matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for the applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–7672 Filed 3–28–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5414-8]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 OR (202) 564–7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed March 18, 1996 Through March 22, 1996 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 960130, FINAL EIS, SFW, TX, Balcones Canyonlands Conservation Plan, Issuance of a Permit to Allow Incidental Take of Golden-cheeked Warbler, Black-capped Vireo and Six Karst Invertebrates, Travis County, TX, Due: April 29, 1996, Contact: Joseph E. Johnston (512) 490–0063.

EIS No. 960131, FINAL EIS, BLM, OR, Lake Abert Area Designation as an Area of Critical Environmental Concerns (ACEC), High Desert Management Framework Amendment Plan, Right-of-Way Grant and Drilling Permit, Valley Falls, Lake County, OR, Due: April 29, 1996, Contact: Paul Whitman (503) 947–6110.

EIS No. 960132, FINAL EIS, FHW, NC, Winston-Salem Northern Beltway (Western Section), Construction, from US 158 Northward to US 52, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Forsyth County, NC, Due: April 29, 1996, Contact: Nicholas L. Graf (919) 856–4346.

EIS No. 960133, FINAL EIS, IBR, MT, Tongue River Basin Project, Implementation, Tongue River Dam and Reservior, COE Section 404 Permit, Bighorn County, MT, Due: April 29, 1996, Contact: John Boehmke (406) 247–7715.

EIS No. 960134, DRAFT EIS, UAF, CO, NM, KS, NB, WY, Colorado Airspace Initiative, Modifications to the National Airspace System, such as the F–16 Aircraft and Aircrews of the 140th Wing of the Colorado Air National Guard, Also modifying existing Military Operations Areas (MOAs) and Military Training Routes (MTRs), CO, NM, KS, NB and WY, Due: June 05, 1996, Contact: Harry A. Knudsen (301) 836–8143.

EIS No. 960135, DRAFT EIS, APH,
Programmatic EIS—Veterinary
Services (VS) Programs,
Implementation, to Detect, Prevent,
Control, and Eradicate Domestic and
Foreign Animal Diseases and Pests,
All 50 States and the United States
Territories, Due: May 28, 1996,
Contact: Dr. William E. Ketter (301)
734–8565.

EIS No. 960136, REVISED DRAFT EIS, NPS, AK, Denali (South Slope) National Park and Preserve Development Concept Plan, Implementation, Additional Information, Mantanuska-Susitna Borough, AK, Due: May 13, 1996, Contact: Nancy Swanton (907) 257– 2651.

Dated: March 26, 1996. William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96–7753 Filed 3–28–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

[ER-FRL-5414-9]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared March 11, 1996 Through March 15, 1996 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in the Federal Register dated April 14, 1996 (60 FR 19047).

Draft EIS's

ERP No. D-AFS-J02033-UT Rating LO, Dixie National Forest Oil and Gas Leasing on Federal Lands, Implementation, Garfield, Kane, Iron, Washington, Piute and Wayne Counties, UT

Summary: EPA provided no formal written comments. EPA has no objection to the preferred alternative as described in the EIS.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65254-AK Rating LO, 1995 Mendenhall Glacier Recreation Area Management Plan, Implementation, Tongass National Forest, Juneau Ranger District, Chatham Area, AK.

Summary: EPA expressed a lack of objections for the proposed action.

ERP No. D-BLM-G65064-TX Rating LO, Texas Land and Resource Management Plan (RMP), Implementation, Split Estates Federal Mineral Ownership (FMO), Several Counties, TX.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the selection of the preferred alternative described in the draft EIS.

ERP No. D-FHW-E40763-NC Rating EC2, Winston-Salem Northern Beltway, (Eastern Section) from US 52 North of Winston-Salem to US 421/I-40 Business east of Winston-Salem, Construction, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Forsyth County, NC.

Summary: EPA had environmental concerns that the 12 mile long Bypass evaluated in the draft EIS is only one of two segments of a planned Northern Bypass. The NEPA review should have been comprehensive. EPA is also concerned about secondary impacts to a water supply.

ERP No. Ď–FHW–E40765–FL Rating EC2, East-West Multimodal Corridor Transportation Improvements, Beginning at the Tamiami Campus of Florida International University (FIU) extending the length of FL 836, Port of Miami, Dade County, FL.

Summary: EPA's review found that all of the proposed alternatives will have relatively minor impact to the natural environment, but did express concerns for impacts to the urban human environment in the form of noise and relocations.

ERP No. D-FHW-K40215-CA Rating EC2, East Sonora Bypass/CA-108 Construction, CA-108 from Post Mile

M1.8 to Post Mile R6.9, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Tuolumne County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding cumulative impacts of the project on the environment and development plans along the alignment, water quality, and hazardous waste found at sites within the corridor.

ERP No. D-FRC-A08030-00 Rating EU2, Promoting Wholesale Competition through Open Access Non-Discriminatory Transmission Service by Public Utilities (RM95-8-000) and Recovery of Strandred Costs by Public Utilities and Transmitting Utilities (Docket No. RM-94-7-001), Proposed Rulemaking.

Summary: EPA raised environmental concerns over the potential for the proposed rule to significantly increase air pollution, the need for additional information to better assess the potential impacts, the need for further analysis and consideration of mitigation options, and the absence of an appropriate mitigation mechanism to prevent the pollution increases. EPA believed that by working with FERC, the Department of Energy and other agencies, practical mitigation steps can be developed. ERP No. D-USA-K11065-CA Rating

ERP No. D-USA-K11065-CA Rating EC2, Miramar Naval Air Station (NAS) Realignment or Conversion to Miramar Marine Corps Air Station, Implementation, San Diego, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the loss of vernal pools and endangered species habitat, as well as noise analysis.

EŘP No. DA–FTA–K51035–CA Rating EC2, Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART) Transportation Improvements, San Francisco to San Francisco International Airport Extension, Alternative VI Aerial Design Option, Approval, Funding, COE Section 404 and Possible FHWA Encroachment Permits Issuance, San Mateo County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with the project's possible impacts to wetland, endangered species, and minority neighborhoods.

Final EIS's

ERP No. F–AFS–K65154–CA Mendocine National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Colusa, Glenn, Lake, Mendocino, Tehama and Trinity Counties, CA.

Summary: Review of the final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-AFS-K65157-CA Paper Reforestation and Resource Recovery Project, Implementation, Stanislaus National Forest, Mi-Wok Ranger District, Tuolumne County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding level of aerial spraying of hexazinone. ERP No. F-AFS-L65172-ID Idaho

ERP No. F-AFS-L65172-ID Idaho Panhandle National Forests Noxious Weed Management Projects, Implementation, Bonners Ferry Ranger District, Boundary County, ID.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the action as proposed. ERP No. F– FHW–E40740–NC US 1 Improvements, Secondary Road 1853 at Lakeview to Secondary Road 1180 south of Sanford, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Lee and Moore Counties, NC.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with the US 1 Highway Improvement Project primarily because of insufficient commitment to wetlands mitigation.

ERP No. F-FHW-K40134-CA CA-180 Transportation Project, Construction, between Temperance Avenue and Cove Road, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Fresno County, CA.

Summary: EPA's environmental concerns with the draft EIS were adequately addressed in the FEIS. Also, EPA recommended that FHWA continue their coordination with the other interesed state and local agencies.

ERP No. F–MMS–G02005–00 1996 Central and Western Gulf of Mexico Outer Continental Shelf (OSC) Oil and Gas Lease Sales No. 157 (March 1996) and No. 161 (August 1996), Lease Offerings, Offshore coastal counties and parishes of AL, MS, LA and TX.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the selection of the preferred alternative. ERP No. F-NOA-A29004-00

Programmatic EIS—Coastal Nonpoint Pollution Control Program, Implementation, Approval for 29 States and Territories Coastal Nonpoint Program.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-NOA-A91061-00 Atlantic Mackerel, Squid and Butterfish Fisheries, Fishery Management Plan, Amendment No. 5, Implementation, Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) off the US Atlantic Coast.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the proposed program.

ERP No. F-UAF-K11061-GU Andersen Air Force Base (AFB) Solid Waste Management Facility, Construction, Island of Guam, GU.

Summary: EPA continued to express environmental concerns regarding

stability and monitorability of the landfill site and reiterated the need for additional information regarding monitoring, air emissions, pretreatment and runoff controls before the Air Force signs a ROD.

ERP No. F-USN-G11028-TX Mine Warfare Center of Excellance (MWCE) Establishment, Construction and Operations, Magnitic Silencing Facility (MSF), Aviation Mine Count Measures (AMCM) and Sled Facility, Possible NPDES Permit, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Corpus Christi Bay Area, TX.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the selection of the preferred alternative described in the Final EIS.

ERP No. F-USN-K11062-CA San Diego Homeporting Facilities Construction and Operation to Support Berthing One NIMITZ Class Aircraft Carrier, Implementation, San Diego County, CA.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the final EIS.

ERP No. FR-UAF-B11015-ME Loring Air Force Base (AFB) Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, Aroostook County, ME.

Summary: EPA environmental concerns have been resolved satisfactorily in the 1995 revised documents.

Other

ERP No. LF-NPS-L61204-OR. Adoption: Wallowa River Wild and Scenic River Study from the Confluence of the Minam and Wallowa Rivers to the Confluence of the Wallowa River and the Wild and Scenic Grande Ronde River for Designation or Nondesignation into the National Wild and Scenic River System, Union and Wallowa Counties, OR.

Summary: Review of the final EIS has been completed and the project found to be satisfactory. EPA provided no formal written comments. EPA had no objection to the preferred alternative as described in the EIS.

Dated: March 26, 1996.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96-7754 Filed 3-28-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

[PP 6F4650/PF646; FRL-5357-3]

Trichoderma harzianum Rifai Strain KRL-AG2; Notice of filing

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of filing.

SUMMARY: EPA has received a petition (PP 6F4650) for a revision of the current