses to address bycatch issues in the forthcoming plan amendment and a NMFS study comparing effort data from the bycatch characterization project and that collected from the commercial fishery.

The Reef Fish SSC during its meeting on May 1, will review an amendment to the Reef Fish Fishery Management Plan. Proposed Amendment 14 will address a license limitation system to replace the current moratorium on fish trap endorsements, a prohibition on trap

fishing in an area of the exclusive economic zone off Dry Tortugas in south Florida, an additional revision to the framework procedure and a revision to transferability of vessel permits.

The SSC consists of scientists and the APs of fishermen and other user groups who advise the Council on fishery issues.

#### Special Accommodations

These meetings are physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Anne Alford at the Council (see ADDRESSES) by April 22, 1996.

Dated: April 1, 1996. Richard W. Surdi, Acting Director, Office of Fisheries Conservation and Management, National Marine Fisheries Service. [FR Doc. 96–8553 Filed 4–5–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-F

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

# NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000-0072]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request Entitled Contract Cost Principles and Procedures— Automatic Data Processing Equipment Leasing Costs

**AGENCIES:** Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Notice of request for an extension to an existing OMB clearance (9000–0072).

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Secretariat has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection requirement concerning Contract Cost Principles and Procedures—Automatic Data Processing Equipment Leasing Costs. A request for public comments was published at 61 FR 3377, January 31, 1996. No comments were received. **DATES:** Comment Due Date: May 8, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of

this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, should be submitted to: FAR Desk Officer, OMB, Room 10102, NEOB, Washington, DC 20503, and a copy to the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVRS), 18th and F Streets, NW, Room 4037, Washington, DC 20405. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000–0072, Contract Cost Principles and Procedures—Automatic Data Processing Equipment Leasing Costs, in all correspondence.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Jeremy F. Olson, Federal Acquisition Policy Division, GSA (202) 501–3221.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### A. Purpose

FAR Part 31, Contract Cost Principles and Procedures, contains cost principles and procedures for (1) the pricing of contracts, subcontracts, and modifications to contracts and subcontracts whenever cost analysis is performed; and (2) the determination, negotiation, or allowance of costs when required by a contract clause. However, certain cost elements that are reviewed pursuant to this part require supporting documentation. One of these involves a justification of automatic data processing equipment leasing costs under FAR 31.205-2. The information is used by the contracting officer to determine the allowability of a cost element.

### B. Annual Reporting Burden

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per completion, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The annual reporting burden is estimated as follows: Respondents, 3,172; responses per respondent, 1; total annual responses, 3,172; preparation hours per response, .5; and total response burden hours, 1,586.

## **OBTAINING COPIES OF PROPOSALS:**

Requester may obtain copies of justifications from the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVRS), Room 4037, Washington, DC 20405, telephone (202) 501–4755. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000–0072, Contract Cost Principles and Procedures—Automatic Data Processing Equipment Leasing Costs, in all correspondence.