Office, Portland, Oregon, thirty (30) calendar days from the date of this publication.

Willamette Meridian

Oregon

T. 35 S., R. 21 E., accepted January 23, 1996

T. 36 S., R. 3 W., accepted January 22, 1996

T. 36 S., R. 4 W., accepted January 8, 1996

T. 32 S., R. 6 W., accepted February 28, 1996 T. 29 S., R. 11 W., accepted February 27,

1996 Washington

T. 35 N., R. 10 E., accepted January 9, 1996 (2 Sheets)

T. 40 N., R. 32 E., accepted January 22, 1996 (2 Sheets)

T. 20 N., R. 11 W., accepted February 26, 1996

T. 20 N., R. 12 W., accepted February 26, 1996

If protests against a survey, as shown on any of the above plat(s), are received prior to the date of official filing, the filing will be stayed pending consideration of the protest(s). A plat will not be officially filed until the day after all protests have been dismissed and become final or appeals from the dismissal affirmed.

The plat(s) will be placed in the open files of the Oregon State Office, Bureau of Land Management, 1515 S.W. 5th Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97201, and will be available to the public as a matter of information only. Copies of the plat(s) may be obtained from the above office upon required payment. A person or party who wishes to protest against a survey must file with the State Director, Bureau of Land Management, Portland, Oregon, a notice that they wish to protest prior to the proposed official filing date given above. A statement of reasons for a protest may be filed with the notice of protest to the State Director, or the statement of reasons must be filed with the State Director within thirty (30) days after the proposed official filing date.

The above-listed plats represent dependent resurveys, survey and subdivision.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bureau of Land Management, (1515 S.W. 5th Avenue,) P.O. Box 2965, Portland, Oregon 97208.

Dated: March 6, 1996. Robert D. DeViney, Jr.,

Chief, Branch of Realty and Records Services. [FR Doc. 96–6112 Filed 3–13–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-33-M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-384]

Notice of Investigation

In the Matter of, Certain Monolithic Microwave Integrated Circuit Downconverters and Products Containing the Same, Including Low Noise Block Downconverters.

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on February 7, 1996, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Anadigics, Inc., 35 Technology Drive, Warren, NJ 07059. A supplement to the complaint was filed on February 29, 1996. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain monolithic microwave integrated circuit downconverters and products containing the same, including low noise block downconverters, that infringe U.S. Registered Mask Works MW 6086, MW 6095, MW 6103, MW 7794, and MW 7792.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after a hearing, issue a permanent exclusion order and permanent cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Room 112, Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202–205–1802. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Smith R. Brittingham IV, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone: (202)–205–2576.

AUTHORITY: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10.

SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION: Having considered the complaint, the U.S.

International Trade Commission, on March 8, 1996, ordered that—

- (1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(D) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain monolithic microwave integrated circuit downconverters and products containing the same, including low noise block downconverters, by reason of infringement of U.S. Registered Mask Works MW 6086, MW 6095, MW 6103, MW 7794, or MW 7792; and whether there exists an industry in the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.
- (2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:
- (a) The complainant is— Anadigics, Inc., 35 Technology Drive, Warren, NJ 07059
- (b) The respondents are the following companies alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Raytheon Company, 141 Spring Street, Lexington, MA 02173

New Japan Radio Co., Ltd., 8–1 Shimo Meguro 1–Chome, Tokyo, 0153, Japan Nichimen Corp., 1–23 Shiba 4-chome Minato-ku, Tokyo, 107, Japan Nichimen America Inc., 1185 Avenue of the

Americas, New York, New York 10036–2601
(c) Smith R. Brittingham IV, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations. U.S.

Unfair Import Investigations, U.S.
International Trade Commission, 500 E
Street, S.W., Room 401–M, Washington, D.C.
20436, shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. § 210.13. Pursuant to sections 201.16(d) and 210.13(a) of the Commission's Rules, 19 C.F.R. §§ 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the

complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter both an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against such respondent.

Issued: March 8, 1996. By order of the Commission. Donna R. Koehnke, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–6074 Filed 3–13–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

[Investigation No. 731–TA–740 (Preliminary)]

Sodium Azide From Japan

Determination

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject investigation, the Commission determines, pursuant to section 733(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1673b(a)), that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports from Japan of sodium azide, provided for in subheading 2850.00.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV).

Background

On January 16, 1996, a petition was filed with the Commission and the Department of Commerce by American Azide Corporation, Las Vegas, Nevada, alleging that an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury by reason of LTFV imports of sodium azide from Japan. Accordingly, effective January 16, the Commission instituted antidumping Investigation No. 731–TA–740 (Preliminary).

Notice of the institution of the Commission's investigation and of a public conference to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register of January 23, 1996 (61 FR 1784). The conference was held in Washington, DC, on February 6, 1996, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this investigation to the Secretary of Commerce on March 1, 1996. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 2948 (March 1996), entitled "Sodium Azide from Japan: Investigation No. 731–TA–740 (Preliminary)."

Issued: March 4, 1996.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–6076 Filed 3–13–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

Pursuant to Departmental policy, 28 C.F.R. § 50.7, and 42 U.S.C. 9622(d)(2), notice is hereby given that a proposed consent decree in United States v. Allied Signal, Inc. et al., Civil Action No. 96 Civ. 1513, was lodged on March 1, 1995 with the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The proposed consent decree resolves the liability of 28 defendants to the United States based upon these defendants' involvement at the Cortese Landfill Superfund Site ("Site") in the Town of Tusten, New York pursuant to the comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, as amended.

Under the terms of the proposed consent decree, the 28 settling defendants agree to remediate the Site at an estimated cost of \$10.4 million and to pay the United States all future costs which the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") incurs in overseeing the implementation of the remedy by the settling defendants. In addition, the settling defendants agree to reimburse the Department of Interior ("DOI") the amount of \$134,068, which represents the amount DOI has incurred at the Site and to pay DOI the additional amount of \$84,850 for natural resource damages for resources under the trusteeship of DOI.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication,

comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States* v. *Allied Signal, Inc. et al.*, D.J. reference #90–11–2–1078.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York, 1200 Church Street, New York, New York; the Region II Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 290 Broadway Avenue, New York, New York: and at the Environmental **Enforcement Section Consent Decree** Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C., 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may also be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$27.00 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library. Joel Gross.

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 96–6093 Filed 3–13–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–01–M

Notice of Lodging of Order Modifying Amended Consent Decress Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. 9622(I)

Notice is hereby given that a proposed order modifying the Amended Consent Decree in United States v. Elmer Burrows, et al., Civil Action No. K88-128CA8, was lodged on February 23, 1996 with the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan. The proposed modification of the Amended Consent Decree changes the cleanup standards for chromium in groundwater in connection with the remedial action at the Burrows Sanitation Site in Hartford Township, Van Buren County, Michigan, pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. 9101 et seq.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed Order. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice,

¹The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.2(f)).